



DISTRICT COURT 39-3-03

FRANKLIN COUNTY

EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2006 TO DECEMBER 31, 2008

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report.....	1
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	5
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	6
Finding And Recommendations:	
Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures	7
Report Distribution	9



**Department of the Auditor General
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120-0018**

**JACK WAGNER
AUDITOR GENERAL**

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue
Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 39-3-03, Franklin County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2008, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c). This Statement is the responsibility of the District Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Statement and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District Court's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District Court's Statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over reporting on the Statement:

- Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Statement would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that the significant deficiency described above is not a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

July 28, 2011

JACK WAGNER
Auditor General



DISTRICT COURT 39-3-03
FRANKLIN COUNTY
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2006 TO DECEMBER 31, 2008

Receipts:

Department of Transportation		
Title 75 Fines	\$ 402,531	
Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines	200	
Overweight Fines	1,650	
Commercial Driver Fines	1,673	
Littering Law Fines	800	
Child Restraint Fines	712	
Department of Revenue Court Costs	132,964	
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs	16,990	
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs	12,183	
Domestic Violence Costs	4,631	
Department of Conservation and Natural Resources Fines	1,331	
Department of Agriculture Fines	12,784	
Fish and Boat Commission Fines	1,476	
Game Commission Fines	31,287	
Emergency Medical Service Fines	72,971	
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges	239,522	
Judicial Computer System Fees	71,511	
Access to Justice Fees	17,809	
Constable Service Surcharges	6,278	
Department of Labor and Industry Fines	2,525	
Miscellaneous State Fines	1,325	
	<u> </u>	
Total receipts (Note 2)		\$ 1,033,153
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)		<u>(1,033,153)</u>
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)		-
Examination adjustments		<u>-</u>
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2006 to December 31, 2008		<u><u>\$ -</u></u>

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

DISTRICT COURT 39-3-03
FRANKLIN COUNTY
NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2006 TO DECEMBER 31, 2008

1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ 1,033,153
-----------------------	--------------

4. Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2006 To December 31, 2008

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

5. Magisterial District Judges Serving During Examination Period

Richard Alloway II served at District Court 39-3-03 for the period January 1, 2006 to January 18, 2008.

Senior Magisterial District Judge Brenda M. Knepper served at District Court 39-3-03 for the period January 28, 2008 to December 31, 2008.

DISTRICT COURT 39-3-03
FRANKLIN COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2006 TO DECEMBER 31, 2008

Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures

Warrants are used to enforce the collection of monies on traffic and non-traffic cases in which defendants failed to make payments when required. A Warrant of Arrest (AOPC 417) is used to authorize an official to arrest a defendant, to collect fines and costs from the defendant after a disposition, or to collect collateral for a trial. If the defendant does not respond within ten days to a citation or summons, a Warrant of Arrest may be issued.

During our testing of warrant procedures, we noted that warrant procedures established by the *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual* (Manual) were not always followed. The Magisterial District Judge did not consistently return warrants when required. Of 18 warrants required to be returned or recalled, 8 were not returned timely. The time of issuance to the time of return ranged from 315 days to 979 days.

The Manual establishes the uniform written internal control policies and procedures for all district courts.

Warrant Return Procedures: The Manual states that the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts (AOPC) recommends that those in possession of arrest warrants should be notified to return warrants that have not been served. For summary traffic and non-traffic cases, outstanding warrants should be returned to the Magisterial District Judge's office within 60 days of issuance. Returned warrants can either be recorded in the Magisterial District Judge System (MDJS) as unserved, if the defendant is unable to be located; or they can be recalled for reissue, if the server has not exhausted all means of finding the defendant.

The failure to follow warrant procedures could result in uncollected fines and unpunished offenders. Additionally, the risk is increased for funds to be lost or misappropriated.

Adherence to the uniform internal control policies and procedures, as set forth in the Manual, would have ensured that there were adequate internal controls over warrants.

Recommendations

We recommend that the district court review the tickler reports for warrants daily and take appropriate action as required by the Manual. We further recommend that the court review warrant control reports and notify police or other officials to return warrants that are unserved for 60 days for summary traffic and non-traffic cases as required by the Manual.

DISTRICT COURT 39-3-03
FRANKLIN COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2006 TO DECEMBER 31, 2008

Finding - Inadequate Arrest Warrant Procedures (Continued)

Management's Response

The Magisterial District Judge responded as follows:

In response to the written finding of "The Return of Warrants," this Court has started returning warrants as required and marking them as unserved in the system, an authorized procedure by AOPC.

DISTRICT COURT 39-3-03
FRANKLIN COUNTY
REPORT DISTRIBUTION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2006 TO DECEMBER 31, 2008

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmunt Pines
Court Administrator of Pennsylvania
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable David L. Plum	Magisterial District Judge
Mr. Mark Singer	District Court Administrator
The Honorable Carol Diller	Controller
The Honorable David S. Keller	Chairman of the Board of Commissioners

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.