

# CITY OF WILKES-BARRE POLICEMEN'S RELIEF PENSION PLAN

LUZERNE COUNTY

COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2011 TO DECEMBER 31, 2013

# **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

# **EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**

# DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Wilkes-Barre Luzerne County Wilkes-Barre, PA 18711

We have conducted a compliance audit of the City of Wilkes-Barre Policemen's Relief Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2013. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. Our methodology addressed determinations about the following:

- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements.
- Whether employer contributions are determined and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether employee contributions are required and, if so, are determined, deducted and deposited into the pension plan and are in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.

- Whether benefit payments, if any, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and are properly determined in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether obligations for plan benefits are accurately determined in accordance with plan provisions and based on complete and accurate participant data; and whether actuarial valuation reports are prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) in accordance with state law and selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program.
- Whether benefit payments have only been made to living recipients, based on the Social Security numbers found in the pension records for retirees and beneficiaries.
- Whether the terms and methodologies of the issuance of bonds by the municipality, and any restrictions are in compliance with plan provisions and state regulations.

The City of Wilkes-Barre contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for audits of the City of Wilkes-Barre Policemen's Relief Pension Plan's financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2012, which are available at the city's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

City officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the City of Wilkes-Barre Policemen's Relief Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the city's internal controls as they relate to the city's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the City of Wilkes-Barre Policemen's Relief Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1	– Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Plan			
	Provision Inconsistent With The Third Class City Code			
Finding No. 2	<ul> <li>Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Unauthorized Service Buyback Provision</li> </ul>			

These findings repeat conditions that were cited in our previous audit report that have not been corrected by city officials. We are concerned by the city's failure to correct those previously reported audit findings and strongly encourage timely implementation of the recommendations noted in this audit report.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it. We would like to point out that, although the funded status of the plan contained in the schedule of funding progress included in this report indicates a funded ratio of 86.9% as of January 1, 2013, when combined with the funded status of the city's other pension plans this places the city currently in Level II moderate distress status.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of the City of Wilkes-Barre and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank city officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

June 12, 2014

Eugent: O-Pusper

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

# CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
-------------

Background	1
Status of Prior Findings	3
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Plan Provision Inconsistent With The Third Class City Code	4
Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Unauthorized Service Buyback Provision	6
Supplementary Information	8
Report Distribution List	12

#### BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et</u> <u>seq</u>.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the City of Wilkes-Barre Policemen's Relief Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Act 177 General Local Government Code, Act of December 19, 1996 (P.L. 1158, No. 177), as amended, 53 Pa.C.S. § 101 et seq.
- Act 317 The Third Class City Code, Act of June 23, 1931 (P.L. 932, No. 317), as amended, 53 P.S. § 35101 et seq.

The City of Wilkes-Barre Policemen's Relief Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of File of Council No. 26 of 1976, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 317. The plan was established for police officers hired after July 8, 1976. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the city and its police officers. Active members are required to contribute 5 percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2013, the plan had 76 active members, 3 terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future and 28 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

### **BACKGROUND** – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2013, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

#### **Eligibility Requirements**:

Normal Retirement	Age 50 and 20 years of service hired before and after January 24, 2001.
Early Retirement	None
Vesting	10 year vesting for police officers hired before January 24, 2001. None if hired after January 24, 2001.

#### Retirement Benefit:

2.5% for each year of service up to 20 years plus a service increment equal to 1.25% of final salary for each year of service in excess of 20 years (additional monthly pension cannot exceed \$100). If hired after January 24, 2001, the service increment shall not exceed \$100 per month.

#### Survivor Benefit:

Before Retirement Eligibility	Refund of member contributions plus interest.
After Retirement Eligibility	2.5% for each year of service up to 20 years plus a service increment equal to 1.25% of final salary for each year of service in excess of 20 years.

#### Service Related Disability Benefit:

50% of the member's final average salary plus a service increment equal to 1.25% of final salary for each year of service in excess of 20 years.

# CITY OF WILKES-BARRE POLICEMEN'S RELIEF PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

# Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

The City of Wilkes-Barre has not complied with the prior audit recommendations concerning the following as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report:

- Plan Provision Inconsistent With The Third Class City Code
- <u>Unauthorized Service Buyback Provision</u>

### <u>Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Plan Provision</u> Inconsistent With The Third Class City Code

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the prior audit report, the city adopted a home rule charter pursuant to the Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law, 53 Pa. C.S. § 2901 <u>et seq</u>. (previously 53 P.S. § 1-101 <u>et seq</u>.) and provided a pension benefit to its police officers inconsistent with the Third Class City Cite Code. The city continues to provide this benefit to its police officers inconsistent with the Third Class City Code, as follows:

Benefit	Plan Governing Document	Third Class City Code
Benefit Basis of pension	Plan Governing Document The basis of the apportionment of pension shall be determined by the rate of the monthly pay of the member at the date of injury, death, honorable discharge, or retirement, whichever is the higher, and except as to service increments provided for in section V (b) of File Council No. 11 of 2001, shall not exceed in any year one- half the annual pay of such member computed at such monthly or average annual rate, whichever is the higher.	Third Class City Code The basis of the apportionment of the pension shall be determined by the rate of the monthly pay of the member at the date of injury, death, honorable discharge, vesting under section 4302.1 or retirement, or the highest average annual salary which the member received during any 5 years of service preceding injury, death, honorable discharge, vesting under section 4302.1 or retirement, whichever is the higher, and except as to service increments provided for in subsection (b) of this section, shall not in any case exceed in any year one-half the annual pay
		of such member computed at such monthly or average annual

<u>Criteria</u>: On January 24, 2001, the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania issued its opinion in *Municipality of Monroeville v. Monroeville Police Department Wage Policy Committee*. Therein, the court held that Section 2962(c)(5) of the Home Rule Charter and Optional Plans Law, 53 Pa. C.S. Section 2962(c)(5), "clearly precludes home rule municipalities from providing pension benefits different from those prescribed in general law including Act 600." The court's holding was in accord with the position taken by this Department since at least January 1995.

rate, whichever is the higher.

#### **Finding No. 1 – (Continued)**

<u>Cause</u>: City officials are unable to amend the plan's governing ordinance to comply with the Third Class City Code due to the fact that pension benefits are a mandatory subject of bargaining. The city attempted to amend the benefit through bargaining with the labor union; however, it was unsuccessful in doing so and had been ordered by the Pennsylvania Labor Relations Board to have the benefit continue as currently prescribed in the plan's governing document. It appears that the inconsistency may have resulted from errors in drafting the ordinance language by omitting language contained in the Third Class City Code.

<u>Effect</u>: The provision of inconsistent benefits could increase the plan's pension costs and reduces the amount of funds available for investment purposes or the payment of authorized benefits or administrative expenses. Since the city received its state aid allocations based on unit value during the current audit period, it did not receive excess state aid allocations attributable to the inconsistent benefit provision. However, providing inconsistent pension benefits could result in the receipt of state aid in excess of the city's entitlement in the future, or increase required municipal contributions to the plan.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that the city ensure that pension benefits are in accordance with the Third Class City Code for all employees who began full-time employment on or after January 24, 2001 (the date *Monroeville* was issued) upon the renewal, extension, or renegotiation of the collective bargaining agreement. To the extent that the city is not in compliance with the Third Class City Code and/or is contractually obligated to provide benefits inconsistent with those authorized by the Third Class City Code to employees who began employment on or after January 24, 2001, the excess benefits must be reflected in the Act 205 actuarial valuation reports for the plan and funded in accordance with Act 205 funding standards. Furthermore, such benefits will be deemed ineligible for funding with state pension aid. In such case, the plan's actuary may be required to determine the impact, if any, of the inconsistent benefits on the plan's future state aid allocations and submit this information to the department.

<u>Management's Response</u>: City officials agreed with the finding without exception. The city has been unsuccessful in negotiating with the labor unions to bring the policemen's relief pension plan into compliance with the Third Class City Code. The current collective bargaining agreement expires on December 31, 2018, at which time the city will make any and all necessary efforts to negotiate to bring the plan provisions consistent with Third Class City Code.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Compliance will be evaluated during future audits of the plan.

# <u>Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Unauthorized</u> Service Buyback Provision

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in our prior audit reports, the city enacted an unauthorized service buyback provision. File of Council No. 11 of 2001, Section I, states:

Effective January 1, 2002, City employees are permitted to buy back all of the time which represents time served as a City of Wilkes-Barre employee and a participant in another City Pension Fund into the City Pension Fund in which they currently participate.

The buyback amount shall be calculated by the City and shall include an amount equal to the employee's contributions, plus interest at a rate established by the City at the time of the buyback.

The buyback must be initiated at the time of hire into another City job classification or after the effective date of this ordinance, whichever is later.

<u>Criteria</u>: The Third Class City Code does not authorize buybacks of prior service credits from another pension fund.

<u>Cause</u>: City officials have been unable to effect compliance with the prior audit recommendation through the collective bargaining process.

<u>Effect</u>: The provision of unauthorized benefits increases the plan's pension costs and reduces the amount of funds available for investment purposes or the payment of authorized benefits or administrative expenses. Since the city received its state aid allocations based on unit value during the audit period, it did not received excess state aid allocations attributable to the unauthorized benefits provided. However, providing unauthorized pension benefits could result in the receipt of state aid in excess of the city's entitlement in the future, or increase required municipal contributions to the plan.

## **Finding No. 2 – (Continued)**

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that the city eliminate the unauthorized service buyback provision at its earliest opportunity to do so. To the extent that the city has already obligated itself to pay benefits to existing retirees in excess of those authorized by the Third Class City Code, the excess benefits must be reflected in the Act 205 actuarial valuation reports for the plan and funded in accordance with Act 205 funding standards. Furthermore, such benefits will be deemed ineligible for funding with state pension aid. In such case, the plan's actuary may be required to determine the impact, if any, of the unauthorized benefits on the plan's future state aid allocations and submit this information to the department.

<u>Management's Response</u>: City officials agreed with the finding without exception. The city has been unsuccessful in negotiating with the labor unions to bring the policemen's relief pension plan into compliance with the Third Class City Code. The current collective bargaining agreement expires on December 31, 2018, at which time the city will make any and all necessary efforts to negotiate to bring the plan provisions consistent with Third Class City Code.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Compliance will be evaluated during the next audit of the plan.

# CITY OF WILKES-BARRE POLICEMEN'S RELIEF PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2009, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						Unfunded
			Unfunded			(Assets in
		Actuarial	(Assets in			Excess of)
		Accrued	Excess of)			Actuarial
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial			Accrued
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded	Covered	Liability as a %
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio	Payroll	of Payroll
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)	(c)	[(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-09	\$ 13,776,220	\$ 15,233,364	\$ 1,457,144	90.4%	\$ 5,115,760	28.5%
01-01-11	15,896,581	17,501,919	1,605,338	90.8%	5,156,834	31.1%
01-01-13	18,807,542	21,640,472	2,832,930	86.9%	5,894,338	48.1%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-09, 01-01-11 and 01-01-13 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses subject to a corridor between 70 to 130 percent of the market value of assets. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

## CITY OF WILKES-BARRE POLICEMEN'S RELIEF PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. When assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

# CITY OF WILKES-BARRE POLICEMEN'S RELIEF PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

# SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2008	\$ 289,386	100.0%
2009	347,813	100.0%
2010	398,491	100.0%
2011	598,416	100.0%
2012	450,500	100.0%
2013	515,757	100.0%

# CITY OF WILKES-BARRE POLICEMEN'S RELIEF PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2013
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	18 years
Asset valuation method	Plan assets are valued using the method described in Section 210 of Act 205, as amended, subject to a corridor between 70-130% of the market value of assets.
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	8.0% compounded annually
Projected salary increases	3.5% compounded annually

## CITY OF WILKES-BARRE POLICEMEN'S RELIEF PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

# The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

# City of Wilkes-Barre Policemen's Relief Pension Plan Luzerne County 40 East Market Street Wilkes-Barre, PA 18711

The Honorable Thomas M. Leighton	Mayor
Mr. William Barrett	Council Chairperson
Mr. James Ryan	City Clerk
Ms. Kathleen Kane	City Controller
Ms. Laura Brace	City Pension Coordinator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.