
### ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

### Borough of West Mayfield

Beaver County, Pennsylvania 04-429

Liquid Fuels Tax Fund
For the Period
January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012

December 2014





### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

### Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of West Mayfield, Beaver County, for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012. The municipality's management is responsible for the Form MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Form MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of West Mayfield, Beaver County's Form MS-965 for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Form MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the borough expended \$20,000.00 during 2012 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for repairs to Standard Street that were made because of sewer line repairs. Because the repairs were needed as a result of sewer line repairs, this is a nonpermissible expenditure. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 2, the borough expended \$19,952.00 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for paving in excess of one inch without obtaining approval from the Department of Transportation.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Form MS-965 With Adjustments presents, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of West Mayfield, Beaver County, for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Form MS-965; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Form MS-965. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Form MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Form MS-965 will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Form MS-965 was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Form MS-965 is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Form MS-965 is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the Borough of West Mayfield, Beaver County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts on the Form MS-965. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Nonpermissible Expenditure.
- Failure To Obtain Project Approval.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of West Mayfield, Beaver County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Borough of West Mayfield, Beaver County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

July 31, 2014

Eugene A. DePasquale Auditor General

Eugraf: O-Pagur

### **CONTENTS**

<u>Page</u>
Background1
Financial Section:
2012 Form MS-965 With Adjustments2
Notes To Form MS-965 With Adjustments
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Nonpermissible Expenditure9
Finding No. 2 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval11
Summary Of Exit Conference
Report Distribution14

# BOROUGH OF WEST MAYFIELD BEAVER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

### Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

### BOROUGH OF WEST MAYFIELD BEAVER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_
Minor equipment purchases		-		_		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		_
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		6,359.22		-		6,359.22
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		76,059.94		(39,952.00)		36,107.94
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		-		19,952.00		19,952.00
Miscellaneous (Note 5)		30.00		20,000.00		20,030.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	82,449.16	\$		\$	82,449.16

### BOROUGH OF WEST MAYFIELD BEAVER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported		Reported Adjustr		ustments	Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2012	\$	73,362.16	\$	-	\$ 73,362.16				
Receipts:		2 - 22 - 22			2 - 22 - 22				
2. State allocation		36,336.22		-	36,336.22				
2a. Turnback allocation  2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)		10.54		-	10.54				
<ul><li>2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)</li><li>2c. Miscellaneous</li></ul>		10.34		<u>-</u>	-				
3. Total receipts		36,346.76		-	36,346.76				
4. Total funds available		109,708.92		-	109,708.92				
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		82,449.16		-	82,449.16				
6. Balance, December 31, 2012	\$	27,259.76	\$	-	\$ 27,259.76				

### BOROUGH OF WEST MAYFIELD BEAVER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported		Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	41,605.92	\$	-	\$	41,605.92		
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	7,267.24		-		7,267.24		
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments						<u>-</u>		
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		48,873.16		-		48,873.16		
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures								
6. Remainder		48,873.16		-		48,873.16		
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	\$	27,259.76	\$		\$	27,259.76		

Notes to Form MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

### 1. Criteria

### Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of \$4,000.00 prior to 2012 and in excess of \$10,000.00 during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost \$4,000.00 or less prior to 2012 and \$10,000.00 or less during 2012 and subsequent years.
- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

### Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.
- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

### Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

### **Basis Of Presentation**

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Form MS-965 has been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Form MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

### **Basis Of Accounting**

The accompanying Form MS-965 With Adjustments is prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

### 1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

### General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

### 2. <u>Deposits</u>

*The Borough Code*, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2012. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

### 2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

### Fund Balance

The fund balance consists of the following:

Cash \$27,259.76

### 3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$10.54 during 2012, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

### 4. Adjustments

### Section 1

Adjustments were made to "Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges," "Highway construction and rebuilding projects," and "Miscellaneous" because expenditures of \$39,952.00 were misclassified.

### 5. <u>Miscellaneous Expenditures</u>

The following miscellaneous expenditures were paid from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund during the examination period:

Payee	Description	Amount
Financial institution Local contractor	Bank service charges Nonpermissible expenditure	\$ 30.00
	(Finding No. 1)	20,000.00
Total		\$20,030.00

### Finding No. 1 - Nonpermissible Expenditure

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$20,000.00 during 2012 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for repairs to Standard Street that were made because of sewer line repairs. Because the repairs were needed as a result of sewer line repairs, this is a nonpermissible expenditure.

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance, and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including street repairs made because of sanitary sewer line repairs, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

The failure to follow the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$20,000.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

### Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$20,000.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

### Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

Our solicitor advised that we could use liquid fuels funds as payment because the road (Standard Street) had to be excavated and repaved in order to access the sewer line.

### Finding No. 1 - Nonpermissible Expenditure (Continued)

### Auditor's Conclusion

Because the road needed to be repaved due to the need for the repair of a sanitary sewer line, Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money was not eligible to be used. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

### Finding No. 2 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$19,952.00 for paving in excess of one inch on Mayfield Alley without obtaining the approval of the Department of Transportation. Before paving of one inch in thickness or greater is done the municipality must obtain the approval of the Department of Transportation. However, the municipality did not file an application with the Department of Transportation for the project and also failed to submit specifications for approval.

The Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures for the administration of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.7.2, states that nonpermissible expenditures include "Construction and reconstruction projects without prior PENNDOT approval."

Additionally, *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.8, states, in part:

The following criteria is used to determine when a project is required for work on local roads utilizing Liquid Fuels Tax Funds, when construction, reconstruction and/or resurfacing work exceeds one inch or more in depth as well as other activities.

Bituminous Surface: One inch thickness or greater

The failure to comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$19,952.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

### Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$19,952.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that before the municipality expends money on a project, it applies for and obtains prior approval for the project, and when the project is completed it obtains approval of the completed work.

### Finding No. 2 - Failure To Obtain Project Approval (Continued)

### Management's Response

The secretary/treasurer stated:

After speaking with council members, it was a miscommunication. The council president thought that if the bid was less than \$20,000.00, no PENNDOT approval was needed.

### Auditor's Conclusion

The municipal officials should review the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* for procedures regarding the requirement of obtaining Department of Transportation approval for road projects. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

## BOROUGH OF WEST MAYFIELD BEAVER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

An exit conference was held July 18, 2014. Those participating were:

### **BOROUGH OF WEST MAYFIELD**

Mrs. Patricia J. Lansberry, Secretary/Treasurer

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**

Mr. Paul Palombo, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

### BOROUGH OF WEST MAYFIELD BEAVER COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E. Secretary
Department of Transportation

Borough of West Mayfield Beaver County 3700 West 9th Avenue Beaver Falls, PA 15010

The Honorable Thomas DeLuca President of Council

Mrs. Patricia J. Lansberry Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.auditorgen.state.pa.us">www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <a href="mailto:news@auditorgen.state.pa.us">news@auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>.