ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

Township of Middle Smithfield Monroe County, Pennsylvania 45-207 Liquid Fuels Tax Fund For the Period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013

June 2015



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Leslie Richards Secretary Department of Transportation Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Middle Smithfield, Monroe County, for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Township of Middle Smithfield, Monroe County's Forms MS-965 for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As discussed in Finding No. 1, invoice No. 1395 for \$469,282.95 dated October 21, 2011, for the paving in excess of one inch on Hidden Lake Drive was not paid until May 10, 2012, which is a retroactive expenditure. Additionally, the township did not apply for and obtain approval from the Department of Transportation for a paving project. As discussed in Finding No. 2, the township expended \$2,820.00 during 2012 for Ferric Chloride, which is used for sewage treatment and drinking water production, making it a nonpermissible Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditure.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraphs, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Township of Middle Smithfield, Monroe County, for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2013, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Forms MS-965; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Forms MS-965. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Forms MS-965 are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the Township of Middle Smithfield, Monroe County's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of amounts on the Forms MS-965. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Retroactive Expenditure And Failure To Obtain Project Approval.
- Nonpermissible Expenditure.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Township of Middle Smithfield, Monroe County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the Township of Middle Smithfield, Monroe County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

April 15, 2015

Eugnt: O-Pasper

Eugene A. DePasquale Auditor General

CONTENTS

Page
Background1
Financial Section:
2012 Form MS-965 With Adjustments2
2013 Form MS-965 With Adjustments
Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments8
Findings And Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 - Retroactive Expenditure And Failure To Obtain Project Approval12
Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditure15
Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendation16
Summary Of Exit Conference17
Report Distribution

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND BACKGROUND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

- 1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.
- 2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.
- 3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.
- 4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	44,722.93	\$	-	\$	44,722.93
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services		20,708.13		-		20,708.13
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		50,936.50		-		50,936.50
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		697,704.91		-		697,704.91
Miscellaneous		-		-		-
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	814,072.47	\$	_	\$	814,072.47

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Adjustments (Note 4)		Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2012	\$	535,264.94	\$	-	\$	535,264.94
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		370,431.74 2,880.00 484.56		- 36.00 -		370,431.74 2,880.00 520.56
3. Total receipts		373,796.30		36.00	1	373,832.30
4. Total funds available		909,061.24		36.00		909,097.24
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		814,072.47		-		814,072.47
6. Balance, December 31, 2012	\$	94,988.77	\$	36.00	\$	95,024.77

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2012 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

	Reported	Adj	ustments	1	Adjusted Amount
\$	170,836.49	\$	-	\$	170,836.49
n	74,662.35		-		74,662.35
					-
	245,498.84		-		245,498.84
	44,722.93		-		44,722.93
	200,775.91				200,775.91
\$	94,988.77	\$	36.00	\$	95,024.77
	\$ on	\$ 170,836.49 on 74,662.35 245,498.84 <u>44,722.93</u> <u>200,775.91</u>	\$ 170,836.49 \$ on 74,662.35	\$ 170,836.49 \$ - n 74,662.35 - 245,498.84 - 44,722.93 - 200,775.91 -	\$ 170,836.49 \$ - \$ on 74,662.35 - 245,498.84 - 44,722.93 - 200,775.91 -

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2013 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported		Adjustments		Adjusted Amount	
Major equipment purchases	\$	46,695.53	\$	-	\$	46,695.53
Minor equipment purchases		-		-		-
Computer/Computer related training		-		-		-
Agility projects		-		-		-
Cleaning streets and gutters		-		-		-
Winter maintenance services	126,102.01		-			126,102.01
Traffic control devices		-		-		-
Street lighting		-		-		-
Storm sewers and drains		-		-		-
Repairs of tools and machinery		-		-		-
Maintenance and repair of						
roads and bridges		165,093.27		-		165,093.27
Highway construction and						
rebuilding projects		101,369.01		-		101,369.01
Miscellaneous		-		-		-
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	\$	439,259.82	\$	_	\$	439,259.82

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2013 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported		Reported Adjustments		ustments	Adjusted Amount	
1. Balance, January 1, 2013	\$	95,024.77	\$	-	\$	95,024.77	
Receipts: 2. State allocation 2a. Turnback allocation 2b. Interest on investments (Note 3) 2c. Miscellaneous		366,322.84 2,880.00 109.89 -		- - - -		366,322.84 2,880.00 109.89 -	
3. Total receipts		369,312.73		-		369,312.73	
4. Total funds available		464,337.50				464,337.50	
5. Expenditures (Section 1)		439,259.82		-		439,259.82	
6. Balance, December 31, 2013	\$	25,077.68	\$	_	\$	25,077.68	

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND 2013 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3 WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance		Reported	Adju	stments	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	\$	95,024.77	\$	-	\$ 95,024.77
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	1	73,840.57		-	73,840.57
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments				-	
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition		168,865.34		-	168,865.34
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures		46,695.53		_	 46,695.53
6. Remainder		122,169.81		-	 122,169.81
 Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero) 	\$	25,077.68	\$	_	\$ 25,077.68

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

• Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs in excess of the amounts indicated below:

Prior To 2012	2012	2013	2014
\$4,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,200.00	\$10,300.00

• Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment with varying yearly costs, or less, than the amounts indicated below:

Prior To 2012	2012	2013	2014
\$4,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,200.00	\$10,300.00

• Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.
- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Section 2 (Continued)

• Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

1. <u>Criteria (Continued)</u>

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. <u>Deposits</u>

The Second Class Township Code, Title 53 P.S. § 68204, authorizes the township to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.
- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in a financial institution. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

2. <u>Deposits (Continued)</u>

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2013. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2013 consists of the following:

Cash

\$25,077.68

3. <u>Interest On Investments</u>

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned \$520.56 during 2012, and \$109.89 during 2013, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. <u>Adjustments</u>

2012 - Section 2

An adjustment of \$36.00 was made to "Interest on investments" because interest earnings were understated.

5. <u>Lease-Purchase Agreement</u>

On April 12, 2011, the municipality entered into a lease-purchase agreement with PACCAR Financial Corporation to purchase a 2011 Peterbilt truck for \$112,285.00. The agreement was for a term of five years at an interest rate of 4.99 percent. Principal and interest payments of \$24,695.53 are due annually. A prior year principal payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund was \$24,695.53.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of \$41,663.84 and interest of \$7,727.22 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2012 and 2013 Forms MS-965 – Section 1. The outstanding balance of the lease-purchase agreement as of December 31, 2013 was \$45,925.63, plus interest.

Finding No. 1 - Retroactive Expenditure And Failure To Obtain Project Approval

Our examination disclosed that invoice No. 1395 for \$469,282.95 dated October 21, 2011, for the paving in excess of one inch on Hidden Lake Drive was not paid until May 10, 2012, which is a retroactive expenditure. Additionally, before paving of one inch in thickness or greater is done, the municipality must obtain the approval of the Department of Transportation. However, the municipality did not file an application with the Department of Transportation for the project and also failed to submit specifications for approval.

The Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures for the administration of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.7.2, states, in relevant part:

Examples of what Liquid Fuels Tax Fund monies may not be expended for are:

- 8. Repayments of prior year's expenditures.
- 17. Retroactive expenditures or repayment of other funds for prior period expenditures.

Because these expenditures were incurred on October 21, 2011, and were not paid until May 10, 2012, the expenditures incurred were not paid in a reasonable period of time. Therefore, the municipality did not comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*.

The Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures for the administration of the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.7.2, states that nonpermissible expenditures include "Construction and reconstruction projects without prior PENNDOT approval."

Additionally, *Publication 9*, Chapter Two, Section 2.8, states, in part:

The following criteria is used to determine when a project is required for work on local roads utilizing Liquid Fuels Tax Funds, when construction, reconstruction and/or resurfacing work exceeds one inch or more in depth as well as other activities.

Other: Reconstruction, such as removal and replacement of one inch or more of existing road metal, including base and surface. This would include cold-mill recycling and reclamation work.

<u>Finding No. 1 - Retroactive Expenditure And Failure To Obtain Project Approval</u> (Continued)

The failure to follow the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$469,282.95 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$469,282.95 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted above.

Additionally, we recommend that before the municipality expends money on a project, it applies for and obtains prior approval for the project, and when the project is completed it obtains approval of the completed work.

Management's Response

The budget and finance manager stated:

Payment was delayed waiting on PennDot approval.

The vice-chairman of the board of supervisors stated:

Project Approval was requested on numerous occasions, however, PennDOT had many layers of bureaucracy to seek internally before issuing approval. The project was completed by the contractor in the same fashion that had/has been done in many other districts within the State, yet, our District 5 Representative, at the time, was unwilling to concede that that was the case. This took an inordinate amount of time by PennDOT which delayed payment of the Contractor's invoice for many months. The work completed in 2011 was not paid until 2012 due to delays beyond our control.

The Auditor General's office has been to our office, audited this project and our general funds expenditures, and found that the amount of General Funds expended by the township in 2011 that were Liquid Fuels eligible, were substantially more than the amount paid for this project. This matter had been concluded in 2013.

<u>Finding No. 1 - Retroactive Expenditure And Failure To Obtain Project Approval</u> (Continued)

Auditor's Conclusion

We contacted the Department of Transportation and were informed that there were no project approvals or completion reports for this project. Additionally, the Department of the Auditor General does not audit General Fund money. The Department of Transportation will determine if the municipality will be required to reimburse \$469,282.95 its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 2 - Nonpermissible Expenditure

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended \$2,820.00 during 2012 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for Ferric Chloride, which is used for sewage treatment and drinking water production, making it a nonpermissible Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditure.

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, 72 P.S. § 2615.4, provides, in part, that monies herein allocated may be used only for construction, reconstruction, maintenance, and repairs of such public roads or streets, including bridges, culverts and drainage structures, for which they are legally responsible. Also permitted are expenditures involving acquisition, maintenance, repairs and operation of street signs, traffic signs, traffic signal control systems, road equipment, and snow fences.

The Department of Transportation has been statutorily authorized to promulgate regulations concerning the administration of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and has determined that certain items, including Ferric Chloride, are outside the scope of permissible expenditures.

The failure to follow the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations as noted above could result in the municipality having to reimburse \$2,820.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse \$2,820.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the municipality comply with the Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law and the Department of Transportation's Regulations regarding permissible expenditures.

Management's Response

The budget and finance manager stated:

Payment was made in error. The Sewer Fund will reimburse \$2,820.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF PRIOR EXAMINATION RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

Summary Of Prior Examination Recommendation

In our prior report we recommended that the municipality comply with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* to ensure that the allocations are received during the first week in April of each year.

During our current examination we noted that the municipality complied with our recommendation.

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

An exit conference was held February 12, 2015. Those participating were:

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD

Mr. Raymond Wolfe, Budget and Finance Manager

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Matthew R. Hotchkiss, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

TOWNSHIP OF MIDDLE SMITHFIELD MONROE COUNTY LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2012 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Leslie Richards Secretary Department of Transportation

Township of Middle Smithfield Monroe County 147 Municipal Drive East Stroudsburg, PA 18302

The Honorable Annette Atkinson

Chairperson of the Board of Supervisors

Mr. Raymond Wolfe

Budget and Finance Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>http://www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.