

# LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

---

## Greenville Borough Police Pension Plan Mercer County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2015

---

October 2016



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Department of the Auditor General  
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018  
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General  
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen  
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DePASQUALE  
AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council  
Greenville Borough  
Mercer County  
Greenville, PA 16125

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Greenville Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2015 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- Whether municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report, by inquiring of plan officials and evaluating supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken.
- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- Whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired during the engagement period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to recipients.
- Whether the January 1, 2011, January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2012, 2014 and 2016, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.


Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Greenville Borough Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this letter were discussed with officials of Greenville Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this letter. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

October 21, 2016



EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE  
Auditor General

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Status of Prior Finding .....	1
Supplementary Information .....	2
Distribution List .....	8

GREENVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Greenville Borough has complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following:

- Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid

The borough reimbursed \$2,477 to the Commonwealth for the overpayment of state aid.

GREENVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
 (UNAUDITED)

The supplementary information contained on Pages 2 and 3 reflect the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION  
 LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS  
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Total Pension Liability	
Service cost	\$ 116,864
Interest	368,732
Difference between expected and actual experience	(64,567)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	<u>(320,781)</u>
Net Change in Total Pension Liability	100,248
Total Pension Liability – Beginning	<u>6,745,605</u>
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	<u><u>\$ 6,845,853</u></u>
Plan Fiduciary Net Position	
Contributions – employer *	\$ 83,855
PMRS investment income	357,922
Market value investment income	(125,993)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions	(320,781)
PMRS administrative expense	(400)
Additional administrative expense	<u>(13,726)</u>
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	(19,123)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning	<u>6,833,791</u>
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	<u><u>\$ 6,814,668</u></u>
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	<u><u>\$ 31,185</u></u>
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability	99.5%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$ 513,022
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll	6.1%

\*Includes actuarial surplus used for disability insurance premiums of \$2,049 and administrative expenses of \$400.

GREENVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
 (UNAUDITED)

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2014, calculated using the discount rate of 5.5%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease 4.5%	Current Discount Rate 5.5%	1% Increase 6.5%
Net Pension Liability	\$ 833,400	\$ 31,185	\$ (639,247)

GREENVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2011, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age (b)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)
01-01-11	\$ 6,794,370	\$ 6,444,010	\$ (350,360)	105.4%
01-01-13	6,641,505	6,343,336	(298,169)	104.7%
01-01-15	7,004,980	6,845,853	(159,127)	102.3%

GREENVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
(UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

GREENVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
(UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER  
AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2010	\$ 67,326	100.0%
2011	74,045	100.0%
2012	77,739	100.0%
2013	60,746	100.0%
2014	66,408	122.6%
2015	72,749	100.0%

GREENVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
 NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES  
 (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2015
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	NA
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	Fair value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	5.5%, net of expenses
Projected salary increases *	Age-related scale for merit/seniority (e.g. age 30 – 6.4%; age 40 – 5.0%; age 50 – 4.1%; age 60 – 3.7%)
Cost-of-living adjustments	3.0%, where applicable

\* Includes inflation at 3.0%

GREENVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
DISTRIBUTION LIST

This letter was initially distributed to the following:

**The Honorable Tom W. Wolf**  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

**The Honorable Joshua Stephens**  
Mayor

**Mr. Brian W. Shipley**  
Council President

**Mr. Jonathan Bailey**  
Council Member

**Ms. Amy Hollowell**  
Council Member

**Ms. Linda Zuschlag**  
Council Member

**Ms. Kristy Fox**  
Council Member

**Mr. Paul Hamill**  
Council Member

**Ms. Janet Earle**  
Council Member

**Mr. Jasson W. Urey**  
Borough Manager

**Mr. David S. Hetrick**  
Finance Assistant

This letter is a matter of public record and is available online at [www.PaAuditor.gov](http://www.PaAuditor.gov). Media questions about the letter can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: [news@PaAuditor.gov](mailto:news@PaAuditor.gov).