



**DISTRICT COURT 39-2-01
FRANKLIN COUNTY
EXAMINATION REPORT
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012**

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DePASQUALE
AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue
Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 39-2-01, Franklin County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2012, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c). This Statement is the responsibility of the District Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

This is a revised report which was previously dated April 18, 2014, and is revised due to the previous report's omission of an officeholder in Note 5 of the Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements as contained in this report.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2012, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.


In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Statement and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District Court's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District Court's Statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over the reporting on the Statement:

- Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Statement would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.



January 31, 2014

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	2
Finding and Recommendations:	
Finding - Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated	3
Report Distribution	5

DISTRICT COURT 39-2-01
FRANKLIN COUNTY
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Receipts:

Department of Transportation		
Title 75 Fines	\$	308,956
Commercial Driver Fines		1,000
Littering Law Fines		488
Child Restraint Fines		2,024
Department of Revenue Court Costs		291,368
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs		54,402
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs		38,744
Domestic Violence Costs		14,021
Department of Agriculture Fines		8,761
Emergency Medical Service Fines		64,085
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges		195,566
Judicial Computer System Fees		119,470
Access to Justice Fees		33,084
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees		7,233
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges		32,904
Constable Service Surcharges		21,423
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs		3,276
		<hr/>
Total receipts (Note 2)		1,196,805
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)		<hr/> <u>(1,196,805)</u>
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)		-
Examination adjustments		<hr/> -
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2012	\$	<hr/> <hr/> -

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

DISTRICT COURT 39-2-01
FRANKLIN COUNTY
NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ 1,196,805
-----------------------	--------------

4. Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2008 To December 31, 2012

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

5. Magisterial District Judges Serving During Examination Period

Gary L. Carter served at District Court 39-2-01 for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2011. Glenn K. Manns served at District Court 39-2-01 for the period January 3, 2012 to December 31, 2012.

DISTRICT COURT 39-2-01
FRANKLIN COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Finding - Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated

Our examination of the district court's accounting records disclosed that the office copy of the bank deposit slip was not validated by the bank in 75 of the 75 deposits tested. The district court received a validated receipt from the bank, but this only confirmed the total amount deposited and not the actual make up of the deposit (i.e. cash and check mix).

Good internal accounting controls require that the amount of each check and the total amount of cash deposited are identified on the deposit slip. The office copy of each deposit should be brought to the bank to be validated. If the bank cannot validate the deposit slip, the district court should obtain a deposit ticket for the bank that validates total cash and the total deposit. After the district court receives the validation from the bank, it should be reconciled to the receipts by someone other than the person preparing or making the deposit.

Without a good system of internal control over funds received by the district court, the possibility of funds being lost or misappropriated increases significantly.

The district court was not aware of the potential internal control weaknesses caused by not having a validated deposit slip or deposit ticket from the bank.

Recommendations

We recommend that the district court obtain a validation from the bank as to the mix of cash and checks deposited. We further recommend that the validation is reconciled to receipts by someone other than the person preparing or making the deposit.

DISTRICT COURT 39-2-01
FRANKLIN COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Finding - Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated (Continued)

Management's Response

The Magisterial District Judge responded as follows:

During our audit exit interview on January 31, 2014, we were advised of a written finding for the failure of the bank to give a verification of the cash amount in the daily deposits. Our bank was giving us a verification of the total deposit amount only. This practice of the bank verifying our daily deposits in this manner has been in existence for over twenty years. I have been advised that not once in a previous audit were we advised that this was not an acceptable method of verification. I find it extremely unfair to penalize this court with this written finding when the previous auditors had many opportunities to advise this court that the current method of verification was unacceptable so that we could take corrective action.

We immediately contacted our bank to correct this deficiency and are now receiving the proper verification for cash deposits.

Auditor's Conclusion

We appreciate the district court's efforts to correct this issue. The district court did obtain validated deposit slips from the bank during the prior examination period. If the court had not obtained validated deposit slips from the bank, a finding would have been included in the prior report. During our next examination we will determine if the office complied with our recommendations.

DISTRICT COURT 39-2-01
FRANKLIN COUNTY
REPORT DISTRIBUTION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmunt Pines
Court Administrator of Pennsylvania
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable Glenn K. Manns	Magisterial District Judge
The Honorable David Keller	Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners
The Honorable Carol Fix Diller	Controller
Mr. Mark Singer	District Court Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.