## LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

## Perkasie Borough Non-Uniformed Pension Plan

Bucks County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2015

August 2016



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Perkasie Borough Bucks County Perkasie, PA 18944

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Perkasie Borough Non-Uniformed Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2015 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

#### Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired during the engagement period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to recipients.

Whether the January 1, 2011, January 1, 2013, and January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2012, 2014, and 2016, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Perkasie Borough Non-Uniformed Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this letter were discussed with officials of Perkasie Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this letter.

August 8, 2016

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugrafi O-Pasper

**Auditor General** 

#### CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Supplementary Information	1
Distribution List	7

The supplementary information contained on Pages 1 and 2 reflect the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

#### SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Total Pension Liability		
Service cost	\$	186,688
Interest		410,898
Difference between expected and actual experience		161,759
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(288,078)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		471,267
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		7,426,305
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	7,897,572
Plan Fiduciary Net Position		
Contributions - employer*	\$	114,416
Net investment income	·	408,681
Transfers		39
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(288,078)
Administrative expense		(16,142)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position	-	218,916
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning		7,372,085
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	7,591,001
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	306,571
The Tension Enablity Enabling (a b)	Ψ	300,571
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension		
Liability		96.12%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	1,584,147
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		19.35%
•		

<sup>\*</sup> Employer contributions include \$1,667 of expenses.

#### Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough, calculated using the discount rate of 5.5%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Current		
	1% Decrease	Discount Rate	1% Increase
	(4.5%)	(5.5%)	(6.5%)
Net Pension Liability	\$ 1,397,594	\$ 306,571	\$ (604,880)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2011, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-11	\$ 6,729,523	\$ 6,336,629	\$ (392,894)	106.2%
01-01-13	7,236,323	7,122,986	(113,337)	101.6%
01-01-15	7,766,609	7,952,447	185,838	97.7%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

## SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2010	\$ 99,596	100.0%
2011	112,027	126.5%
2012	93,626	100.0%
2013	102,976	100.1%
2014	112,749	100.0%
2015	172,041	100.0%

#### PERKASIE BOROUGH NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2015

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 15 years

Asset valuation method Fair value

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return \* 5.5%, net of expenses

Projected salary increases \* 4.1%

Cost-of-living adjustments 3.0%, where applicable

<sup>\*</sup> Includes inflation at 3.0%

## PERKASIE BOROUGH NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN DISTRIBUTION LIST

This letter was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable John Hollenbach

Mayor

Mr. James A. Ryder

Council President

Mr. James E. Purcell

Council Vice President

Mr. Matthew Aigeldinger

Councilman

Mr. Steven F. Pizzollo

Councilman

Mr. Chuck Brooks

Councilman

Ms. Florence Frei

Councilwoman

Mr. Rich Brummett

Councilman

### PERKASIE BOROUGH NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN DISTRIBUTION LIST

Mr. Scott Bomboy
Councilman

Ms. Suzanne Kravitz
Councilwoman

**Ms. Andrea L. Coaxum**Borough Manager

**Mr. Joseph Berardi** Finance Director

This letter is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the letter can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.