# LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

# Washington Township Police Pension Plan

Fayette County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016

September 2017



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors Washington Township Fayette County Belle Vernon, PA 15012

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Washington Township Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq</u>.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- Whether municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior audit report, by inquiring of plan officials and evaluating supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken.
- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- Whether annual employee contributions were required during the engagement period and, if so, were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the engagement period and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- Whether the January 1, 2011, January 1, 2013, and January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the former Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2012, 2014, and 2016, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Washington Township Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The township should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Washington Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

September 22, 2017

Eugent. O-Pasper

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

# CONTENTS

# Page

Status of Prior Findings	1
Supplementary Information	2
Report Distribution List	8

#### WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

#### Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendations

Washington Township has complied with the prior audit recommendations concerning the following:

#### · <u>Undocumented Payroll Used For Vested Pension Benefit Calculation</u>

Municipal officials reviewed the pension benefit determination with PMRS, recalculated the pension benefit based on accurate payroll information, and reduced the monthly pension benefit commencing in June 2014.

• Failure To Deduct Employee Contributions In Accordance With The Provisions Of The Governing Plan Document

Prior to the employee terminating employment with the municipality, an additional \$992 of employee contributions were deposited into the plan. Furthermore, employee contributions were deducted at the rates specified by the plan.

The supplementary information contained on pages 2 and 3 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

# SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2015

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	26,306	\$	27,710
Interest		39,015		39,909
Difference between expected and actual experience		(30,793)		-
Changes of assumptions		-		(26,146)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(19,742)		(19,611)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		14,786		21,862
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		692,798		707,584
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	707,584	\$	729,446
Plan Fiduciary Net Position				
Contributions – employer	\$	20,923	\$	39,228
Contribution – member	Ŷ	4,788	Ŷ	5,587
PMRS investment income		38,568		41,213
Market value investment income		(4,009)		(47,469)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(19,742)		(19,611)
PMRS administrative expense		(100)		(100)
Additional administrative expense		(1,479)		(1,718)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		38,949		17,130
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning		699,117		738,066
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	738,066	\$	755,196
	Ψ	120,000	Ψ	100,170
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	(30,482)	\$	(25,750)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability		104.31%		103.53%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	95,738	\$	132,961
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		(31.84%)		(19.37%)

#### Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the township as of December 31, 2014 and 2015, calculated using the discount rate of 5.50%, as well as what the township's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Current		
	1% Decrease (4.50%)	Discount Rate (5.50%)	1% Increase (6.50%)
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/14	\$ 54,690	\$ (30,482)	\$ (100,950)
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/15	\$ 73,324	\$ (25,750)	\$ (108,065)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2011, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-11	\$ 566,106	\$ 527,635	\$ (38,471)	107.3%
01-01-13	677,233	650,600	(26,633)	104.1%
01-01-15	755,101	707,584	(47,517)	106.7%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

# SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2011	\$ 11,737	100.0%
2012	12,784	104.6%
2013	14,472	100.3%
2014	19,133	108.7%
2015	33,385	118.0%
2016	31,423	100.0%

#### WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2015
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	N/A
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	Fair value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	5.5%, net of expenses
Projected salary increases *	Age-related scale for merit/ seniority (e.g. age 30 - 6.4%; age 40 - 5.0%; age 50 - 4.1%; age 60 - 3.7%)
Cost-of-living adjustments	3.0%, where applicable

\* Includes inflation at 3.0%

#### WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

#### The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Mr. Dan Moody Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

> Mr. Charles E. Yusko Township Supervisor

**Ms. Jan Amoroso** Township Supervisor

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.