

### RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN

**DELAWARE COUNTY** 

**COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT** 

FOR THE PERIOD

**JANUARY 1, 2010 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012** 

## **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





# Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

#### EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Ridley Park Borough Delaware County Ridley Park, PA 19078

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Ridley Park Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2012. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. Our methodology addressed determinations about the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements.
- · Whether employer contributions are determined and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether employee contributions are required and, if so, are determined, deducted and deposited into the pension plan and are in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.

- · Whether benefit payments, if any, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and are properly determined in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether obligations for plan benefits are accurately determined in accordance with plan provisions and based on complete and accurate participant data; and whether actuarial valuation reports are prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) in accordance with state law and selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program.
- · Whether the special ad hoc postretirement adjustment granted to eligible pensioners is in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and whether the ad hoc reimbursement received by the municipality was treated in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether benefit payments have only been made to living recipients, based on the Social Security numbers found in the pension records for retirees and beneficiaries.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Ridley Park Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the borough's internal controls as they relate to the borough's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Ridley Park Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1 - Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation - Failure To Adopt Benefit Provision Mandated By Act 30

Finding No. 2 - Inconsistent Pension Benefit

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Ridley Park Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

March 5, 2014

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf. O-Pager

Auditor General

### **CONTENTS**

·	Page
Background	1
Status of Prior Finding	3
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation - Failure To Adopt Benefit Provision Mandated By Act 30	4
Finding No. 2 – Inconsistent Pension Benefit	5
Supplementary Information	7
Report Distribution List	11

#### **BACKGROUND**

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Ridley Park Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Act 147 Special Ad Hoc Municipal Police and Firefighter Postretirement Adjustment Act, Act of December 14, 1988 (P.L. 1192, No. 147), as amended, 53 P.S. § 896.101 et seq.
- Act 600 Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Ridley Park Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 1101, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers. The plan was established August 12, 1958. Active members are required to contribute 2.5 percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2012, the plan had 10 active members, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future and 8 retirees receiving pension benefits.

### **BACKGROUND** – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2012, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

### **Eligibility Requirements:**

Normal Retirement Age 50 and 25 years of service.

Early Retirement 20 years of service.

Vesting 100% after 12 years of service.

### Retirement Benefit:

50% of final 36 months average salary, plus \$100 service increment per month after 26 years of service.

### Survivor Benefit:

Before Retirement Eligibility Refund of member contributions plus interest.

After Retirement Eligibility A monthly benefit equal to 50% of the pension the

member was receiving or was entitled to receive on the

day of the member's death.

### Service Related Disability Benefit:

100% of the member's salary up to regular retirement age in combination with Worker's Compensation and other insurance.

### RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

### Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Ridley Park Borough has partially complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following:

### Failure To Adopt Benefit Provisions Mandated By Act 30

The borough amended the plan's governing document to provide for a service-related disability benefit that is compliance with Act 600, as amended by Act 30; however, the plan's survivor benefit remains not in compliance with Act 600, as amended by Act 30, as noted in Finding No. 1 contained in this audit report.

Furthermore, the service-related disability benefit provision contained in the plan's governing document is not consistent with the collective bargaining agreement as noted in Finding No. 2 contained in this audit report.

### RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# <u>Finding No. 1 – Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Failure To Adopt</u> Benefit Provision Mandated By Act 30

<u>Condition</u>: On April 17, 2002, Act 600 was amended by Act 30, which made significant changes to the statutorily prescribed benefit structure of police pension plans subject to Act 600. As recommended in the prior audit report, the borough amended the plan's governing document to provide for a service-related disability benefit that complies with Act 600, as amended by Act 30; however, the survivor benefit continues not to be in compliance with Act 30 provisions, as noted below:

<b>Benefit Provision</b>	nefit Provision Governing Document Act 600 (a	
Survivor's benefit (Based on member's normal retirement)	Same as Act 600 with a 50% survivor's pension. However, the benefit stops upon remarriage and there is no provision for children under age 23 attending college to receive the benefit. There is also no definition for "attending college".	A lifetime survivor's benefit must be provided to the surviving spouse (or if no spouse survives or if he or she subsequently dies, the child or children under 18 years of age or if attending college, under or attaining the age of 23) of no less than 50% of the pension the member was receiving or would have been entitled to receive had he been retired at the time of death. ("Attending college" shall mean the eligible children are registered at an accredited institution of higher learning and are carrying a minimum course load of 7 credit hours per semester.)

<u>Criteria</u>: The police pension plan's benefit structure should be in compliance with Act 600, as amended by Act 30.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials were not able to effect full compliance with the prior audit recommendation through the collective bargaining process.

<u>Effect</u>: Maintaining a benefit structure which is not in compliance with Act 600 could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

# RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that municipal officials, after consulting with their solicitor, take whatever action is necessary to bring the police pension plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600, as amended by Act 30, at their earliest opportunity to do so.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

### Finding No. 2 – Inconsistent Pension Benefit

<u>Condition</u>: The pension plan's governing document contains a benefit provision that conflicts with the collective bargaining agreement between the police officers and the borough, as noted below:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Act 600(as amended)
Service-related disability benefit	100% of annual wages until the member would have reached normal retirement date at which reduced to a normal retirement benefit. Any benefit member receives from insurance provided by borough or Worker's Compensation shall be returned to the borough	Effective upon the execution of this agreement, service connected disability pensions shall be paid at a monthly rate equal to 75% of the officer's average applicable compensation over a 36 month period, subject to the statutory offset for Social Security.	The benefit must be in conformity with a uniformed scale and fixed by the plan's governing document at no less than 50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, reduced by the amount of Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.

In addition, the plan's actuarial valuation report dated January 1, 2013, submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission, reported the service-related disability benefit provision included in the collective bargaining agreement.

<u>Criteria</u>: The plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement should contain consistent benefit provisions to ensure the sound administration of retirement benefits.

### RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

### Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement contained a consistent service-related disability benefit provision.

<u>Effect</u>: Inconsistent plan documents could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that municipal officials ensure the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement contain a consistent service-related disability benefit provision at their earliest opportunity to do so.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

### RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2007, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	% of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-07	\$ 4,545,083	\$ 3,303,982	\$ (1,241,101)	137.6%	\$ 754,066	(164.6%)
01-01-09	4,293,605	3,889,003	(404,602)	110.4%	838,044	(48.3%)
01-01-11	4,688,986	4,333,340	(355,646)	108.2%	903,537	(39.4%)

Note: The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-09 is subject to a ceiling of 130 percent of the market value of assets. The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-11 is subject to a ceiling of 120 percent of the market value of assets. These methods will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

### RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. When assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

### RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

# SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2007	\$ 37,709	131.9%
2008	9,590	148.4%
2009	21,147	100.0%
2010	20,378	379.5%
2011	106,568	107.8%
2012	97,358	100.0%

### RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2011

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method Plan assets are valued using the

method described in Section 210 of Act 205, as amended subject to a ceiling of 120% of the market value

of assets.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 8.0%

Projected salary increases 5.5%

Cost-of-living adjustments In accordance with Act 600

### RIDLEY PARK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Ridley Park Borough Police Pension Plan Delaware County 105 East Ward Street Ridley Park, PA 19078

The Honorable Henry A. Eberle Jr. Mayor

Mr. Robert Berger Council President

Ms. Dawn Maria Human Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.auditorgen.state.pa.us">www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.