BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
53-411

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

Released *April 2013*

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

COUNCIL 90 AFSCME UNION LABEL

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
53-411

LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

CONTENTS

Page

Background 1

Independent Auditor's Report 3

Financial Section:

2010 Form MS-965 With Adjustments 7

2011 Form MS-965 With Adjustments 10

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments 13

Findings And Recommendations:

Finding No. 1 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination 19

Finding No. 2 - Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally 21

Finding No. 3 - Borough Failed To Bind Meeting Minutes In Accordance With *The Borough Code* 23

Summary Of Exit Conference 25

Report Distribution 27

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
BACKGROUND
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

Background

The Liquid Fuels Tax Municipal Allocation Law, Title 72 P.S. § 2615.5, provides municipalities other than counties (townships, boroughs, cities, towns, home rule, and optional plan governments) with an annual allocation of liquid fuels taxes from the state's Motor License Fund to be used for the maintenance and repair of streets, roads, and bridges for which the municipality is responsible. The allocation of these funds to municipalities is based: (1) 50 percent on the municipality's proportion of local road mileage to the total local road mileage in the state, and (2) 50 percent on the proportion of a municipality's population to the total population in the state.

The Vehicle Code, Title 75 P.S. § 9511, provides municipalities with annual maintenance payments to be received from the Motor License Fund for functionally local highways that were transferred to a municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Each municipality must deposit the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money and annual maintenance payments that it receives into a special fund called the Municipal Liquid Fuels Tax Fund or State Fund. A municipality may not deposit any other monies into this fund except when the municipality does not have enough money in the special fund to meet the payments called for by its current annual budget for road and bridge purposes. In this case, the municipality may borrow money or transfer money from its General Fund to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The Department of Transportation has been given the regulatory authority for the administration of these funds. To qualify for its annual allocation, each municipality shall submit the following documents and information to the Department of Transportation:

1. Evidence that the treasurer is bonded in accordance with the law, or that its treasurer is a bank requiring no bond by January 31 of each year.

2. A report indicating the manner in which its liquid fuels tax allocation was expended in the previous year (Form MS-965) by January 31 of each year.

3. A report of elected and appointed officials by January 31 of each year.

4. A Survey of Financial Condition by March 15 of each year.

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* contains the policies and procedures that govern the use of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money. However, if there is a difference between *Publication 9* and any legislation, the legislation shall govern.

EUGENE DEPASQUALE

AUDITOR GENERAL

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E.
Secretary
Department of Transportation
Harrisburg, PA 17120

We examined the accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Mahanoy City, Schuylkill County, for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011. The municipality's management is responsible for the Forms MS-965. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Borough of Mahanoy City, Schuylkill County's Forms MS-965 for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011 and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 403, to audit each municipality's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund to ensure that funds received are expended in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 403 of *The Fiscal Code*.

As described in Note 4, the adjustments included on the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are made by the Department of the Auditor General.

As discussed in Finding No. 1, the municipality expended $4,928.74 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of cold patch. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. Additionally, as discussed in Finding No. 2, the municipality expended $1,177.77 in excess of the amount available for the purchase of equipment for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011. The municipality reimbursed $1,077.77 to the Liquid Fuels Tax fund on January 31, 2012, which was subsequent to our examination, leaving $100.00 due to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

In our opinion, except for the matters discussed in the preceding paragraph, the Forms MS-965 With Adjustments present, in all material respects, the information required by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation for the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund of the Borough of Mahanoy City, Schuylkill County, for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2011, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Forms MS-965 and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Forms MS-965 are presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the Borough of Mahanoy City, Schuylkill County's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the Borough of Mahanoy City, Schuylkill County's Forms MS-965 that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Mahanoy City, Schuylkill County's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Forms MS-965 will not be prevented or detected by the Borough of Mahanoy City, Schuylkill County's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965 was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Forms MS-965.

The results of our tests disclosed the following instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*:

- Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination.

- Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally.

We also noted an other matter that, while not required to be included in this report by *Government Auditing Standards*, has been included in the finding below:

- Borough Failed To Bind Meeting Minutes In Accordance With *The Borough Code*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation and the management of the Borough of Mahanoy City, Schuylkill County, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

July 18, 2012

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
Auditor General

EUGENE DEPASQUALE

AUDITOR GENERAL

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1
WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported	Adjustments	Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	$ 23,292.65	$ -	$ 23,292.65
Minor equipment purchases	548.99	-	548.99
Computer/Computer related training	-	-	-
Agility projects	-	-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters	8,978.87	-	8,978.87
Winter maintenance services	28,686.41	-	28,686.41
Traffic control devices	6,714.71	-	6,714.71
Street lighting	-	-	-
Storm sewers and drains	10,130.07	-	10,130.07
Repairs of tools and machinery	17,111.86	-	17,111.86
Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges	9,077.96	-	9,077.96
Highway construction and rebuilding projects	-	-	-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)	8.00	-	8.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	$ 104,549.52	$ -	$ 104,549.52

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2
WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	Adjustments	Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2010	$ 42,588.89	$ -	$ 42,588.89
Receipts:			
2. State allocation	82,048.97	-	82,048.97
2a. Turnback allocation	-	-	-
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)	134.80	-	134.80
2c. Miscellaneous	-	-	-
3. Total receipts	82,183.77	-	82,183.77
4. Total funds available	124,772.66	-	124,772.66
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	104,549.52	-	104,549.52
6. Balance, December 31, 2010	$ 20,223.14	$ -	$ 20,223.14

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
2010 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3
WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported	Adjustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	$ 12,393.92	$ (100.00)	$ 12,293.92
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	16,409.79	-	16,409.79
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments	-	-	-
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition	28,803.71	(100.00)	28,703.71
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures	23,292.65	-	23,292.65
6. Remainder	5,511.06	(100.00)	5,411.06
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	$ 5,511.06	$ (100.00)	$ 5,411.06

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 1
WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Expenditure Summary	Reported	Adjustments	Adjusted Amount
Major equipment purchases	$ 23,292.84	$ -	$ 23,292.84
Minor equipment purchases	1,216.54	-	1,216.54
Computer/Computer related training	-	-	-
Agility projects	-	-	-
Cleaning streets and gutters	4,911.89	-	4,911.89
Winter maintenance services	19,443.55	-	19,443.55
Traffic control devices	6,602.94	-	6,602.94
Street lighting	-	-	-
Storm sewers and drains	12,438.98	-	12,438.98
Repairs of tools and machinery	9,397.91	-	9,397.91
Maintenance and repair of roads and bridges	9,031.62	-	9,031.62
Highway construction and rebuilding projects	-	-	-
Miscellaneous (Bank service charges)	18.00	-	18.00
Total (To Section 2, Line 5)	$ 86,354.27	$ -	$ 86,354.27

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 2
WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Fund Balance	Reported	Adjustments	Adjusted Amount
1. Balance, January 1, 2011	$ 20,223.14	$ -	$ 20,223.14
Receipts:			
2. State allocation	83,520.04	-	83,520.04
2a. Turnback allocation	-	-	-
2b. Interest on investments (Note 3)	45.90	-	45.90
2c. Miscellaneous (Note 5)	390.99	-	390.99
3. Total receipts	83,956.93	-	83,956.93
4. Total funds available	104,180.07	-	104,180.07
5. Expenditures (Section 1)	86,354.27	-	86,354.27
6. Balance, December 31, 2011	$ 17,825.80	$ -	$ 17,825.80

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
2011 FORM MS-965 – SECTION 3
WITH ADJUSTMENTS

Equipment Balance	Reported	Adjustments (Note 4)	Adjusted Amount
1. Prior year equipment balance	$ 5,511.06	$ (100.00)	$ 5,411.06
2. Add: Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2a, Section 2)	16,704.01	-	16,704.01
3. PENNDOT approved adjustments	1,077.77	(1,077.77)	-
4. Total funds available for equipment acquisition	23,292.84	(1,177.77)	22,115.07
5. Less: Major equipment expenditures	23,292.84	-	23,292.84
6. Remainder	-	(1,177.77)	(1,177.77)
7. Equipment balance available for subsequent year (Lesser of Line 6 or Section 2 balance, but not less than zero)	$ -	$ -	$ -

Notes To Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are an integral part of this report.

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
NOTES TO FORMS MS-965 WITH ADJUSTMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

1. Criteria

Section 1

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides a summary of Liquid Fuels Tax Fund expenditures by category. Categories requiring explanation include:

- Major equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost in excess of $4,000.00.

- Minor equipment purchases are purchases of road machinery and road equipment that cost $4,000.00 or less.

- Agility projects are exchanges of services with the Department of Transportation.

Section 2

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments provides information on the fund balance. Categories requiring explanation include:

- The state allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. The amount the municipality receives is based half on its population and half on its road mileage.

- The turnback allocation is generally received from the Department of Transportation during the first week in April of each year. A municipality receives a yearly turnback allocation based on road mileage for all roads that were transferred to the municipality from the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania through the Highway Transfer Program.

- Expenditures include the total transferred from Section 1.

Section 3

This section of Form MS-965 With Adjustments determines if the municipality expended Liquid Fuels Tax Fund money in excess of the permissible amount for equipment and the balance that the municipality may carry forward for the purchase of equipment to the subsequent year.

1. Criteria (Continued)

Section 3 (Continued)

Department of Transportation *Publication 9* requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year and 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocation and, if applicable, 20 percent of the turnback allocation plus other Department of Transportation approved adjustments.

If the municipality spent in excess of the amount listed on Line 4, the excess must be reimbursed to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

The equipment balance to be carried forward for the subsequent year is the lesser of the amount on Line 6 or the ending fund balance on Line 6 of Section 2, but not less than zero.

Basis Of Presentation

The financial activities of the municipality are accounted for in separate funds. The Liquid Fuels Tax Fund is used to account for state aid revenues from the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation used primarily for building and improving local roads and bridges. The Forms MS-965 have been prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation as a result of the Fuels Tax Act 655, dated 1956 and as amended, which does not constitute a complete presentation of the entity's assets, liabilities, expenses, and fund balance. Accordingly, the presentation of Forms MS-965 With Adjustments is restricted to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund, which represents a segment of the entity.

Basis Of Accounting

The accompanying Forms MS-965 With Adjustments are prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Transportation. Under this method, revenues are recognized when received and expenditures are recorded when paid.

1. Criteria (Continued)

General Fixed Assets

General fixed assets are recognized as expenditures at the time of purchase. No depreciation has been provided on the heavy equipment used to maintain and repair roads and bridges.

2. Deposits

The Borough Code, Title 53 P.S § 46316, authorizes the borough to deposit its funds in the following:

- Deposits in savings accounts or time deposits, other than certificates of deposit or share accounts of institutions having their principal place of business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or other like insurance. For any amount above the insured maximum, the depository shall pledge approved collateral.

- Certificates of deposit purchased from institutions insured by the FDIC or other like insurance to the extent that such accounts are so insured. For any amounts in excess of the insured maximum, such deposits shall be collateralized by a pledge or assignment of assets. Certificates of deposit may not exceed 20 percent of a bank's total capital surplus or 20 percent of a savings and loan's or savings bank's assets minus liabilities.

Deposits consist of receipts and deposits in financial institutions. Pennsylvania statutes require all deposits to be insured and, for any amount above the insured maximum, to be secured with approved collateral as defined.

There were no deposits exposed to custodial credit risk as of December 31, 2011. Custodial credit risk, as defined by GASB No. 40, includes deposits that are not covered by depository insurance and the deposits are uncollateralized, collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent but not in the municipality's name.

2. Deposits (Continued)

Fund Balance

The fund balance as of December 31, 2011 consists of the following:

Cash	$17,825.80

3. Interest On Investments

Our examination disclosed that the municipality deposited idle liquid fuels tax money in an interest-bearing account which earned $134.80 during 2010, and $45.90 during 2011, thus providing additional funds for road maintenance and repairs.

4. Adjustments

2010 - Section 3

An adjustment of $(100.00) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" because an incorrect equipment balance was carried forward.

2011 - Section 3

An adjustment of $(100.00) was made to "Prior year equipment balance" to reflect the adjustment made to the equipment balance in 2010 - Section 3.

An adjustment of $(1,077.77) was made to "PENNDOT approved adjustments" because there were no approved adjustments.

5. Miscellaneous Receipts

On March 25, 2011, the municipality deposited $390.99 into its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund that was received from a vendor for the return of a piece of equipment.

6. Bank Loan

On August 15, 2006, the municipality borrowed $105,000.00 from Citicorp Leasing, Inc. to purchase a 2006 Case 6210 XT wheel loader. The term of the loan was for 7 years at an interest rate of 4.55 percent. Principal and interest payments of $1,456.35 are due monthly. Prior years' principal and interest payments from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund were $10,931.23 and $2,175.92, respectively. Additionally, the municipality paid principal of $36,383.05 and interest of $10,220.15 from the General Fund.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of $31,036.56 and interest of $3,915.84 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. These amounts are reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2010 and 2011 Forms MS-965 – Section 1. The outstanding balance of the loan as of December 31, 2011 was $26,649.16, plus interest.

7. Bank Loan

On July 2, 2010, the municipality borrowed $26,418.85 from Real Lease Inc. to purchase a 2011 Ford F-250 4x4 truck with a plow. The term of the loan was for 5 years at an interest rate of 4.92 percent. Principal and interest payments of $5,816.64 are due annually.

During the current examination period the municipality paid principal of $10,555.11 and interest of $1,077.98 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund. This amount is reflected in major equipment purchases on the 2010 and 2011 Forms MS-965 - Section 1. The outstanding balance of the loan as of December 31, 2011 was $15,863.74, plus interest.

EUGENE DEPASQUALE

AUDITOR GENERAL

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

Finding No. 1 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination

Our examination disclosed that the borough expended $4,928.74 during 2011 from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund for the purchase of cold patch. The borough was required to obtain three written or telephonic price quotations for this expenditure. However, documentation for price quotations was not available for examination. The purchases were as follows:

Invoice Number	Invoice Date	Check Number	Check Date	Amount
838-00268	03/20/11	2365	05/11/11	$2,364.25
838-00542	08/20/11	2397	09/14/11	2,564.49
2011 Total				$4,928.74

The above expenditures were not made in compliance with the contract requirements of *The Borough Code*, 53 P.S. § 46402(a.1), (also found at § 1402(a.1) of *The Borough Code* as published by the Local Government Commission), which states, in part:

> Written or telephonic price quotations from at least three qualified and responsible contractors shall be requested for all contracts that exceed four thousand dollars ($4,000.00) but are less than the amount [in excess of $10,000.00] requiring advertisement and competitive bidding or, in lieu of price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors exist in the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. A written record of telephonic price quotations shall be made and shall contain at least the date of the quotation, the name of the contractor and the contractor's representative, the construction, reconstruction, repair, maintenance or work which was the subject of the quotation and the price. Written price quotations, written records of telephonic price quotations and memoranda shall be retained for a period of three years.

In addition, the above expenditures were not made in compliance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.7(d), which states, in part:

> ...For material estimates between $4,000 and $10,000, three telephone price quotes shall be obtained prior to the selection of a supplier. In lieu of the price quotations, a memorandum shall be kept on file showing that fewer than three qualified contractors

Finding No. 1 - Documentation For Price Quotations Was Not Available For Examination (Continued)

> service the market area within which it is practicable to obtain quotations. If the cost during the year of an unadvertised item or group of like items ordinarily supplied by a single supplier exceeds $10,000 or if the cost was between $4,000 and $10,000 and three price quotes were not obtained, the entire cost shall be ineligible for payment from the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund unless it can be shown to the satisfaction of the Secretary [of the Department of Transportation] that it was reasonably believed that the cost of the year's requirements of that item or group of like items would not exceed $10,000 or be between $4,000 and $10,000 as specified above.

The failure to comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the borough having to reimburse $4,928.74 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the borough reimburse $4,928.74 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that, in the future, the borough comply with *The Borough Code* and the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* as noted in this finding.

Management's Response

The borough officials stated:

> The borough foreman and borough secretary did make phone calls in regard to the availability and pricing of cold patch. Cold patch was needed as soon as possible, one vendor was out of business. Other vendors are approximately the same distance in mileage. Pricing was not documented by foreman or borough secretary but will be in the future.

Auditor's Conclusion

The borough officials should maintain documentation for price quotations. During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendations.

Finding No. 2 - Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally

Our examination disclosed that the municipality expended $100.00 in excess of the amount available for the purchase of equipment for the year ending December 31, 2011, as follows:

2011

1.	Prior year equipment balance	$ 5,411.06
2.	Current year equipment allocation (20% of Lines 2 + 2A, Section 2)	16,704.01
3.	PENNDOT approved adjustments	-
4.	Total funds available for equipment acquisition	22,115.07
5.	Less: Major equipment purchases	23,292.84
6.	Amount overexpended for equipment – 2011	(1,177.77)

The Department of Transportation's, *Publication 9*, Appendix D, Section 449.11, requires that the amount expended for equipment purchases in a given year not exceed the sum of the equipment balance carried forward from the previous year, 20 percent of the current year's Liquid Fuels Tax Fund allocations, and any approved adjustments.

The municipality reimbursed $1,077.77 to the Liquid Fuels Tax Fund on January 31, 2012, which was subsequent to our examination period.

The failure to follow the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9* could result in the municipality having to reimburse $100.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund.

Recommendations

We recommend that the municipality reimburse $100.00 to its Liquid Fuels Tax Fund upon official notification by the Department of Transportation.

We further recommend that the municipality only expend up to the approved amount on equipment expenditures in accordance with the Department of Transportation's *Publication 9*.

Finding No. 2 - Overexpended Equipment Purchase Tally (Continued)

Management's Response

The municipal officials offered no formal response at this time.

Finding 3 - Borough Failed To Bind Meeting Minutes In Accordance With *The Borough Code*

Our examination disclosed that the borough did not bind its minutes of borough council meetings as required by *The Borough Code*. Section 1009 of *The Borough Code* states*:*

> All borough records, required to be recorded or transcribed, shall be deemed valid if typewritten, printed, photostated or microfilmed and where recording or transcribing in a specified book of record is required, including minutes of the proceedings of the council, such records may be recorded or transcribed in a mechanical post binder book capable of being permanently sealed with consecutively numbered pages with a security code printed thereon and a permanent locking device with the borough seal being impressed upon each page, or bound book with pages being consecutively numbered by transcribing directly upon the pages of such book of record or such records or copies thereof may be attached to such book of record by stapling or by glue or by any other adhesive substance or material, and all records heretofore recorded or transcribed in any manner authorized by this section are validated. When any record shall be recorded or transcribed after the effective date of this act by attaching such record or a copy thereof to the book of record as hereinabove provided, the borough seal shall be impressed upon each page to which such record is attached, each impression thereof covering both a portion of the attached record and a portion of the page of the book of record to which such record is attached.

The primary purpose of the minutes is to provide an official record of council meetings. Without a complete record of readable minutes, we were unable to determine if there were any references that would have had an impact on the propriety of liquid fuels expenditures. Additionally, we were unable to determine if litigation or related party transactions were noted.

Recommendation

We recommend that the borough officials bind all meeting minutes in accordance with the above.

Finding 3 - Borough Failed To Bind Meeting Minutes In Accordance With *The Borough Code* (Continued)

Management's Response

The borough officials stated:

> Minutes have been kept in binders for a short number of years, since it was told to me by a printing company at a training session that this was ok. Beginning in 2012 minutes will be bound as supposed to be.

Auditor's Conclusion

During our next examination we will determine if the municipality complied with our recommendation.

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
SUMMARY OF EXIT CONFERENCE
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

An exit conference was held July 18, 2012. Those participating were:

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY

Ms. Dorothy M. Lewis, Secretary/Treasurer
Mr. Daniel L. Lynch, Borough Manager

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

Mr. Brian P. Dries, Auditor
Ms. Georgeanne Walacavage, Auditor

The results of the examination were presented and discussed in their entirety.

EUGENE DEPASQUALE

AUDITOR GENERAL

BOROUGH OF MAHANOY CITY
SCHUYLKILL COUNTY
LIQUID FUELS TAX FUND
REPORT DISTRIBUTION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2011

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Barry J. Schoch, P.E.
Secretary
Department of Transportation

Borough of Mahanoy City
Schuylkill County
239 East Pine Street
P.O. Box 6
Mahony City, PA 17948

The Honorable Thomas E. Oblas	President of Council
Ms. Dorothy M. Lewis	Secretary/Treasurer
Mr. Daniel L. Lynch	Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.