COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Clearfield Borough Police Pension Plan

Clearfield County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015

October 2016



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Clearfield Borough Clearfield County Clearfield, PA 16830

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Clearfield Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2015. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

 We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.

- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for the plan member who terminated employment during the prior audit period and made the election to vest during the current audit period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefit due to the retired individual and comparing this amount to supporting documentation evidencing the amount determined.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2014 and 2016, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these report is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- We determined whether all annual special ad hoc postretirement reimbursements received by the municipality were authorized and appropriately deposited in accordance with Act 147 by tracing information to supporting documentation maintained by plan officials.

Clearfield Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014, which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Clearfield Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the borough's internal controls as they relate to the borough's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those

significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally and as previously described, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures, and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Clearfield Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid

Finding No. 2 – Failure To Deposit The Full Amount Of State Aid Into An Eligible Pension Plan

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Clearfield Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

October 7, 2016

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

Eugrafi O-Pasper

CONTENTS

	Page
Background	1
Status of Prior Finding	3
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid	4
Finding No. 2 – Failure To Deposit The Full Amount Of State Aid Into An Eligible Pension Plan	5
Potential Withhold of State Aid	7
Supplementary Information	8
Report Distribution List	14
ABBREVIATION	

PSABMRT - Pennsylvania State Association of Boroughs Municipal Retirement Trust

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Clearfield Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Clearfield Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 1332, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers. The plan was established March 6, 1958. Active members are required to contribute 5 percent of their basic monthly earnings to the plan. These contributions were reduced to 3 percent during our audit period. As of December 31, 2015, the plan had 6 active members, 1 terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 8 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

BACKGROUND – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2015, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

Eligibility Requirements:

Normal Retirement Age 50 and 25 years of service.

Early Retirement Age 50 and 20 years of service.

Vesting 100% after 12 years of service.

Retirement Benefit:

50% of final 36 months average salary, plus \$100 per month after 25 years of service.

Survivor Benefit:

Before Retirement Eligibility Refund of member contributions plus interest.

After Retirement Eligibility A monthly benefit equal to 50% of the pension the

member was receiving or was entitled to receive on the

day of the member's death.

Service Related Disability Benefit:

50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, offset or reduced by Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.

CLEARFIELD BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Clearfield Borough has complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following:

· Survivor Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

On August 18, 2016, municipal officials adopted Ordinance No. 1339 to bring the plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600.

CLEARFIELD BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

<u>Finding No. 1 – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid</u>

<u>Condition</u>: The borough certified 1 ineligible police officer (2 units) on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2014. The borough also certified 1 ineligible police officer (2 units) and certified 1 ineligible non-uniformed employee (1 unit) on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2015. The data contained on these certification forms is based on prior calendar year information.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), in order to be eligible for certification, an employee must have been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and must have been participating in a pension plan during the certification year.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data certified.

<u>Effect</u>: The data submitted on these certification forms is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the municipality for distribution to its pension plans. Because the borough's state aid allocations were based on unit value, the incorrect certifications of pension data affected the borough's state aid allocations, as identified below:

Year	Type Of Plan	Units Overstated	Unit Value	 State Aid Overpayment	
2014	Police	2	\$ 3,873	\$ 7,746	
2015	Police Non-Uniformed	2 1	3,921 3,921	 7,842 3,921	
	Total C	Overpayment of	State Aid	\$ 19,509	

In addition, the borough used the overpayments of state aid to pay the minimum municipal obligations MMOs due to the police and non-uniformed pension plans; therefore, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from the pension plan, the plans' MMOs will not be fully paid.

Furthermore, the borough's future state aid allocations may be withheld until the finding recommendation is complied with.

CLEARFIELD BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

Recommendation: We recommend that the total excess state aid, in the amount of \$19,509, be returned to the Commonwealth from the borough's general fund. A check in this amount, with interest compounded annually from date of receipt to date of repayment, at a rate earned by the pension plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 321 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. A copy of the interest calculation must be submitted along with the check.

We also recommend that in the future, plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures, such as having at least 2 people review the data certified, to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

<u>Management's Response</u>: At the exit conference held on September 1, 2016, management indicated that they would provide a written response to this finding within 10 days; however, as of the date of this report, no written response has been provided.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Due to the potential withhold of state aid, the borough's compliance with the finding recommendation will be monitored subsequent to the release of the audit report and through our next audit of the plan.

Finding No. 2 – Failure To Deposit The Full Amount Of State Aid Into An Eligible Pension Plan

Condition: The municipality did not deposit the full amount of its 2014 state aid allocation into the police pension plan. The municipality received its 2014 state aid allocation in the amount of \$96,817 on September 25, 2014, but, as of the date of this report, only state aid totaling \$93,681 was deposited into the police and non-uniformed pension plans. Because the non-uniformed pension plan is a defined contribution pension plan and was fully funded with state aid in 2014, this balance should have been deposited into the police pension plan.

<u>Criteria</u>: Section 402(g) of Act 205 states, in part:

... the total amount of the general municipal pension system State aid received by the municipality shall, within 30 days of receipt by the treasurer of the municipality, be deposited in the pension fund or the alternate funding mechanism applicable to the pension plan.

CLEARFIELD BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

<u>Cause</u>: The full amount of the 2014 state aid was not deposited into the police pension plan because internal control procedures were not in effect to ensure the deposit of the full amount of state aid.

<u>Effect</u>: When state aid is not deposited into a pension plan account, the funds are not available to pay operating expenses or for investment and the risk of misapplication is increased.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Because the borough must return 2014 state aid to the Commonwealth as recommended in Finding No. 1, we recommend that the undeposited state aid balance of \$3,136 be returned to the Commonwealth as part of the borough's compliance with Finding No. 1.

We also recommend that plan officials develop and implement procedures to ensure that future state aid is deposited into an eligible pension plan within 30 days of receipt by the municipal treasurer.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

CLEARFIELD BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN POTENTIAL WITHHOLD OF STATE AID

Finding No. 1 contained in this audit report cites an overpayment of state aid to the borough in the amount of \$19,509. A condition of this nature may lead to a total withholding of state aid in the future unless that finding corrected. A check in this amount with interest, at a rate earned by the pension plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 321 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 8 through 10 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2015

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	59,557	\$	79,247
Interest		170,216		175,079
Difference between expected and actual experience		-		(47,753)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member				
contributions		(139,981)		(129,113)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		89,792		77,460
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		2,357,022		2,446,814
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	2,446,814	\$	2,524,274
Plan Fiduciary Net Position				
Contributions - employer	\$	50,867	\$	76,242
Contribution - member	·	10,498	·	11,878
Net investment income		135,888		(17,116)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		,		
contributions		(139,981)		(129,113)
Administrative expense		(5,170)		(23,458)
Other		(46)		-
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		52,056		(81,567)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning		2,614,069		2,666,125
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	2,666,125	\$	2,584,558
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	(219,311)	\$	(60,284)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total				
Pension Liability		109.0%		102.4%
Covered Employee Payroll	\$	349,933	\$	360,644
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered				
Employee Payroll		-62.7%		-16.7%

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough at December 31, 2014 and 2015, calculated using the discount rate of 7.25%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (6.25%)	Current Discount Rate (7.25%)	1% Increase (8.25%)	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/14	\$ 80,648	\$ (219,311)	\$ (469,489)	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/15	\$ 243,239	\$ (60,284)	\$ (313,303)	

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Year Ended December 31	Det	tuarially termined atribution		Actual tributions	Def	ribution iciency xcess)	Covered- Employee Payroll*	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll
2007	\$	64,012	\$	64,012	\$	_		
2008	4	55,093	Ψ	55,093	Ψ	_	\$ 350,028	15.7%
2009		53,729		53,729		-	,	
2010		60,775		60,775		-	359,042	16.9%
2011		60,594		60,594		-		
2012		48,159		48,159		-	275,377	17.5%
2013		49,902		49,902		-		
2014		50,867		50,867		-	349,933	14.5%
2015		76,242		76,242		-	360,644	21.1%

^{*} Due to GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*, being implemented only recently, the amount of Covered-Employee Payroll was not provided for odd years prior to 2015.

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2015 -0.65% 2014 -0.65%

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2011, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-11	\$ 2,403,192	\$ 2,229,839	\$ (173,353)	107.8%
01-01-13	2,433,152	2,262,243	(170,909)	107.6%
01-01-15	2,585,058	2,399,061	(185,997)	107.8%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-11, 01-01-13, and 01-01-15 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

CLEARFIELD BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2015

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return * 7.25%

Projected salary increases * 5.0%

Cost-of-living adjustments 3.0%

^{*} Includes inflation at 3.0%

CLEARFIELD BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable James P. Schell

Mayor

Mr. Wade Cowder

Council President

Mr. Brian Lytle

Council Vice-President

Mr. Steve Harmic

Councilman

Ms. Heather Bozovich

Councilwoman

Mr. David D. Gallaher

Councilman

Ms. Frances Selvage

Councilwoman

Mr. Lewis Duttry

Councilman

Mr. James E. King

Councilman

Ms. Leslie A. Stott

Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.