

JOHNSONBURG AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT

ELK COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

PERFORMANCE AUDIT REPORT

OCTOBER 2013

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 Mr. Robert Paladino, Board President Johnsonburg Area School District 315 High School Road Johnsonburg, Pennsylvania 15845

Dear Governor Corbett and Mr. Paladino:

We conducted a performance audit of the Johnsonburg Area School District (District) to determine its compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). Our audit covered the period August 3, 2010 through February 28, 2013, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2012, 2011, 2010, and 2009. Our audit was conducted pursuant to Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with relevant requirements, except as detailed in one finding noted in this report. A summary of the results is presented in the Executive Summary section of the audit report.

Our audit finding and recommendations have been discussed with the District's management, and their responses are included in the audit report. We believe the implementation of our recommendations will improve the District's operations and facilitate compliance with legal and administrative requirements. We appreciate the District's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent: O-Pager

Auditor General

October 18, 2013

cc: JOHNSONBURG AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT Board of School Directors

Table of Contents

	Page
Executive Summary	. 1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	. 2
Findings and Observations	. 5
Finding – Memoranda of Understanding with Local Law Enforcement Not Updated Timely	. 5
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	. 7
Distribution List	. 8

Audit Work

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Johnsonburg Area School District (District). Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

Our audit scope covered the period August 3, 2010 through February 28, 2013, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the 2011-12, 2010-11, 2009-10, and 2008-09 school years.

District Background

The District encompasses approximately 170 square miles. According to 2010 federal census data, it serves a resident population of 5,205. According to District officials, the District provided basic educational services to 605 pupils through the employment of 66 teachers, 34 full-time and part-time support personnel, and 4 administrators during the 2011-12 school year. Lastly, the District received \$6.8 million in state funding in the 2011-12 school year.

Audit Conclusion and Results

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures, except for one compliance related matter reported as a finding.

Finding: Memoranda of Understanding with Local Law Enforcement Not Updated Timely. The Johnsonburg Area School District failed to update its Memoranda of Understanding with the local law enforcement agencies in a timely manner (see page 5).

<u>Status of Prior Audit Findings and</u>
<u>Observations</u>. There were no findings or observations in our prior audit report.

Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each local education agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the Pennsylvania Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

Objectives

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe noncompliance with a statute, regulation, policy, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of noncompliance with specific criteria.

Our audit, conducted under authority of Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period August 3, 2010 through February 28, 2013, except for the verification of professional employee certification which was performed for the period July 1, 2012 through February 22, 2013.

Regarding state subsidies and reimbursements, our audit covered the 2011-12, 2010-11, 2009-10, and 2008-09 school years.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) reporting guidelines, we use the term school year rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as laws and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ Did the District, and any contracted vendors, ensure that their current bus drivers were properly qualified, and did they have written policies and procedures governing the hiring of new bus drivers?

- ✓ Did the District pursue a contract buy-out with an administrator and if so, what was the total cost of the buy-out, what were the reasons for the termination/settlement, and did the current employment contract(s) contain adequate termination provisions?
- ✓ Did the District have sufficient internal controls to ensure that the membership data it reported to PDE through the Pennsylvania Information Management System was complete, accurate, valid, and reliable?
- ✓ Were there any declining fund balances that may pose a risk to the District's fiscal viability?
- ✓ Did the District take appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
- ✓ Did the District have a properly executed and updated Memorandum of Understanding with local law enforcement?
- ✓ Were there any other areas of concern reported by independent auditors, citizens, or other interested parties?

Government Auditing Standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the District is in compliance certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal controls, including any information technology controls, as they relate to the District's compliance with relevant requirements that we consider to be significant within the context of our audit objectives. We assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented. Any deficiencies in internal control that were identified during the conduct of

Methodology

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations.
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information.
- Compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

our audit and determined to be significant within the context of our audit objectives are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies and reimbursements, pupil transportation, pupil membership, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation, pupil membership, bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, state ethics compliance, financial stability, reimbursement applications, tuition receipts, and deposited state funds.
- Items such as board meeting minutes and policies and procedures.

Additionally, we interviewed select administrators and support personnel associated with the District's operations.

Finding

Criteria relevant to the finding:

The Public School Code, 24 P.S. § 13-1303-A(c), provides, in part:

"[E]ach chief school administrator shall enter into a Memorandum of Understanding with police departments having jurisdiction over school property of the school entity. Each chief school administrator shall submit a copy of the memorandum of understanding to the [Pennsylvania Department of Education's Office for Safe Schools] office by June 30, 2011, and biennially update and re-execute a memorandum of understanding with local law enforcement and file such memorandum with the office on a biennial basis. The Memorandum of understanding shall be signed by the chief school administrator, the chief of police of the police department with jurisdiction over the relevant school property and principals of each school building of the school entity."

Memoranda of Understanding with Local Law Enforcement Not Updated Timely

Our audit found that the Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) between the Johnsonburg Area School District's (District) and its local law enforcement agencies had not been updated since September 20, 2010 with one of the two agencies, and since July 13, 2010 with the other. The Public School Code (PSC) requires public schools to update and re-execute MOUs with local law enforcement every two years.

Noncompliance with the statutory requirement to update and re-execute MOUs with all pertinent local law enforcement agencies every two years could result in a lack of cooperation, direction, and guidance between District employees and the local law enforcement agencies if an incident occurs on school property, at a school-sponsored activity, or during the transportation of students to or from school. For example, failure to maintain an MOU could delay law enforcement notification and response and ultimately interfere with resolving an emergency. It is unclear why the District failed to comply with the provisions for updating its MOUs. However, it should be noted that the District obtained new MOUs with the two local law enforcement agencies before the end of our audit fieldwork.

Recommendations

The Johnsonburg Area School District should:

- 1. Continue to review, update and re-execute the current MOUs between the District and all local law enforcement agencies having jurisdiction over school property.
- 2. Adopt an official board policy requiring district administration to biennially update and re-execute all MOUs with local law enforcement agencies having jurisdiction over school property, and file a copy with the Pennsylvania Department of Education's Office of Safe Schools on a biennial basis as required by law.

Management Response Management agreed with the finding and provided no

further comment.

Auditor Conclusion We commend the District for updating the two MOUs

during our audit. However, we continue to recommend that

the Board of School Directors adopt a formal policy

requiring the District's administration to biennially update

and re-execute the MOUs, as required by the PSC.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations ur prior audit of the Johnsonburg Area School District resulted in no findings or observations.

Distribution List

This report was initially distributed to the Superintendent of the District, the Board of School Directors, our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following stakeholders:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Carolyn Dumaresq Acting Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Lori Graham Acting Director Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Pennsylvania Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Mr. Tom Templeton Assistant Executive Director School Board and Management Services Pennsylvania School Boards Association P.O. Box 2042 Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.