LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

Roseto Borough Police Pension Plan

Northampton County, Pennsylvania

For the Period
January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016

November 2017



COUNCIL 90
AFSCME
UNION LABEL

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council
Roseto Borough
Northampton County
Roseto, PA 18013

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Roseto Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.

- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- Whether the January 1, 2011, January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the former Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2012, 2014 and 2016, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Roseto Borough Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Return Of Unused Monies To The Commonwealth

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Roseto Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

November 2, 2017

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
Auditor General

CONTENTS

Page

Finding and Recommendation:

Finding – Return Of Unused Monies To The Commonwealth 1

Supplementary Information 3

Report Distribution List 7

ROSETO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding – Return Of Unused Monies To The Commonwealth

Condition: Roseto Borough has not employed a full-time paid police officer since December 31, 2010. However, the borough has retained assets in the police pension plan which, as of December 31, 2016, totaled $222,783.

Criteria: Municipalities that have received state aid allocations pursuant to Act 120, and which do not employ at least one full-time paid police officer, must return unused funds to the Commonwealth pursuant to the provisions of Act 120, at 72 P.S. 2263.3, which states, in part:

> All monies distributed under the terms hereof, that are not used for the purposes set forth herein within two years after receipt thereof by the treasurers of several cities, boroughs, towns and townships, shall be returned to the General Fund for distribution…

In addition, Act 205, at Section 402(g), directs that:

> Any general municipal pension system State aid received by a municipality shall, only be used to defray the cost of the pension plan or pension plans maintained by the municipality…

Furthermore, Section 402(j) authorizes the Auditor General to administer the General Municipal Pension State Aid Program. This administrative power necessarily extends to ensuring that state aid is both allocated and used in accordance with the act.

Cause: Plan officials were unsure of the proper disposition of the unused funds.

Effect: The borough is improperly retaining unneeded funds that should be returned to the Commonwealth for redistribution to eligible municipalities for reimbursement of eligible pension costs.

Recommendation: We recommend that borough officials, with the assistance of their solicitor and the plan's actuary, identify any outstanding liabilities that need to be satisfied from pension plan assets.

After accounting for any remaining liabilities and municipal contributions, the remaining police pension plan assets should be returned to the Commonwealth. A check, along with any applicable calculations used in determining the amount reimbursed, should be made payable to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and submitted to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pensions & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 321 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120.

Finding – (Continued)

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next engagement.

ROSETO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
(UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2011, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age (b)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)
01-01-11	$ 191,402	$ 41,854	$ (149,548)	457.3%
01-01-13	189,943	0	(189,943)	N/A
01-01-15	223,980	0	(223,980)	N/A

ROSETO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
(UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

ROSETO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
(UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER
AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2011	None	N/A
2012	None	N/A
2013	None	N/A
2014	None	N/A
2015	None	N/A
2016	None	N/A

ROSETO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES
(UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2015
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	N/A
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	Fair value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.0%
Projected salary increases	4.5%

ROSETO BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN
REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf
Governor
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Joseph C. Angelini
Mayor

Mr. Michael Romano
Council President

Ms. Cathy Martino
Borough Manager

Mr. Kenneth Tillman
Chief Administrative Officer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.