COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Norristown Borough Police Pension Plan

Montgomery County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2022

March 2024



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

Borough Council Norristown Borough Montgomery County Norristown, PA 19401

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Norristown Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2022. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired and the plan members who elected to vest during the current audit period, and through the completion of our fieldwork procedures, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefits due to the retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid or payable to the recipients.
- · We determined whether the January 1, 2019 and January 1, 2021 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2020 and 2022, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- We determined whether all annual special ad hoc postretirement reimbursements received by the municipality were authorized and appropriately deposited in accordance with Act 147 by tracing information to supporting documentation maintained by plan officials.
- We determined whether provisions of the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) were in accordance with the provisions of Act 205 by examining provisions stated in the plan's governing documents.

Norristown Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Norristown Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials, and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Norristown Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation - Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600

The finding contained in this audit report repeats a condition that was cited in several previous audit reports that has not been corrected by borough officials. We are concerned by the borough's failure to correct this previously reported finding and strongly encourage timely implementation of the recommendation noted in this audit report.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Norristown Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General

Timothy L. Detool

March 6, 2024

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Status of Prior Findings	2
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation – Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600	3
Supplementary Information	6
Report Distribution List	10

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a two percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Norristown Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Act 147 Special Ad Hoc Municipal Police and Firefighter Postretirement Adjustment Act, Act of December 14, 1988 (P.L. 1192, No. 147), as amended, 53 P.S. § 896.101 et seq.
- Act 177 General Local Government Code, Act of December 19, 1996 (P.L. 1158, No. 177), as amended, 53 Pa.C.S. § 101 et seq.
- Act 600 Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The Norristown Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 05-30-2005, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers. The plan was established January 1, 1945. Active members are required to contribute 8.0 percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2022, the plan had 55 active members, 1 terminated member eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 82 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

NORRISTOWN BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

Compliance With Prior Recommendations

Norristown Borough has complied with the prior recommendations concerning the following:

· Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In A Net Underpayment Of State Aid

During the current audit period, municipal officials complied with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 and accurately reported the required police pension data; and

· Failure To Maintain An Adequate Record-Keeping System

During the current audit period, municipal officials maintained an adequate record-keeping system for the pension plan.

Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation

Norristown Borough has not complied with the prior recommendation concerning the following as further discussed in the Finding and Recommendation section of this report:

· Pension Benefits Not In Compliance With Act 600

NORRISTOWN BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

<u>Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation – Pension Benefits Not In</u> <u>Compliance With Act 600</u>

<u>Condition</u>: The borough operates under a home rule charter pursuant to the Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law, 53 Pa. C.S. § 2901 <u>et seq</u>. (previously 53 P.S. § 1-101 <u>et seq</u>.). As disclosed in the prior several audit reports, the plan's normal retirement benefit options provide for actuarial equivalent benefits that are not in compliance with Act 600, and which conflicts with the collective bargaining agreement between the police officers and the borough, as noted below:

Benefit		Collective Bargaining	Act 600
Provision	Governing Document	Agreement	(as amended)
Normal retirement benefit options	A married police officer may elect a joint and 100% survivor annuity instead of a joint and 50% survivor annuity when he retires. That means that the plan will pay benefits in the same equal monthly installments to such a police officer and to his surviving spouse for the duration of their lifetimes. The surviving spouse shall continue to receive this benefit even if she remarries. If no spouse survives, or if she survives and subsequently dies, the child or children under the age of 18 years, or if attending college under or attaining the age of 23 years, shall receive the same benefit. The joint and 100% survivor annuity will be the actuarial equivalent of a fully subsidized joint and 50% survivor annuity. (Emphasis added.)	Not addressed	Not authorized

<u>Criteria</u>: On January 24, 2001, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinion in *Municipality of Monroeville v. Monroeville Police Department Wage Policy Committee*. Therein, the court held that Section 2962(c)(5) of the Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law, 53 Pa. C.S. § 2962(c)(5), "clearly precludes home rule municipalities from providing pension benefits different from those prescribed in general law including Act 600."

NORRISTOWN BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

<u>Finding – (Continued)</u>

Furthermore, Section 5(c) of Act 600, states, in part:

Monthly pension or retirement benefits other than length of service increments shall be computed at one half the monthly average salary of such member during not more than the last sixty nor less than the last thirty-six months of employment.

In addition, Section 1(a)(4) of Act 600 provides that the governing body shall prescribe a survivor's benefit "calculated at no less than fifty per centum of the pension the member was receiving or would have been receiving had he been retired at the time of his death."

<u>Cause</u>: In prior audits, borough officials did not agree that joint and survivor benefit options that are actuarially equivalent to a fully subsidized joint and 50 percent survivor annuity were not in compliance with Act 600.

<u>Effect</u>: Inconsistent plan documents could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan. In addition, providing actuarial equivalent benefits that are not in compliance with Act 600 could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

Providing unauthorized pension benefits could increase the plan's pension costs and reduce the amount of funds available for investment purposes or for the payment of authorized benefits or administrative expenses. Since the borough received state aid based on unit value during the current audit period, it did not receive allocations attributable to the unauthorized pension benefits provided. However, the provision of unauthorized pension benefits could result in the receipt of excess state aid in the future and increase the municipal contributions necessary to fund the plan in accordance with Act 205 funding standards.

<u>Recommendation</u>: The department has determined that it will not penalize a home rule municipality for granting benefits not authorized by Act 600 to existing retirees or to individuals who began full time employment before January 24, 2001 (the date *Monroeville* was issued). However, the department expects the borough to restrict pension benefits to those authorized by Act 600 for all employees who began full time employment on or after that date.

Special note should be taken that the department's application of *Monroeville* only to employees hired on or after January 24, 2001, does <u>not</u> sanction (1) a municipality's granting excess benefits to existing or future employees when none had been granted as of January 24, 2001, or (2) a municipality's increasing excess benefits for existing or future employees beyond those that had been granted as of that date.

NORRISTOWN BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

<u>Finding – (Continued)</u>

Therefore, we again recommend that municipal officials take appropriate action to eliminate any benefits not authorized by Act 600 for police officers hired on or after January 24, 2001, at their earliest opportunity to do so. Furthermore, such benefits may be deemed ineligible for funding with state pension aid. In such case, the plan's actuary may be required to determine the impact, if any, of the unauthorized benefits on the borough's future state aid allocations and submit this information to the department.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception. The borough provided the following response:

The clarification required to our governing document for the Norristown Police Pension Plan was discussed at the December 14, 2023 board meeting. Our legal counsel understands what is needed and will draft the changes to the governing document.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Based on the management response, it appears municipal officials intend to comply with the finding recommendation. Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

NORRISTOWN BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2017, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-17	\$ 24,994,910	\$ 40,570,757	\$ 15,575,847	61.6%
01-01-19	29,101,049	44,296,879	15,195,830	65.7%
01-01-21	41,606,648	49,691,021	8,084,373	83.7%

NORRISTOWN BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

NORRISTOWN BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Year Ended December 31	Actuarially Determined Contribution	Actual Contributions	Contribution Deficiency (Excess)	Covered- Employee Payroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll
2013	\$ 1,567,645	\$ 1,567,645	\$ -	\$5,698,376	27.51%
2014	1,580,660	1,580,660	-	6,494,921	24.34%
2015	2,213,742	2,213,742	-	6,819,667	32.46%
2016	2,364,835	2,364,835	-	6,681,750	35.39%
2017	2,388,825	2,388,825	-	6,831,479	34.97%
2018	2,566,022	2,566,022	-	6,974,654	36.79%
2019	2,550,190	2,550,190	-	7,308,123	34.90%
2020	2,711,571	2,711,571	-	7,190,391	37.71%
2021	2,809,733	2,809,733	-	7,000,400	40.14%
2022	2,293,243	2,293,243	_	7,034,382	32.60%

NORRISTOWN BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2021

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 6 years

Asset valuation method Market value

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 7.5%

Projected salary increases 4.5%

Cost-of-living adjustments The lifetime maximum COLA is 5%

of final average salary.

NORRISTOWN BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Joshua D. Shapiro

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

> Mr. Thomas Lepera Council President

Ms. Rebecca Smith
Council Vice President

Mr. Rashaad Bates
Councilman

Ms. Tiffany Hendley Councilwoman

Mr. Dustin Queenan Councilman

Mr. William McCoy
Councilman

Mr. Crandall Jones
Municipal Administrator

Ms. Kathy Pfister Finance Director

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.