# LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

# Mount Gretna Borough Non-Uniformed Pension Plan

Lebanon County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2015

August 2016



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Mount Gretna Borough Lebanon County Mount Gretna, PA 17064

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Mount Gretna Borough Non-Uniformed Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2015 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq</u>.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether annual employee contributions were required during the engagement period and, if so, were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the engagement period and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.

Whether the January 1, 2011, January 1, 2013, and January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2012, 2014, and 2016, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Mount Gretna Borough Non-Uniformed Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this letter were discussed with officials of Mount Gretna Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this letter. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

August 9, 2016

Eugnet: O-Pasper

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

# CONTENTS

# Page

Supplementary Information	1
Distribution List	. 7

The supplementary information contained on Pages 1 and 2 reflect the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

# SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Total Pension Liability		
Service cost	\$	62,121
Interest	т	55,417
Difference between expected and actual experience		(142,309)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		(1.2,007)
contributions		(3,372)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		(28,143)
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		965,967
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	937,824
Plan Fiduciary Net Position		
Contributions – employer	\$	21,927
Contribution – member		37,188
Net investment income		46,963
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		
contributions		(3,372)
Administrative expense		(2,053)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		100,653
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		887,555
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	988,208
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	(50,384)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total		
Pension Liability		105.37%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	310,199
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered		
Employee Payroll		(16.24%)

# Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough, calculated using the discount rate of 5.5%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (4.5%)	Current Discount Rate (5.5%)	1% Increase (6.5%)
Net Pension Liability	\$ 33,861	\$ (50,384)	\$ (124,022)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2011, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-11	\$ 625,151	\$ 633,789	\$ 8,638	98.6%
01-01-13	801,337	862,809	61,472	92.9%
01-01-15	1,002,220	937,824	(64,396)	106.9%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

# SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2010	\$ 29,428	100.0%
2011	25,655	100.1%
2012	27,529	100.0%
2013	21,470	100.0%
2014	21,927	100.0%
2015	30,199	100.0%

# MOUNT GRETNA BOROUGH NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2015
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	N/A
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	Market value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	5.5%, net of expenses
Projected salary increases *	4.1%
Cost-of-living adjustments	3.0%, where applicable

\* Includes inflation at 3.0%

#### MOUNT GRETNA BOROUGH NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN DISTRIBUTION LIST

This letter was initially distributed to the following:

# The Honorable Tom W. Wolf Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

#### **The Honorable Joseph Shay** Mayor

Mr. Charles Allwein Council President

# Ms. Virginia Minnich Council Vice-President

Mr. Michael Bell Council Member

#### Mr. William Klinefelter Council Member

# Ms. Lois Herr

Council Member

#### Mr. William Care Borough Manager

Ms. Linda Bell Chief Administrative Officer

This letter is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the letter can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.