

COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Spring Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan Berks County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2014

August 2015



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors
Spring Township
Berks County
Reading, PA 19608

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Spring Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2012 to December 31, 2014. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- × We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- × We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- × We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- × We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for 16 of the plan members who retired during the current audit period, and through the completion of our fieldwork procedures, represent payments to all and only those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to recipients.
- × We determined whether the January 1, 2011 and January 1, 2013 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2012 and 2014, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- × We determined whether provisions of the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) were in accordance with the provisions of Act 205 by examining provisions stated in the plan's governing documents.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Spring Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Incorrect Pension Benefit Calculation

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Spring Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

July 15, 2015


EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Improper Pension Benefit Calculation.....	3
Supplementary Information	5
Report Distribution List	9

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Spring Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 69 - The Second Class Township Code, Act of May 1, 1933 (P.L. 103, No. 69), as reenacted and amended, 53 P.S. § 65101 et seq.

The Spring Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 368, as amended. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the township and its non-uniformed employees. The plan was established September 1, 1970. Active members were required to contribute 2 percent, 3 percent, and 4 percent of compensation to the plan for the years 2012, 2013, and 2014, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, the plan had 26 active members, 5 terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 17 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan and 1 retiree receiving benefits funded through an annuity purchased with plan assets.

BACKGROUND – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2014, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

Eligibility Requirements:

Normal Retirement Age 60

Early Retirement Age 55 and 15 years of service

Vesting 0-5 years = 0%, 6 years = 20%, 7 years = 40%, 8 years = 60%,
9 years = 80% and 10 years = 100%

Retirement Benefit:

Benefit equals 1.75% of average monthly compensation based on last 36 months of employment multiplied by the number of years (including completed months) of benefit service.

Survivor Benefit:

Before Retirement Eligibility Refund of member contributions plus interest.

After Retirement Eligibility A monthly benefit equal to 50% of the pension the member was receiving or was entitled to receive on the day of the member's death.

Disability Benefit:

Benefit accrued to the date of disability.

SPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding – Improper Pension Benefit Calculation

Condition: Plan officials included a \$5,431 retirement severance bonus payment in the pension calculation for a plan member who retired November 1, 2013, which is not authorized by the plan’s governing document.

Criteria: Ordinance No. 368, at Section 1.3(a)(1), states, in part:

Compensation means any earnings reportable as W-2 wages for federal income tax withholding purposes, plus elective contributions, for the applicable period...However, compensation shall not include any earnings reportable as W-2 wages that are payable following the termination of employee pursuant to a severance agreement. (Emphasis added)

Cause: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the pension benefit was properly determined in accordance with the plan’s governing document.

Effect: The plan is paying pension benefits to a retiree in excess of those authorized by the plan’s governing document. The retiree is receiving excess benefits of \$72 per month, which have totaled approximately \$1,368 from the date of retirement through the date of this audit report.

Providing unauthorized pension benefits increases the plan’s pension costs and reduces the amount of funds available for investment purposes or for the payment of authorized benefits or administrative expenses. In addition, the provision of excess pension benefits could result in the receipt of excess state aid in the future and increase the municipal contributions necessary to fund the plan in accordance with Act 205 funding standards.

Recommendation: We recommend that the pension benefit of the current retiree whose benefit was not determined in accordance with the provisions of the plan’s governing document be adjusted prospectively. In addition, any excess benefit payments made from the plan will be deemed ineligible for funding with state aid. Accordingly, the pension plan’s actuary may be required to determine the impact, if any, of the excess benefit payments on the township’s future state aid allocations and submit this information to the Department. If it is determined the excess benefit payments had an impact on the township’s future state aid allocations after the submission of this information, the plan’s actuary would then be required to contact the Department to verify the overpayment of state aid received. Plan officials would then be required to reimburse the overpayment to the Commonwealth.

SPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding – (Continued)

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception. In conjunction with the plan's actuary and the retiree, the township is in the process of correcting the miscalculation and adjusting the benefit to its allotted amount going forward.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be subject to verification through our next audit.

SPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2009, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age (b)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)
01-01-09	\$ 4,650,418	\$ 6,444,169	\$ 1,793,751	72.2%
01-01-11	5,558,122	7,922,515	2,364,393	70.2%
01-01-13	6,693,813	9,088,589	2,394,776	73.7%

Note: The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-09 has been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 5-year averaging period. The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-11 and 01-01-13 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing gains and/or losses which will be limited to a maximum of 120 percent and a minimum of 80 percent of the fair market value of assets. These methods will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase the contributions in years of great than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contributions levels from year to year

SPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
(UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. When assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

SPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
(UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER
AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2009	\$ 252,229	100.0%
2010	260,997	100.0%
2011	393,051	100.0%
2012	351,353	100.0%
2013	491,080	100.0%
2014	477,786	100.0%

SPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES
(UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2013
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	10 years
Asset valuation method	The actuarial value of assets will be limited to a maximum of 120% and a minimum of 80% of the fair market value of assets.
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.75%
Projected salary increases	4.75%
Cost-of-living adjustments	3.0% per year

SPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN
REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf
Governor
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Spring Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan
Berks County
2850 Windmill Road
Reading, PA 19608

Mr. Alan Kreider	Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors
Mr. Jay Vaughn	Township Manager
Ms. Tracy Daniels	Chief Administrative Officer
Mr. John Groller	Finance Director

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.