LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

Dingman Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan

Pike County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2015

October 2016



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors Dingman Township Pike County Milford, PA 18337

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Dingman Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2015 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired during the engagement period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefit due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to recipients.

We determined whether the January 1, 2011, January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2012, March 31, 2014 and March 31, 2016, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Dingman Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The township should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this letter were discussed with officials of Dingman Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this letter. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

October 18, 2016

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf O-Pagur

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Supplementary Information	1
Distribution List	7

The supplementary information contained on Pages 1 and 2 reflect the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Total Pension Liability		
Service cost	\$	40,516
Interest	Ψ.	78,713
Difference between expected and actual experience		19,466
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		15,100
contributions		(26,474)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability	-	112,221
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		1,403,682
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	1,515,903
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Plan Fiduciary Net Position		
Contributions - employer	\$	45,950
PMRS investment income	·	74,461
Market value investment income		3,880
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		- ,
contributions		(26,474)
PMRS Administrative expense		(260)
Additional Administrative expense		(2,856)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	-	94,701
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning		1,344,099
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	1,438,800
Timi Tiducialy Tite Tobiation Ending (b)	<u> </u>	1,150,000
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	77,103
, and a second of the second o		,
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total		
Pension Liability		94.9%
Tension Elaomty		J -1 .J/0
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	380,529
1 7 7	·	,
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered		
Employee Payroll		20.3%
1 🗸		

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the township as of December 31, 2014, calculated using the discount rate of 5.5%, as well as what the township's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Current		
	1% Decrease (4.5%)	Discount Rate (5.5%)	1% Increase (6.5%)
Net Pension Liability	\$ 284,433	\$ 77,103	\$ 98,913

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2011, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age (b)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)
01-01-11	\$ 1,041,635	\$ 1,158,058	\$ 116,423	89.9%
01-01-13	1,260,241	1,296,319	36,078	97.2%
01-01-15	1,464,463	1,515,903	51,440	96.6%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2010	\$ 82,006	100.0%
2011	71,940	100.0%
2012	58,132	100.0%
2013	45,475	100.0%
2014	45,950	100.0%
2015	43,890	100.0%

DINGMAN TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2015

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 10 years

Asset valuation method Fair value

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 5.5%

Projected salary increases 3.0%

Cost-of-living adjustments 3.0% where applicable

DINGMAN TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN DISTRIBUTION LIST

This letter was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Mr. Thomas Mincer Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

Mr. Dennis Brink Township Supervisor

Mr. Kerry Welsh Township Supervisor

Ms. Karen Kleist Chief Administrative Officer

This letter is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the letter can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.