

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS/ PROTHONOTARY/CLERK OF ORPHANS' COURT/PROBATION OFFICE

PIKE COUNTY

EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statements of receipts and disbursements (Statements) of the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas/Prothonotary/Clerk of Orphans' Court and Probation Office of Pike County, Pennsylvania (County Officers), for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012, pursuant to the requirements of Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S § 401(b) and § 401(d). These Statements are the responsibility of the county offices' management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these Statements based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each county officer to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Sections 401(b) and 401(d) of *The Fiscal Code*.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Statements referred to above present, in all material respects, the operations of the County Officers as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Statements and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statements are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Statements or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the County Officers' ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the County Officers' Statements that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the County Officers' internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over the reporting on the Statements:

• Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated By The Bank - Prothonotary/Clerk Of Orphans' Court.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Statements will not be prevented or detected by the County Officer's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Statements would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the County Officer and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eugent: O-Purger

May 21, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

CONTENTS

Page 1

Financial Section:	
Statements Of Receipts And Disbursements:	
Clerk Of The Court Of Common Pleas/Probation Office	1
Prothonotary	2
Clerk Of Orphans' Court	3
Notes To The Statements Of Receipts And Disbursements	4
Finding And Recommendations:	
Finding - Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated By The Bank - Prothonotary/Clerk Of Orphans' Court	9
Report Distribution 1	0

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS/PROBATION OFFICE PIKE COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Receipts:

Department of Transportation	
Title 75 Fines	\$ 183,994
Department of Revenue Court Costs	37,775
Crime Victims' Compensation Costs	73,647
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs	53,135
Domestic Violence Costs	10,657
Emergency Medical Services Fines	7,227
DUI - ARD/EMS Fees	13,425
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges	37,185
Judicial Computer System/Access to Justice Fees	41,237
Offender Supervision Fees	276,196
Constable Service Surcharges	10
Criminal Laboratory Users' Fees	25,421
Probation and Parole Officers' Firearm Education Costs	8,156
Substance Abuse Education Costs	57,800
Office of Victims' Services Costs	17,373
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs	 181,611
Total receipts (Note 2)	1,024,849
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 4)	 (1,024,849)
Balance due Commonwealth (County) per settled reports (Note 5)	-
Examination adjustments	
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (County) for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012	\$

Notes to the Statements of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

PROTHONOTARY PIKE COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Receipts:

Writ Taxes	\$ 5,029
Divorce Complaint Surcharges	7,930
Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees	207,862
Protection From Abuse Surcharges and Contempt Fines	1,746
Criminal Charge Information System Fees	 3,325
Total Receipts (Note 2)	225,892
Commissions (Note 3)	 (151)
Net Receipts	225,741
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 4)	 (225,741)
Balance due Commonwealth (County) per settled reports (Note 5)	-
Examination adjustments	 -
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (County) for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012	\$

Notes to the Statements of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

CLERK OF ORPHANS' COURT PIKE COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Receipts:

Marriage License Taxes	\$ 530
Marriage License Application Surcharges	10,600
Marriage License Declaration Fees	10,600
Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees	 4,065
Total Receipts (Note 2)	25,795
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 4)	 (25,795)
Balance due Commonwealth (County) per settled reports (Note 5)	-
Examination adjustments	
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (County) for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012	\$

Notes to the Statements of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

1. <u>Criteria</u>

The Statements of Receipts and Disbursements provide a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, taxes, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statements were prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. <u>Receipts</u>

Clerk Of The Court Of Common Pleas

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on summary and criminal cases filed with the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas' Office.

Prothonotary

Receipts are comprised of taxes, surcharges, fees and fines collected on behalf of the Department of Revenue and the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts. These include monies collected for the following taxes, surcharges, fees, and fines:

- Writ Taxes represent a \$.50 or \$.25 tax imposed on taxable instruments filed with the Prothonotary.
- Divorce Complaint Surcharges represent a \$10 surcharge imposed on all divorce decrees.
- Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees represent a \$10 fee imposed for the filing of any legal paper to initiate a civil action or proceeding. These fees were increased to \$23.50 for the period December 8, 2009 to December 31, 2014.

2. <u>Receipts (Continued)</u>

Prothonotary (Continued)

- Protection From Abuse Surcharges represent a \$25 surcharge imposed against defendants when a protection order is granted as a result of a hearing. Effective May 9, 2006, the surcharge was increased to \$100. Protection From Abuse Contempt Fines represent fines of not less than \$100 nor more than \$1,000 imposed against a defendant who is found to be in violation of a protection from abuse order. Effective May 9, 2006, the fine was increased to a minimum of \$300 and maximum of \$1,000.
- Criminal Charge Information System Fees represent a fee imposed on all custody cases. Of the fee imposed, 80% is payable to the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) and 20% is payable to the County in which the action took place. The fee was \$7 for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2010, and \$7.50 for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The statement of receipts and disbursements only reflects the portion collected on behalf of the AOPC.

Clerk Of Orphans' Court

Receipts consist of monies collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These include monies collected for the following taxes, surcharges, and fees:

- The Marriage License Tax is a \$.50 tax on all marriage licenses filed with the Clerk of Orphans' Court.
- The Marriage License Application Surcharge is a \$10 surcharge imposed on all marriage license applications.
- The Marriage License Declaration Fees is a \$13 fee imposed for the issuance of a marriage license or declaration and for returns thereof to the Department of Health, \$2.50 of which shall be for the use of the county where the license is issued, and \$.50 for the use of the Commonwealth (Marriage License Tax), plus \$10 (Marriage License/Declaration Fees). The statement of receipts and disbursements only reflects the portion collected on behalf of the Commonwealth.

2. <u>Receipts (Continued)</u>

Clerk Of Orphans' Court (Continued)

• Judicial Computer System/Access To Justice Fees represent a \$10 fee imposed on all petitions for grant of letters, and first filings in petitions concerning adoptions, incompetents' estates, minors' estates, and inter vivos trusts. These fees were increased to \$23.50 for the period December 8, 2009 to December 31, 2014.

3. <u>Commissions - Prothonotary</u>

Acting in the capacity of an agent for the Commonwealth, the Prothonotary is authorized to collect a commission of 3 percent on the Commonwealth portion of writ taxes. Accordingly, commissions owed the county are not included in the balance due the Commonwealth.

4. <u>Disbursements</u>

Clerk Of The Court Of Common Pleas

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

Clerk of the Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ 1,017,349
State Police	300
Department of Treasury	 7,200
Total	\$ 1,024,849

4. <u>Disbursements (Continued)</u>

Prothonotary

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

Prothonotary checks issued to:

Department of Revenue Adminstrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts	\$	222,416 3,325
Total	\$	225,741
Clerk Of Orphans' Court		
Total disbursements are comprised as follows:		
Clerk of Orphans' Court checks issued to:		
Department of Revenue	\$	25,795
Balance Due Commonwealth (County) For The Period	January	<u>1, 2009 To</u>

December 31, 2012

5.

Clerk Of The Court Of Common Pleas/Prothonotary

This balance reflects a summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue. The balance also reflects a summary of any receipts disbursed directly to other state agencies.

Clerk Of Orphans' Court

This balance reflects a summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

6. <u>Prior Examination Period Balance Due</u>

We noted that there was a prior examination balance due the County of \$250 which was not taken as of the end of our current examination period. The auditee indicated that no settlement letter was received.

7. <u>County Officers Serving During Examination Period</u>

Denise Fitzpatrick served as the Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas/Prothonotary/Clerk of Orphans' Court during the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012.

Edward J. Joyce, Jr., served as Chief Probation Officer for the period January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2012.

PROTHONOTARY/CLERK OF ORPHANS' COURT PIKE COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

<u>Finding - Bank Deposit Slips Were Not Validated By The Bank - Prothonotary/Clerk Of</u> <u>Orphans' Court</u>

Our examination of the office's accounting records disclosed that the office copy of the bank deposit slip was not validated by the bank in 16 out of 80 days tested. The office received a validated receipt from the bank, but this only confirmed the total amount deposited and not the actual make up of the deposit (i.e. cash and check mix).

Good internal accounting controls require that the amount of each check and the total amount of cash deposited are identified on the deposit slip. The office copy of each deposit should be brought to the bank to be validated. If the bank cannot validate the deposit slip, the office should obtain a deposit ticket from the bank that validates total cash and the total deposit. After the office receives the validation from the bank, it should be reconciled to the receipts by someone other than the person preparing or making the deposit.

Without a good system of internal controls over funds received by the office, the possibility of funds being lost or misappropriated increases significantly.

The office was not aware of the internal control weaknesses caused by not obtaining a validated deposit slip or deposit ticket from the bank.

Recommendations

We recommend that the office obtain a validation from the bank as to the mix of cash and checks deposited. We further recommend that the validation is reconciled to receipts by someone other than the person preparing or making the deposit.

Management's Response

The County Officer responded as follows:

Subsequent to the end of the audit, all deposit slips were being validated. It was the bank's decision to stop validating deposit slips and I was unaware that had to be done.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the offices complied with our recommendation.

CLERK OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS/ PROTHONOTARY/CLERK OF ORPHANS' COURT/PROBATION OFFICE PIKE COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2009 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmont Pines Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

Mr. Thomas J. Dougherty Director Division of Grants and Standards Pennsylvania Board of Probation and Parole

The Honorable Denise Fitzpatrick	Clerk of the Court of Common Pleas/ Prothonotary/Clerk of Orphans' Court
Mr. Edward J. Joyce, Jr.	Chief Probation Officer
The Honorable Joseph F. Kameen	President Judge of Pike County
The Honorable Richard A. Caridi	Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

This of public record and is available report is a matter online at http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.