# ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

# District Court 03-2-12

Northampton County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2015

April 2017



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

#### Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Eileen H. McNulty Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 03-2-12, Northampton County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2015, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S § 401(c). The District Court's management is responsible for this Statement. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2015, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

#### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Statement; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Statement. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Statement was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Statement that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies listed below to be material weaknesses.

- Failure To Follow The Supreme Court Of Pennsylvania Administrative Office Of Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule With Guidelines Procedures.
- Inadequate Internal Controls Over Manual Receipts.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the District Court's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of Statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the District Court 03-2-12, Northampton County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.

February 13, 2017

Eugene A. DePasquale Auditor General

Eugraf: O-Pasper

# CONTENTS

	Page
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	2
Findings And Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Follow The Supreme Court Of Pennsylvania Administrative Office Of Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule With Guidelines Procedures	3
Finding No. 2 - Inadequate Internal Controls Over Manual Receipts	5
Report Distribution	7

# DISTRICT COURT 03-2-12 NORTHAMPTON COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2015

#### Receipts:

Department of Transportation	
Title 75 Fines	\$ 162,330
Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines	13
Overweight Fines	2,625
Littering Law Fines	84
Child Restraint Fines	1,450
Department of Revenue Court Costs	249,355
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs	28,026
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs	20,066
Domestic Violence Costs	6,620
Department of Agriculture Fines	2,209
Emergency Medical Service Fines	51,239
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges	135,538
Judicial Computer System Fees	101,073
Access to Justice Fees	30,134
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees	8,878
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges	51,525
Constable Service Surcharges	32,111
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs	 70,691
Total receipts (Note 2)	953,967
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)	 (953,984)
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)	(17)
Examination adjustments	 
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2015	\$ (17)

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

#### DISTRICT COURT 03-2-12 NORTHAMPTON COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2015

#### 1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

#### 2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

#### 3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue

\$ 953,984

# 4. <u>Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2011 To December 31, 2015</u>

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

#### 5. Magisterial District Judge Serving During Examination Period

Various magisterial district judges served at District Court 03-2-12 for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012.

Richard H. Yetter III served at District Court 03-2-12 for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2015.

# Finding No. 1 - Failure To Follow The Supreme Court Of Pennsylvania Administrative Office Of Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule With Guidelines Procedures

Our examination disclosed that traffic/non-traffic citations issued in 2011 and 2012 were not available for examination and were destroyed in August 2015 by the district court without being in compliance with the procedures described in the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule with Guidelines (Schedule).

The Schedule outlines the proper procedures for the destruction of non-permanent court records. Disposal request procedures include:

A request to destroy non-permanent scheduled records must be submitted by the record custodian requesting permission to dispose of the record(s) to the Record Retention Officer utilizing a Unified Judicial System Disposal Log for Non-Permanent Records form adopted by the AOPC as provided in Pa.R.J.A. No. 507. The Record Retention Officer shall review the Records Disposal Log Form for completeness and shall grant written permission to dispose of such non-permanent records upon ascertaining that the applicable retention period as set forth in the schedule has been met. Written approval from the AOPC is not necessary before destroying non-permanent records as identified in the schedule. A log of individual disposition actions involving non-permanent records must be maintained. Copies of the Records Disposal Log Form shall be submitted on an annual basis to the AOPC. (See §4.5 Form Retention)

Although the Schedule identifies traffic and non-traffic citations as records that may be destroyed after three years, the Schedule also states in part:

Records subject to audit must be retained for the periods listed in the schedule <u>and must be audited</u> and all findings resolved before such records may be destroyed. [Emphasis added.]

The failure to maintain these records resulted in an unclear examination trail. Additionally, collections associated with missing case files and documents could be lost or misappropriated.

# Finding No. 1 - Failure To Follow The Supreme Court Of Pennsylvania Administrative Office Of Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule With Guidelines Procedures (Continued)

The District Court indicated that some of the cases files were destroyed as a result of a flood in the basement where files were stored.

#### Recommendations

We recommend that the district court comply with the procedures listed in the Schedule.

We further recommend that the district court not destroy citations until after they have been subject to examination by the Department of the Auditor General.

#### Management's Response

The Magisterial District Judge responded as follows:

The finding identified seventeen (17) missing case files for the years 2011 and 2012. Please be reminded that the afore-said seventeen (17) missing case files were all closed. As such, those particular files were stored in the basement of the District Court building. Unfortunately, we did have a flood in the basement and some case files were ruined and/or destroyed prior to this audit.

Be that as it may, please be advised that I have discussed this finding with my staff. We are also trying, if at all possible, to not store files in the basement to prevent them from being destroyed. Thus, moving forward, this District Court shall remain fully compliant and fiscally responsible.

#### **Auditor's Conclusion**

During our next examination, we will determine if the district court complied with our recommendations.

#### Finding No. 2 - Inadequate Internal Controls Over Manual Receipts

The Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts' (AOPC) policies require computer downtime manual receipts to be issued in the event of a temporary power loss to the district court's computer system. When the computer system is operating again, the computer downtime manual receipt is replaced by an official computer-generated receipt and included in the daily receipts. When the AOPC's policies are not followed, the possibility that funds received by the District Court could be lost or misappropriated increases significantly.

Our examination disclosed that required computer downtime manual receipt procedures were not always followed. Of 18 receipts tested, we noted the following:

- There were 18 computer downtime manual receipts that could not be located and were not available for our examination.
- The computer downtime manual receipt log was not available.

The Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual (Manual) establishes the uniform written internal control policies and procedures for all district courts. The Manual requires that downtime manual receipts be issued in the event of a temporary power loss to the computer system. When the computer system is not operational, the receipt and log sheet should be filled out for each receipt number and the initials of the employee receiving the payment should be documented on the log sheet. The receipts should be used in numerical order; the log sheet should be filled out using the appropriate receipt number; a copy of that receipt should be given to the remitter; and the second copy of the receipt should be kept, along with the associated log, in a secure location. When the computer system is running again, the second copy of the receipt should be attached to the new system-generated receipt and placed in the case file and the date the payment was entered into the system should be documented on the log sheet. Additionally, the Manual requires that when a manual receipt number is issued, the manual receipt number should be entered in the manual receipt number field when creating the computer receipt. This will link the manual receipt to the computer receipt.

Good internal accounting controls ensure that computer downtime manual receipts and the associated logs are accounted for and maintained.

#### Finding No. 2 - Inadequate Internal Controls Over Manual Receipts (Continued)

Adherence to good internal accounting controls and the uniform internal control policies and procedures, as set forth in the Manual, would have ensured that there were adequate internal controls over collections.

These conditions existed because the district court failed to establish and implement an adequate system of internal controls over computer downtime manual receipts.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the district court establish and implement an adequate system of internal controls over computer downtime manual receipts as noted above.

#### Management's Response

The Magisterial District Judge responded as follows:

Although, this log was over eighteen (18) years old and from the old computer system, this District Court continued to preserve it until it was destroyed by a former employee who was not authorized to do so by the undersigned or any other Clerical Technician in the office.

Be that as it may, please be advised that I have discussed this finding with my staff and reminded each Clerical Technician to follow proper procedures to ensure that a receipt log is never destroyed again.

#### Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination, we will determine if the district court complied with our recommendation.

## DISTRICT COURT 03-2-12 NORTHAMPTON COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2015

This report was initially distributed to:

#### The Honorable Eileen H. McNulty

Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

#### The Honorable Thomas B. Darr

Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

#### The Honorable Richard H. Yetter III

Magisterial District Judge

#### The Honorable John Cusick

Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

#### The Honorable Stephen Barron

Controller

#### Mr. J. Jermaine Greene

District Court Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <a href="mailto:news@PaAuditor.gov">news@PaAuditor.gov</a>.