

## CHESWICK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN

**ALLEGHENY COUNTY** 

**COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT** 

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

### **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





# Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

#### EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Cheswick Borough Allegheny County Cheswick, PA 15024

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Cheswick Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2012. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

#### The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. Our methodology addressed determinations about the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements.
- · Whether employer contributions are determined and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether employee contributions are required and, if so, are determined, deducted and deposited into the pension plan and are in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.

- · Whether benefit payments, if any, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and are properly determined in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether obligations for plan benefits are accurately determined in accordance with plan provisions and based on complete and accurate participant data; and whether actuarial valuation reports are prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) in accordance with state law and selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program.
- · Whether the terms of the unallocated insurance contract, including ownership and any restrictions, are in compliance with plan provisions, investment policies and state regulations.
- · Whether refunds are made to eligible members in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.

Cheswick Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for an audit of its basic financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2011 which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Cheswick Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the borough's internal controls as they relate to the borough's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Cheswick Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1 — Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

Finding No. 2 – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Cheswick Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

April 21, 2014

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf J-Pagur

**Auditor General** 

#### **CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Status of Prior Finding	3
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of Th	e Plan4
Finding No. 2 – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit	5
Potential Withhold of State Aid	8
Supplementary Information	9
Report Distribution List	13

#### **BACKGROUND**

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Cheswick Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Cheswick Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 762-C, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers. The plan was established July 12, 1955. Active members are required to contribute 5 percent of their annual compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2012, the plan had 2 active members, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future and 3 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

#### **BACKGROUND** – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

#### **Eligibility Requirements:**

Normal Retirement Age 50 and 25 years of service.

Early Retirement Age 50 and 25 years of service.

Vesting 100% after 12 years of service.

#### Retirement Benefit:

50% of average compensation times accrued benefit adjustment, plus a service increment of \$100 per month if completed years of service exceeds 25 years. Average compensation is monthly average of total pay received for 36 latest compensation months. Accrued benefit adjustment is quotient of the number of complete months of service on a given date, divided by the number of completed months of service on normal retirement date.

#### Survivor Benefit:

If death occurs in the line-of-duty, the amount paid to the spouse or dependent child is equal to 100% of the final one month salary preceding date of death. (See Finding No. 2 contained in this report.) In the event of an off-duty death or death after retirement, the amount paid to the spouse or dependent child is equal to 50% of the participant's vested accrued pension.

#### Service Related Disability Benefit:

50% of the member's final one month salary prior to the date of disability offset by Social Security benefits.

#### CHESWICK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

#### Status of Prior Audit Recommendation

#### Ordinance Improperly Amended By Resolution

During the prior audit period, the pension plan's governing document, Ordinance No. 762-C, was improperly amended by a resolution. The resolution was intended to change the required rate for members' contributions; however, contributions have been deducted and deposited to the plan at the rate specified by Ordinance No. 762-C. Borough officials stated their intentions are to require members to contribute at the rate required by Ordinance No. 762-C, and that any future amendments to the plan's governing document would be made by adopting a properly executed ordinance.

#### Finding No. 1 – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

<u>Condition</u>: The municipality did not fully pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) that was due to the police pension plan for the year 2013, as required by Act 205. The municipality had an unpaid 2013 MMO balance of \$52,340.

Criteria: With regard to the MMO, Section 302(c) of Act 205 states, in part:

Annually, the chief administrative officer of the pension plan shall determine the minimum obligation of the municipality with respect to the pension plan for the following plan year.

Section 302(d) of Act 205 states, in part:

The minimum obligation of the municipality shall be payable to the pension plan from the revenue of the municipality.

Furthermore, Section 302(e) of Act 205 states:

Any amount of the minimum obligation of the municipality which remains unpaid as of December 31 of the year in which the minimum obligation is due shall be added to the minimum obligation of the municipality for the following year, with interest from January 1 of the year in which the minimum obligation was first due until the date the payment is paid at a rate equal to the interest assumption used for the actuarial valuation report or the discount rate applicable to treasury bills issued by the Department of Treasury of the United States with a six month maturity as of the last business day in December of the plan year in which the obligation was due, whichever is greater, expressed as a monthly rate and compounded monthly.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials did not comply with the Act 205 requirements due to insufficient municipal funds being available to pay the full amount of the MMO due to the plan.

<u>Effect</u>: The failure to fully pay the MMO could result in the plan not having adequate resources to meet current and future benefit obligations to its members.

Due to the municipality's failure to fully pay the 2013 MMO by the December 31, 2013, deadline, the municipality must add the 2013 MMO balance to the current year's MMO and include interest, as required by Act 205.

#### Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

Furthermore, the borough's future state aid allocations may be withheld until the finding recommendation is complied with.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality pay the MMO balance due to the police pension plan for the year 2013, with interest, in accordance with Section 302(e) of Act 205. A copy of the interest calculation must be submitted to this Department along with evidence of payment of the MMO balance.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: The borough's compliance with the finding recommendation will be monitored subsequent to the release of the audit report and through our next audit of the pension plan.

#### Finding No. 2 – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit

Condition: Cheswick Borough maintains a police pension plan governed by the provisions of Act 600, as amended. Prior to the adoption of Act 51 of 2009, Act 600 contained a mandatory killed in service benefit provision; however, Act 51 specifically repealed the section of Act 600 that referenced the mandatory killed in service benefit. During the prior audit period, a verbal observation was given to plan officials notifying them of the passage of Act 51. It was recommended that plan officials review the act's implications for the police pension plan and the collective bargaining agreement in effect for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2012 with their municipal solicitor. During the current audit period, it has been determined that the borough failed to adopt an ordinance repealing the killed in service benefit.

Criteria: Section 1(a) of Act 51 of 2009 states, in part:

In the event a law enforcement officer, ambulance service or rescue squad member, firefighter, certified hazardous material response team member or National Guard member dies as a result of the performance of his duties, such political subdivision, Commonwealth agency or, in the case of National Guard members, the Adjutant General, or, in the case of a member of a Commonwealth law enforcement agency, the authorized survivor or the agency head, within 90 days from the date of death, shall submit certification of such death to the Commonwealth.

#### Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

In addition, Section 1(d) of Act 51 of 2009 states, in part:

. . . the Commonwealth shall, from moneys payable out of the General Fund, pay to the surviving spouse or, if there is no surviving spouse, to the minor children of the paid firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer who died as a result of the performance of his duty the sum of \$100,000, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, and an amount equal to the monthly salary, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, of the deceased paid firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer, less any workers' compensation or pension or retirement benefits paid to such survivors, and shall continue such monthly payments until there is no eligible beneficiary to receive them. For the purpose of this subsection, the term "eligible beneficiary" means the surviving spouse or the child or children under the age of eighteen years or, if attending college, under the age of twenty-three years, of the firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer who died as a result of the performance of his duty. When no spouse or minor children survive, a single sum of \$100,000, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, shall be paid to the parent or parents of such firefighter, ambulance service member, rescue squad member or law enforcement officer.

Furthermore, Section 2 of Act 51 of 2009 states:

#### Repeals are as follows:

- (1) The General Assembly declares that the repeals under paragraph (2) are necessary to effectuate the amendment of section 1 of the act.
- (2) The following parts of acts are repealed:
  - (i) Section 5(e)(2) of the act of May 29, 1956 (1955 P.L.1804, No. 600), referred to as the Municipal Police Pension Law.
  - (ii) Section 202(b)(3)(vi) and (4)(vi) of the act of December 18, 1984 (P.L.1005, No. 205), known as the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act.

Therefore, since Act 51 specifically repealed the killed in service provision of Act 600 and the funding provisions for the killed in service benefit that were contained in Act 205, the provision of a killed in service benefit is no longer authorized.

#### Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the plan's governing document is in compliance with Act 600, as amended.

<u>Effect</u>: Since Section 1 of Act 51 provides that the Commonwealth is obligated to pay the killed in service benefit less any pension or retirement benefits paid to eligible survivors, the continued provision of a killed in service benefit could result in the pension plan being obligated to pay a benefit that is no longer authorized by Act 600, and would have been paid entirely by the Commonwealth absent such provision.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality review the plan's killed in service benefit with its solicitor in conjunction with Act 51 of 2009, and eliminate this unauthorized benefit provision at its earliest opportunity to do so.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

### CHESWICK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN POTENTIAL WITHHOLD OF STATE AID

A condition such as that reported by Finding No. 1 contained in this audit report may lead to a total withholding of state aid in the future unless that finding is corrected. However, such action will not be considered if sufficient written documentation is provided to verify compliance with this department's recommendation. Such documentation should be submitted to: Department of the Auditor General, Bureau of Municipal Pension & VFRA Audits, 316-D Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120.

#### CHESWICK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2007, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-07	\$ 1,679,357	\$ 1,214,297	\$ (465,060)	138.3%	\$ 169,180	(274.9%)
01-01-09	1,452,016	1,499,079	47,063	96.9%	175,059	26.9%
01-01-11	1,443,793	1,657,219	213,426	87.1%	90,234	236.5%

Note: The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-09 has been adjusted to reflect the smoothing method described in Section 210 of Act 205, as amended, which allows an expansion of the asset smoothing corridor for recognition of gains and losses from 20 percent to 30 percent for the two-year period beginning in 2009. The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-11 has been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. These methods will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

#### CHESWICK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. When assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

#### CHESWICK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

### SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2007	None	N/A
2008	None	N/A
2009	None	N/A
2010	\$ 36,747	100.0%
2011	24,786	136.1%
2012	68,746	100.2%

#### CHESWICK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2011

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar, closed

Remaining amortization period 10 years

Asset valuation method Fair value – smoothing method

described in Section 210 of

Act 205, as amended.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 7.5%

Projected salary increases 4.5%

Cost-of-living adjustments 2.25%

#### CHESWICK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Cheswick Borough Police Pension Plan Allegheny County 220 South Atlantic Avenue Cheswick, PA 15024

The Honorable Daniel Carroll Mayor

Mr. Paul Jack Council President

Mr. Andrew R. Bock Secretary

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.auditorgen.state.pa.us">www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.