

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY 08-236

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Windham, Bradford County, for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Windham, Bradford County's Forms MS-965 for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

In our opinion, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Windham, Bradford County, for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Township of Windham, Bradford County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Township of Windham, Bradford County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Windham, Bradford County's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Township of Windham, Bradford County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we did note other matters that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, have been included in the findings below:

- Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements.
- Purchase Of Uncertified Stone.
- Related Party Transaction.

<u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Windham, Bradford County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

May 27, 2014

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent O-Pager

Auditor General

CONTENTS

<u>P</u>	age)
Background	1
Financial Section:	
2011 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	2
2012 Form MS-965 With Adjustments	5
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments	8
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements	14
Finding No. 2 – Purchase Of Uncertified Stone	15
Finding No. 3 - Related Party Transaction	16
Comment	18
Summary Of Exit Conference	19
Report Distribution	20

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	7,497.78	\$	41.96	\$	7,539.74
Minor equipment purchases	T	1,959.40	,	-		1,959.40
Computer/Computer related training		_		-		-
Agility projects		_		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		_		-		-
Winter maintenance services		25,284.61		-		25,284.61
Traffic control devices		208.87		-		208.87
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		54,901.82		-		54,901.82
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		93,402.10		-		93,402.10
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous (Note 6)		58.17		(41.96)		16.21
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	183,312.75	\$	-	\$	183,312.75

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2011	\$ 35,888.10		\$	(130.00)	\$	35,758.10
Receipts:						
2. State allocation		84,765.03		-		84,765.03
2a. Turnback allocation		16,320.00		-		16,320.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		16.87		-		16.87
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		48,043.96		130.00		48,173.96
3. Total receipts		149,145.86		130.00		149,275.86
4. Total funds available		185,033.96				185,033.96
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		183,312.75				183,312.75
6. Balance, December 31, 2011	\$	1,721.21	\$	-	\$	1,721.21

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	35,888.10	\$	(130.00)	\$	35,758.10
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	20,217.01		-		20,217.01
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						<u>-</u>
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		56,105.11		(130.00)		55,975.11
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		7,497.78		41.96		7,539.74
6. Remainder		48,607.33		(171.96)		48,435.37
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	1,721.21	\$		\$	1,721.21

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	3,684.92	\$	46.06	\$	3,730.98
Minor equipment purchases		6,314.87		-		6,314.87
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		3,500.08		-		3,500.08
Traffic control devices		655.94		-		655.94
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		28,157.14		-		28,157.14
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		96,649.18		-		96,649.18
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		-		-
Miscellaneous		46.06		(46.06)		
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	139,008.19	\$		\$	139,008.19

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2012	\$ 1,591.20		\$	130.01	\$	1,721.21
Receipts:						
2. State allocation		86,635.70		-		86,635.70
2a. Turnback allocation		16,320.00		-		16,320.00
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		13.49		-		13.49
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)		61,209.66		-		61,209.66
3. Total receipts		164,178.85				164,178.85
4. Total funds available		165,770.05		130.01		165,900.06
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		139,008.19				139,008.19
6. Balance, December 31, 2012	\$	26,761.86	\$	130.01	\$	26,891.87

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

	Reported	U	ote 4)		Adjusted Amount
\$	1,591.20	\$	130.01	\$	1,721.21
n	20,591.14		-		20,591.14
	<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		
	22,182.34		130.01		22,312.35
	3,684.92		46.06		3,730.98
	18,497.42		83.95		18,581.37
\$	18,497.42	\$	83.95	\$	18,581.37
		\$ 1,591.20 n 20,591.14 	\$ 1,591.20 \$ n 20,591.14 22,182.34 3,684.92 18,497.42	\$ 1,591.20 \$ 130.01 n 20,591.14 - 22,182.34 130.01 3,684.92 46.06 18,497.42 83.95	\$ 1,591.20 \$ 130.01 \$ n 20,591.14 - 22,182.34 130.01 3,684.92 46.06 18,497.42 83.95

Notes to Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00 prior to 2012 and in excess of \$10,000.00 during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less prior to 2012 and \$10,000.00 or less during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. Criteria (Continued)

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2012. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2012 consists of the following:

Cash \$26,891.87

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$16.87 during 2011, and \$13.49 during 2012, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

2011 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Major equipment purchases" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$41.96 were misclassified.

2011 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$(130.00) was made to "Balance, January 1, 2011" because prior report adjustments were not carried forward in the fund balance.

An adjustment of \$130.00 was made to "Miscellaneous" because these receipts were understated.

2011 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$(130.00) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because prior report adjustments were not carried forward in the equipment balance.

An adjustment of \$41.96 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2011 - Section 1.

4. Adjustments (Continued)

2012 - Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Major equipment purchases" and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$46.06 were misclassified.

2012 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$130.01 was made to "Balance, January 1, 2012" because an incorrect fund balance was reported.

2012 - Section 3

An adjustment of \$130.01 was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was reported.

An adjustment of \$46.06 was made to "Major equipment expenditures" to reflect the adjustment made to major equipment purchases in 2012 - Section 1.

5. <u>Miscellaneous Receipts</u>

The following miscellaneous receipts were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Source	Description	2011	2012
General Fund	Reimbursement (Comment)	\$ 4,500.00	\$ -
General Fund	Pipe	147.60	Ψ -
General Fund	Grant	22,708.62	-
P.E.M.A.	Severe weather assistance	20,687.74	-
Resident	Reimbursement for dust oil	130.00	4,452.00
General Fund	Dirt and gravel road project		
	(Finding No. 1)		56,757.66
Totals		\$48,173.96	\$61,209.66

6. <u>Miscellaneous Expenditures</u>

On October 31, 2011 the municipality expended \$16.21 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for a late payment charge from a vendor.

7. Bank Loan

On May 5, 2005, the municipality borrowed \$95,563.66 from the First Citizen National Bank to purchase a 2005 John Deere tractor for \$31,831.92 and to refinance two bank loans that were originally used for the purchase of a grader and truck with balances of \$41,549.28 and \$22,182.46, respectively. The term of the loan was for 72 months at a variable interest rate. Principal and interest payments of \$1,525.58 are due monthly for the first 60 payments with the final 12 payments based on any rate changes. Prior years' principal and interest payments from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund were \$20,896.71 and \$1,853.29, respectively. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of \$67,171.99 and interest of \$12,157.68, plus late fees of \$305.12 from the General Fund.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$7,494.96 and interest of \$44.78 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2011 Form MS-965 - Section 1. The loan was paid-in-full on May 2, 2011.

8. Bank Loan

On July 30, 2012, the municipality borrowed \$49,334.00 from Peoples State Bank to purchase a 2008 John Deere backhoe. The term of the loan was for five years at an interest rate of 4.50 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$921.23 are due monthly.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$2,942.16 and interest of \$742.76, plus a late fee of \$46.06 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2012 Form MS-965 - Section 1. The outstanding balance of the loan as of December 31, 2012 was \$46,391.84, plus interest.

<u>Finding No. 1 - Noncompliance With Advertising And Bidding Requirements</u>

Our examination disclosed that the township expended \$34,763.03 during 2012 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for repairs to Merry Go Round Road without advertising for bids. These repairs were a portion of a dirt and gravel road project.

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the advertising, bidding, and contract requirements of *The Second Class Township Code*, 53 P.S. § 68102(a), (also found at § 3102(a) of *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which requires that purchases over \$18,500.00 must be advertised, bid, and awarded by contract. The Department of Labor and Industry shall determine future increases to the bidding threshold based on the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers. *The Second Class Township Code*, 53 P.S. § 68104(a), (also found at § 3104(a) of *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission) further states that advertising, bidding and contract requirements should not be evaded through piecemeal purchases.

During 2012 the municipality received a total of \$56,757.66 from the Bradford County Conservation District for the dirt and gravel road project. This money was deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the township comply with *The Second Class Township Code* as noted in this finding. The thresholds for advertising and bidding increased to purchases over \$18,900.00 during 2013 and \$19,100.00 during 2014.

Management's Response

The township officials stated:

I agree with the finding and reimbursement was made.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

Finding No. 2 – Purchase Of Uncertified Stone

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$9,784.47 on October 31, 2011, from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for stone. However, the stone was not certified by the materials unit of the Department of Transportation.

The above purchase was not made in compliance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 408*, Section 106.02(a), which states:

Have the source of the material approved before delivery to a project.

The failure to follow the Department of Transportation's *Publication 408* as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse this money to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

On December 5, 2011, the municipality received \$20,687.74 from P.E.M.A. for severe weather assistance. The stone purchased was included in this reimbursement and the monies were deposited into the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

We did not note any nonpermissible expenditures during 2012.

Recommendation

We recommend that, in the future, the municipality continue to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 408* regarding permissible expenditures.

Management's Response

The municipal secretary stated:

I agree with the finding and reimbursement has been made.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

Finding No. 3 - Related Party Transaction

Our examination disclosed that the township expended \$2,320.00 during 2011 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for repairs to various roads that were damaged due to flooding. The work was done by a supervisor's father. Because the supervisor's father did the work, and due to the lack of an open and public award process, this contract appears to violate *The Second Class Township Code* and The Public Official and Employee Ethics Act. Therefore, it should not have been entered into by the township.

The Second Class Township Code, 53 P.S. § 68102(i), as amended, states, in part:

No township official, either elected or appointed, or township employee who knows, or who by the exercise of reasonable diligence could know, shall be interested to any appreciable degree, either directly or indirectly, in any contract for the sale or furnishing of any supplies or materials for the use of the township or for any work to be done for such township involving the expenditure by the township of more than five hundred dollars (\$500.00) in any year unless the contract is awarded through the public bid process. This limitation does not apply if the officer or appointee of the township is an employe of the person, firm or corporations to which the money is to be paid in a capacity with no possible influence on the transaction and the officer cannot possibly be benefited thereby, either financially or otherwise. If a supervisor is within this exception, the supervisor shall so inform the board of supervisors and refrain from voting on the payments and shall in no manner participate in the contract. . . .

This information is also published in § 3102(i) of *The Second Class Township Code* as published by the Local Government Commission.

The Public Official and Employee Ethics Act ("Ethics Act"), 65 P.S. 1103(f), states, in part:

No public official or public employee or his spouse or child or any business in which the person or his spouse or child is associated shall enter into any contract valued at \$500 or more with the governmental body with which the public official or public employee is associated or any subcontract valued at \$500 or more with any person who has been awarded a contract with the governmental body with which the public official or public employee is associated, unless the contract has been awarded through an open and public process, including prior public notice and subsequent public disclosure of all proposals considered and contracts awarded. In such a case, the public official or public employee shall not have any supervisory or overall responsibility for the implementation or administration of the contract.

Finding No. 3 - Related Party Transaction (Continued)

Because this contract violates *The Second Class Township Code* and the Ethics Act the township could be required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation to reimburse \$2,320.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Copies of this finding will be forwarded to the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the State Ethics Commission for their review and whatever action they may deem appropriate.

We did not note any related party transactions during 2012.

Recommendations

We recommend that the township reimburse \$2,320.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the township continue to comply with *The Second Class Township Code* and the Ethics Act.

Management's Response

The township secretary stated:

I agree with the finding and reimbursement will be made.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Comment - Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendations

Our prior examination disclosed that the municipality transferred \$4,500.00 from its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to its General Fund for payroll in excess of the amount incurred for permissible liquid fuels expenditures.

On October 20, 2011, the municipality transferred \$4,500.00 from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to correct the excess transfer.

In our prior report we recommended that the municipality transfer money from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to other funds only for expenditures incurred.

During our current examination we noted that the municipality complied with our recommendation.

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

An exit conference was held November 21, 2013. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM

Ms. A. Lynette Nickels, Secretary

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Jerome Werner, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

TOWNSHIP OF WINDHAM BRADFORD COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Mr. Robert P. Caruso Executive Director Pennsylvania State Ethics Commission

> Township of Windham Bradford County 38846 Route 187 Rome, PA 18837

The Honorable David E. English Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

Ms. A. Lynette Nickels Secretary

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.