

ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

District Court 38-1-02
Montgomery County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013

June 2015



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Eileen H. McNulty
Acting Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue
Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 38-1-02, Montgomery County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c). The District Court's management is responsible for this Statement. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Statement; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Statement. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Statement was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Statement that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency listed below to be a material weakness.

- Receipts Were Not Always Deposited On The Same Day As Collected.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the District Court's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of Statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the District Court 38-1-02, Montgomery County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Eugene A. DePasquale". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

April 30, 2015

Eugene A. DePasquale
Auditor General

CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| Financial Section: | |
| Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements..... | 1 |
| Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements..... | 2 |
| Finding And Recommendation: | |
| Finding - Receipts Were Not Always Deposited On The Same Day As Collected | 3 |
| Report Distribution | 5 |

DISTRICT COURT 38-1-02
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

Receipts:

| | | |
|---|----|-----------------|
| Department of Transportation | | |
| Title 75 Fines | \$ | 175,644 |
| Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines | | 563 |
| Overweight Fines | | 199 |
| Commercial Driver Fines | | 1,200 |
| Littering Law Fines | | 363 |
| Child Restraint Fines | | 1,618 |
| Department of Revenue Court Costs | | 210,892 |
| Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs | | 10,085 |
| Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs | | 7,289 |
| Domestic Violence Costs | | 2,895 |
| Emergency Medical Service Fines | | 72,255 |
| CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges | | 217,552 |
| Judicial Computer System Fees | | 85,741 |
| Access to Justice Fees | | 23,743 |
| Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees | | 5,267 |
| Judicial Computer Project Surcharges | | 23,995 |
| Constable Service Surcharges | | 21,197 |
| Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs | | 556 |
| | | <hr/> |
| Total receipts (Note 2) | | 861,054 |
| Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3) | | <hr/> (861,054) |
| Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4) | | - |
| Examination adjustments | | <hr/> - |
| Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013 | \$ | <hr/> <hr/> - |

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

DISTRICT COURT 38-1-02
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

| | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Department of Revenue | \$ <u>861,054</u> |
|-----------------------|-------------------|

4. Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2010 To December 31, 2013

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

5. Magisterial District Judge Serving During Examination Period

Elizabeth A. McHugh served at District Court 38-1-02 for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013.

DISTRICT COURT 38-1-02
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

Finding - Receipts Were Not Always Deposited On The Same Day As Collected

Our examination disclosed that receipts were not always deposited on the same day as collected. Of 60 receipts tested, 31 were not deposited on the same day as collected for the period January 1, 2010 to March 31, 2012. The time lapse from the date of receipt to the subsequent date of deposit ranged from one day to five days. We noted that all receipts subsequent to March 31, 2012 were deposited timely.

Good internal accounting controls require that all monies collected be deposited in the bank at the end of every day. The *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual* (Manual) establishes the uniform written internal control policies and procedures for all district courts. The Manual requires that:

All money, including partial payments received by the Magisterial District Judge office (e.g. cash, checks, and money orders), must be deposited in the bank at the end of every business day. A bank night depository may be used by all (night) courts as well as by any court that cannot get to the bank during banking hours. Money should not be taken home, left in the office overnight, or unattended. The Daily Cash Balancing procedure must be completed every day.

Without a good system of internal control over funds received by the office, the possibility of funds being lost or misappropriated increases significantly.

Adherence to the uniform internal control policies and procedures, as set forth in the Manual, would have ensured that there were adequate internal controls over collections.

This condition existed because the district court failed to establish and implement an adequate system of internal controls over receipts.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district court continue to deposit all receipts at the end of each day as required by good internal accounting controls and the Manual.

DISTRICT COURT 38-1-02
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

Finding - Receipts Were Not Always Deposited On The Same Day As Collected

Management's Response

The Magisterial District Judge responded as follows:

The finding against this court occurred within the 2010-2011 time period. The staff had previously been trained with regard to the bank deposit schedule. That schedule changed on implementation of the new computer system in 2011. The staff were never notified of the new change in procedure, nor were they trained in same. Upon learning of the change, the new procedure was implemented immediately and the issue was resolved.

DISTRICT COURT 38-1-02
MONTGOMERY COUNTY
REPORT DISTRIBUTION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Eileen H. McNulty
Acting Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmunt Pines
Court Administrator of Pennsylvania
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| The Honorable Elizabeth A. McHugh | Magisterial District Judge |
| The Honorable Joshua D. Shapiro | Chair of the Board of Commissioners |
| The Honorable Stewart J. Greenleaf, Jr. | Controller |
| Michael R. Kehs, Esquire | Court Administrator |

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <http://www.PaAuditor.gov>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.