



# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

# **EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**

# **DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

# Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 32-1-33, Delaware County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S § 401(c). This Statement is the responsibility of the District Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

#### Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Statement and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District Court's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District Court's Statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Statement was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Statement.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*. However, we did note an other matter that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, has been included in the finding below:

• Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures - Recurring.

#### Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eugent: O-Purger

June 20, 2014

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

# CONTENTS

-	
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	2
Finding And Recommendations:	
Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures - Recurring	3
Report Distribution	6

# Page

## DISTRICT COURT 32-1-33 DELAWARE COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Receipts:

Department of Transportation	
Title 75 Fines	\$ 137,004
Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines	500
Commercial Driver Fines	500
Littering Law Fines	164
Child Restraint Fines	2,534
Department of Revenue Court Costs	307,767
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs	24,456
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs	17,467
Domestic Violence Costs	5,822
Emergency Medical Service Fines	47,853
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges	144,641
Judicial Computer System Fees	132,450
Access to Justice Fees	36,301
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees	7,458
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges	33,977
Constable Service Surcharges	46,461
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs	 2,084
Total receipts (Note 2)	947,439
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)	 (947,439)
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)	-
Examination adjustments	 -
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012	\$ 

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

### DISTRICT COURT 32-1-33 DELAWARE COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

#### 1. <u>Criteria</u>

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. <u>Receipts</u>

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

3. <u>Disbursements</u>

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue

\$ 947,439

### 4. <u>Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2009 To</u> <u>December 31, 2012</u>

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

5. <u>Magisterial District Judge Serving During Examination Period</u>

Harry J. Karapalides served at District Court 32-1-33 for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012.

## DISTRICT COURT 32-1-33 DELAWARE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

#### Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures - Recurring

We cited the district court for inadequate arrest warrant procedures in the last two examinations, with the most recent being for the period ending December 31, 2008. Our current examination found that the district court did not correct this issue. Warrants are used to enforce the collection of monies on traffic and non-traffic cases in which defendants failed to make payments when required. A Warrant of Arrest (AOPC 417) is used to authorize an official to arrest a defendant, to collect fines and costs from the defendant after a disposition, or to collect collateral for a trial. If the defendant does not respond within ten days to a citation or summons, a Warrant of Arrest may be issued.

During our testing of warrant procedures, we noted that warrant procedures established by the *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual* (Manual) were not always followed. The Magisterial District Judge did not consistently issue warrants when required. We tested 38 instances in which a warrant was required to be issued. Our testing disclosed that six were not issued timely. The time of issuance ranged from 65 days to 148 days.

In addition, of 38 warrants required to be returned or recalled, 4 were not returned or recalled, and 24 were not returned timely. The time of issuance to the time of return ranged from 200 days to 1,104 days.

The Manual establishes the uniform written internal control policies and procedures for all district courts.

**Warrant Issuance Procedures:** The Manual states that on October 1, 1998, new warrant procedures took effect for summary cases. Amendments were made to Pa.R.Crim.P. Rules 430, 431, 454, 455, 456, 460, 461, and 462. To comply with the new changes, the Notice of Impending Warrant (AOPC A418) was created with the purpose of informing the defendant that failure to pay the amount due or to appear for a Payment Determination Hearing will result in the issuance of an arrest warrant. The defendant is also informed that his/her response must be made within ten days of the date of the notice.

According to Pa.R.Crim.P. Rule 430, a Notice of Impending Warrant may be issued in a postdisposition summary case for any of the following reasons:

• A guilty disposition is recorded and no payment is made or a time payment schedule is not created.

# DISTRICT COURT 32-1-33 DELAWARE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

#### Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures - Recurring (Continued)

- A guilty disposition is recorded and a previously deposited collateral payment, when applied, does not pay the case balance in full.
- A guilty disposition is recorded and the defendant defaults on a time payment schedule.

According to Pa.R.Crim.P. 430, a warrant SHALL be issued in a summary case for any of the following reasons (a Notice of Impending Warrant is not necessary for the following):

- The defendant has failed to respond to a citation or summons that was served either personally or by certified mail, return receipt requested.
- The citation or summons is returned undeliverable.
- The Magisterial District Judge has reasonable grounds to believe that the defendant will not obey a summons.

**Warrant Return Procedures:** The Manual states that the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) recommends that those in possession of arrest warrants should be notified to return warrants that have not been served. For summary traffic and non-traffic cases, outstanding warrants should be returned to the Magisterial District Judge's office within 60 days of issuance. Returned warrants can either be recorded in the Magisterial District Judge System (MDJS) as unserved, if the defendant is unable to be located; or they can be recalled for reissue, if the server has not exhausted all means of finding the defendant.

The failure to follow warrant procedures could result in uncollected fines and unpunished offenders. Additionally, the risk is increased for funds to be lost or misappropriated.

This condition existed because the district court ignored our prior audit recommendation to review tickler reports for warrants daily. Adherence to the uniform internal control policies and procedures, as set forth in the Manual, would have ensured that there were adequate internal controls over warrants.

#### Recommendations

We strongly recommend again that the district court review the tickler reports for warrants daily and take appropriate action as required by the Manual. We further recommend that the court review warrant control reports and notify police or other officials to return warrants that are unserved for 60 days for summary traffic and non-traffic cases as required by the Manual.

### DISTRICT COURT 32-1-33 DELAWARE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

# Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures - Recurring (Continued)

#### Management's Response

The Magisterial District Judge responded as follows:

The Audit Report included one finding related to "Inadequate Arrest Warrant and DL-38 Procedures." This deficiency was also identified by the Delaware County Administrative Office for District Judges, prior to the Audit being conducted. Our Administrative Office began amassing comprehensive statistics for this Court in January 2012, at which time the deficiency was identified. Since discovering the procedural issues our Regional Assistant Administrators have worked with the staff to make corrections. Specifically, our Court Coordinator has been asked to ensure that all DL-38s are processed in a timely manner, while addressing the back log that existed. Additionally, the Court Coordinator was also asked to similarly address the Warrant backlog and the Warrant recalls. The Regional Assistant Administrators have routinely monitored the progress and have assisted in correcting the problems.

While we have addressed the issues that were found, I and our Administrative Office, also seek to prevent this type of issue from reoccurring. To that end, our First Assistant Regional Administrator will provide updated Court statistics to each of our District Courts biannually in March and September of each year. These reports include such things as outstanding DL-38s, Warrant Management, Summons Tracking, Civil Lack of Service and an Undisbursed Funds report. These reports will be provided to each Magisterial District Judge and his/her Court Coordinator. The reports for all of our District Courts will also be provided to the President Judge of Delaware County. Following the distribution of these reports, the Regional Assistant Administrators will work with the Court Coordinators and Court Clerks to address any deficiencies. The Special Court Administrator and First Assistant Regional Administrator will monitor the progress of any corrective actions and take additional actions if needed.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

This is a recurring finding. It is imperative that the district court take all steps necessary to comply with our recommendations.

### DISTRICT COURT 32-1-33 DELAWARE COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmont Pines Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable Harry J. Karapalides	Magisterial District Judge
The Honorable Thomas J. McGarrigle	Chairman of the Board of Commissioners
The Honorable Edward O'Lone	Controller
Charles E. McDonald, Esq.	District Court Administrator

This is of public record and is available online report a matter at http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.