

ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

District Court 15-3-01
Chester County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013

August 2015



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DePASQUALE
AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Eileen H. McNulty
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue
Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 15-3-01, Chester County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c). The District Court's management is responsible for this Statement. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Statement; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Statement. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Statement was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Statement that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency listed below to be a material weakness.

- Inadequate Segregation Of Duties.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the District Court's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of Statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the District Court 15-3-01, Chester County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Eugene A. DePasquale". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

May 27, 2015

Eugene A. DePasquale
Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	2
Finding And Recommendation	
Finding - Inadequate Segregation Of Duties	3
Report Distribution	5

DISTRICT COURT 15-3-01
 CHESTER COUNTY
 STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
 FOR THE PERIOD
 JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

Receipts:

Department of Transportation		
Title 75 Fines	\$	239,998
Overweight Fines		113
Commercial Driver Fines		265
Littering Law Fines		463
Child Restraint Fines		603
Department of Revenue Court Costs		248,560
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs		34,165
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs		24,429
Domestic Violence Costs		8,255
Department of Agriculture Fines		2,025
Emergency Medical Service Fines		77,963
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges		243,538
Judicial Computer System Fees		98,984
Access to Justice Fees		28,929
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees		9,384
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges		42,745
Constable Service Surcharges		17,327
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs		33,720
		<hr/>
Total receipts (Note 2)		1,111,466
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)		<hr/> <u>(1,111,466)</u>
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)		-
Examination adjustments		<hr/> -
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013	\$	<hr/> <hr/> <u>-</u>

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

DISTRICT COURT 15-3-01
CHESTER COUNTY
NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ 1,110,588
Game Commission	<u>878</u>
Total	<u><u>\$ 1,111,466</u></u>

4. Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2010 To December 31, 2013

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue. The balance also reflects a summary of any receipts disbursed directly to other state agencies.

5. Magisterial District Judge Serving During Examination Period

James V. DeAngelo served at District Court 15-3-01 for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2013.

DISTRICT COURT 15-3-01
CHESTER COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

Finding - Inadequate Segregation Of Duties

Our examination disclosed that one employee in the district court was responsible for performing the following functions:

- Opening mail.
- Collecting cash, entering collection information into the computer system, and issuing receipts.
- Summarizing accounting records, reconciling collections to accounting records and/or receipts.
- Preparing deposit slips.
- Making the deposit.
- Making voided transaction adjustments.
- Reconciling the bank account.
- Preparing checks.

In addition, we also noted:

- The validated deposits slips are returned to the person who prepared the deposits.
- Validated duplicate deposit slips are reconciled to the accounting records by the same person who made the deposit
- Non-Sufficient Funds checks are returned to the person who prepared the deposit.
- When checks are presented for signatures, substantiating reports and/or documents are not reviewed by the person signing the checks to verify accuracy.

DISTRICT COURT 15-3-01
CHESTER COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

Finding - Inadequate Segregation Of Duties (Continued)

A good system of internal control requires adequate segregation of duties.

In order to achieve adequate segregation of duties, one employee should not have custody of cash and at the same time maintain the accounting records for the cash, make voided transaction adjustments, and follow up on citations. These duties should be segregated and rotated daily. As an alternative control, someone independent from maintaining the accounting records and handling cash should review the employee's work daily. The reviewer should sign and date the records and documents reviewed.

Without adequate segregation of duties, the possibility of funds being lost or misappropriated increases significantly.

This condition existed because office did not establish an adequate segregation of duties. Additionally, duties involving the handling of cash and maintaining accounting records were not rotated daily.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district court provide for greater segregation of duties within the office. This can be done by cross-training personnel and rotating job functions that include the handling of cash, making voided transaction adjustments, monitoring follow-up procedures on citations, and maintaining the accounting records for the cash. As an alternative and/or additional control, someone independent from the handling of cash and the accounting records should review the employee's work at the end of each day. The reviewer should sign and date the records and documents reviewed.

Management's Response

The Magisterial District Judge responded as follows:

I accept finding.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the office complied with our recommendation.

DISTRICT COURT 15-3-01
CHESTER COUNTY
REPORT DISTRIBUTION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Eileen H. McNulty
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmunt Pines
Court Administrator of Pennsylvania
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable James V. DeAngelo	Magisterial District Judge
The Honorable Terence E. Farrell	Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners
The Honorable Norman MacQueen	Controller
Ms. Patricia L. Norwood-Foden	District Court Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <http://www.PaAuditor.gov>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.