COMPLIANCE AUDIT

City of Warren Municipal Employee Pension Plan

Warren County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2015

June 2016







Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Warren Warren County Warren, PA 16365

We have conducted a compliance audit of the City of Warren Municipal Employee Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2015. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- × We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- × We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- × We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- × We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for all 4 of the plan members who retired during the current audit period, and through the completion of our fieldwork procedures, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to the retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to the recipients. We also determined whether retirement benefits calculated for all 4 of the plan members who elected to vest during the current audit period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefit due and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined.
- × We determined whether the January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2014 and 2016, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

The City of Warren contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for an audit of its basic financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2014 which is available at the city's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

City officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the City of Warren Municipal Employee Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the city's internal controls as they relate to the city's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objective, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally and as previously described, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures, and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the City of Warren Municipal Employee Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of the City of Warren and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank city officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

May 23, 2016

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf: O-Pasper

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Supplementary Information	3
Comment	9
Report Distribution List	11

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the City of Warren Municipal Employee Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Act 177 General Local Government Code, Act of December 19, 1996 (P.L. 1158, No. 177), as amended, 53 Pa.C.S. § 101 et seq.
- Act 317 The Third Class City Code, Act of June 23, 1931 (P.L. 932, No. 317), as amended, 53 P.S. § 35101 et seq.

The City of Warren Municipal Employee Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 1670, as amended. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the city and its non-uniformed employees. The plan was established August 15, 1966. Active members are required to contribute 2 percent of base wages to the plan. As of December 31, 2015, the plan had 25 active members, 6 terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 24 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

BACKGROUND – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2015, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

Eligibility Requirements:

Normal Retirement Age 62 and 10 years of service.

Early Retirement Age 57 and 12 years of service or age 62 and 5 years of service.

Vesting A member is 50% vested after 5 years of service, increasing by 10%

for each additional year, up to a maximum of 100% after the

completion of 10 years of service.

Retirement Benefit:

Benefit equals 1.2% of final 60 months average salary (base pay), plus 1.0% of final 60 months average monthly salary in excess of \$550, multiplied by years of service.

Survivor Benefit:

Before 10 years of service Refund of member contributions, plus interest.

After 10 years of service Benefit is 50% of accrued benefit.

After Retirement Eligibility The normal form of benefit is a monthly pension for life;

however, the member may elect an actuarially reduced

benefit form in order to provide a survivor benefit.

Disability Benefit:

Accrued benefit at the date of disability, payable 5 months after disablement.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 3 thru 5 reflect the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Total Pension Liability		
Service cost	\$	273,616
Interest		711,341
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		
contributions*		(500,348)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		484,609
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		4,607,336
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	5,091,945
Plan Fiduciary Net Position		
Contributions – employer	\$	198,243
Contribution – member	Ψ	21,042
Net investment income		356,594
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		223,23
contributions*		(262,000)
Administrative expense		(26,068)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		287,811
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		4,992,594
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	5,280,405
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	(188,460)
The Tension Enterinty Entering (a b)	Ψ	(100,100)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total		
Pension Liability		103.7%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	1,160,268
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered		
Employee Payroll		(16.2%)

^{*}See Note on following page.

Note: The first benefit payment amount is actuarially determined in arriving at the actuarial value of the total pension liability. The second benefit payment amount agrees to the financial statement schedule of the audited financial statements, which is used to determine the net position of the plan. The two amounts will not agree as one is an actuarially determined number and the other is the actual benefit payments made during the year.

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the city, calculated using the discount rate of 7.5%, as well as what the city's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Current				
	1% Decrease (6.5%)	Discount Rate (7.5%)	1% Increase (8.5%)		
Net Pension Liability	\$ 346,455	\$ (188,460)	\$ (646,608)		

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2014 7.29%

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Year Ended December 31	De	ctuarially etermined ntribution		Actual ntributions	De	ntribution eficiency Excess)	Covered Employe Payroll	
2007	Φ.	101 100	ф	122.020	Φ.	(2.220)	ф	
2007	\$	131,499	\$	133,838	\$	(2,339)	\$ -	-
2008		137,975		139,031		(1,056)	1,136,09	12.2%
2009		149,285		155,078		(5,793)	-	-
2010		156,819		208,971		(52,152)	1,239,35	16.9%
2011		227,145		242,259		(15,114)	-	-
2012		238,199		238,199		-	1,120,58	33 21.3%
2013		211,217		211,217		-	-	-
2014		198,243		198,243		-	1,160,26	58 17.1%
2015		193,634		193,634		-	1,099,96	17.6%

^{*} Due to GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*, being implemented only recently, the amount of Covered-Employee Payroll was not provided for years 2007, 2009, 2011 and 2013.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2011, is as follows:

	(1)		(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-11	\$ 3,510,531	\$ 4,151,305	\$ 640,774	84.6%
01-01-13	4,148,734	4,607,336	458,602	90.0%
01-01-15	4,989,306	4,978,245	(11,061)	100.2%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-11, 01-01-13 and 01-01-15 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period which will be limited to a maximum of 120 percent and a minimum of 80 percent of the fair market value of assets. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

CITY OF WARREN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2015

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method 4-year smoothing, the actuarial value of

assets will be limited to a maximum of 120% and a minimum of 80% of the fair

market value of assets.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return * 7.5%

Projected salary increases * 5.0%

Cost-of-living adjustments None assumed

^{*} Includes inflation at 3.0%

CITY OF WARREN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE PENSION PLAN COMMENT

The city adopted a home rule charter pursuant to the Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law, 53 Pa. C.S. §2901 et seq. (previously 53 P.S. §1-101 et seq.). The 5 prior audits for the city's municipal employee pension plan covering the years 1997 through 2007 disclosed that the pension plan's governing document includes provisions which are not in compliance with the Third Class City Code. It was noted in the prior audit reports that certain provisions are in excess of Third Class City Code requirements, and certain provisions provide for lesser benefits than mandated by the Third Class City Code. The prior audit report for the period January 1, 2006, to December 31, 2007, recommended that the city restrict pension benefits to those authorized by the Third Class City Code for all employees who began full-time employment on or after January 24, 2001 (the date Municipality of Monroeville v. Monroeville Police Department Wage Policy Committee was issued) upon the renewal, extension, or renegotiation of the collective bargaining agreement. To the extent that the city is not in compliance with the Third Class City Code and/or is contractually obligated to provide benefits in excess of those authorized by the Third Class City Code to employees who began employment on or after January 24, 2001, the excess benefits must be reflected in the Act 205 actuarial valuation reports for the plan and funded in accordance with Act 205 funding standards. Furthermore, to the extent that the city has failed to provide benefits which are mandated by the Third Class City Code, it was recommended that the city increase those benefits for all active plan members to the levels prescribed by the Code at its earliest opportunity to do so.

The City of Warren has maintained that pension benefits are subject to collective bargaining and interest arbitration processes. In addition, the city has argued that these matters have been the subject of litigation, which included an appeal and Memorandum Opinion issued by the Commonwealth Court. In particular, certain retired firefighters and the International Association of Firefighters appealed the fact that retired firefighters were receiving benefits below the levels established by the Third Class City Code. In concluding that the pension benefits below those required by the Third Class City Code were not void as against public policy, the Court concluded that the retirees and the Union, "through collective bargaining, bargained away their pension rights." This is particularly true, according to the Court when the parties, as here, negotiated the issue of compliance with the Third Class City Code and, ultimately agreed to lesser benefits which cost less than if the plan were entirely Third Class City Code compliant.

During the current audit period, the city negotiated a new collective bargaining agreement with its non-uniformed employees covering the period January 1, 2015, to December 31, 2017, and the plan's governing document remains not in compliance with the Third Class City Code, as noted in the prior audit reports.

CITY OF WARREN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE PENSION PLAN COMMENT

The Department recognizes that the city is unable to make any unilateral changes to its pension plans due to collective bargaining agreements that have been negotiated. In addition, the Department also recognizes that all of the benefit provisions that deviate from the Third Class City Code do not result in increased pension costs and, to the extent that the city has provided pension benefits which are less than those mandated by the Third Class City Code, it has consequently resulted in lower annual pension costs for the city. However, it remains the Department's position that the city restrict pension benefits to those authorized by the Third Class City Code for all employees who began full-time employment on or after January 24, 2001 (the date Monroeville was issued) upon the renewal, extension, or renegotiation of the collective bargaining agreement and to the extent that the city has failed to provide benefits which are mandated by the Third Class City Code, we again recommend that the city increase those benefits for all active plan members to the levels prescribed by the code at its earliest opportunity to do so. Since the city received its state aid allocations based on unit value during the current audit period, the city did not receive any state aid attributable to pension benefits that deviate from the Third Class City Code. We will continue to monitor the city's compliance with the prior audit recommendations and the effect of providing pension benefits not in compliance with the Third Class City Code on the city's state aid allocations during future audits of the plan.

CITY OF WARREN MUNICIPAL EMPLOYEE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Maurice Cashman Mayor

Mr. John Lewis
Council Vice-President

Ms. Elissa Davis Council Member

Mr. Gregory Fraser Council Member

Mr. Philip Gilbert
Council Member

Mr. Richard Kolcharno Council Member

Mr. James Zavinski, Sr. Council Member

Ms. Nancy K. Freenock
City Manager

Ms. Donna M. Risinger Finance Officer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.