



**BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE  
PENSION PLAN**

**BUCKS COUNTY**

**COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT**

**FOR THE PERIOD**

**JANUARY 1, 2009, TO DECEMBER 31, 2011**

**RELEASED MARCH 2013**

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**







**BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN**

**BUCKS COUNTY**

**COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT**

**FOR THE PERIOD**

**JANUARY 1, 2009, TO DECEMBER 31, 2011**



## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background.....	1
Letter from the Auditor General .....	3
Status of Prior Findings .....	7
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Pension Benefit Provision .....	8
Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefit Not Authorized By Act 600 .....	9
Supplementary Information .....	12
Report Distribution List .....	17



## BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system State aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system State aid is deposited.

Pension plan aid is provided from a 2 percent foreign casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Bristol Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Bristol Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 899, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers.





**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Department of the Auditor General  
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018  
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General  
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen**

**EUGENE A. DePASQUALE  
AUDITOR GENERAL**

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council  
Bristol Borough  
Bucks County  
Bristol, PA 19007

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Bristol Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2009, to December 31, 2011. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior audit report; and
2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. Our methodology addressed determinations about the following:

- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements.
- Whether employer contributions are determined and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether employee contributions are required and, if so, are determined, deducted and deposited into the pension plan and are in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.

- Whether benefit payments, if any, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and are properly determined in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether obligations for plan benefits are accurately determined in accordance with plan provisions and based on complete and accurate participant data; and whether actuarial valuation reports are prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) in accordance with state law and selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program.
- Whether benefit payments have only been made to living recipients, based on the Social Security numbers found in the pension records for retirees and beneficiaries.

Bristol Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Bristol Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the borough's internal controls as they relate to the borough's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Bristol Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1 – Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Pension Benefit Provision

Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefit Not Authorized By Act 600

As previously noted, one of the objectives of our audit of the Bristol Borough Police Pension Plan was to determine compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. During the current audit period, Act 205 was amended on September 18, 2009, through the adoption of Act 44 of 2009. Among several provisions relating to municipal pension plans, the bill provides for the implementation of a distress recovery program. Three levels of distress have been established:

<u>Level</u>	<u>Indication</u>	<u>Funding Criteria</u>
I	Minimal distress	70-89%
II	Moderate distress	50-69%
III	Severe distress	Less than 50%

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it. However, we are extremely concerned about the funded status of the plan contained in the schedule of funding progress included in this report which indicates the plan's funded ratio is 66.6% as of January 1, 2011, which is the most recent date available. Based on this information, the Public Employee Retirement Commission issued a notification that the borough is currently in Level II moderate distress status. We encourage borough officials to monitor the funding of the police pension plan to ensure its long-term financial stability.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Bristol Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report.



EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE  
Auditor General

October 18, 2012



BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendations

Bristol Borough has partially complied with the prior audit recommendations concerning the following as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report:

- Inconsistent And Unauthorized Pension Benefits
- Failure To Adopt Benefit Provisions Mandated By Act 30 of 2002

The borough amended the plan's governing document and re-negotiated the benefit structure contained in the collective bargaining agreement between the police officers and the borough covering the years 2011 through 2015. However, the service-related disability benefit provision in the plan's governing document remains inconsistent with the collective bargaining agreement as disclosed in Finding No. 1 contained in this audit report.

Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Bristol Borough has not complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this audit report:

- Pension Benefit Not Authorized By Act 600

BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding No. 1 – Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Pension Benefit Provision

Condition: As disclosed in the prior audit report, the pension plan’s governing document contained benefit provisions that conflicted with the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) between the police officers and the borough. The borough partially complied with the prior audit recommendation by revising the benefit provisions contained in the pension plan’s governing document and CBA. However, the current CBA still contains a service-related disability benefit provision that conflicts with the plan’s governing document and is not in compliance with Act 600, as noted below:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Act 600
Service-related disability	Any member who incurs a service-connected disability shall receive monthly benefits equal to 60% of his base salary at the time of disability.  All disability payments under this Section shall be reduced by any Social Security benefits received for the same injury.	60% of average of earnings and total compensation paid in the last 36 months immediately preceding retirement or the disability retirement, offset by Social Security benefits received for the same injury.	A rate no less than 50% of the member’s salary at the time of the disability offset by Social Security benefits received for the same injury.

In addition, the plan’s actuarial valuation report with a valuation date of January 1, 2011, reported the service-related disability benefit provision included in the plan’s governing document.

Criteria: The service-related disability benefit provision contained in the CBA should be in compliance with the plan’s governing document and in compliance with the provisions of Act 600.

Cause: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure full compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

Effect: Inconsistent plan documents could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan.

BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

Recommendation: We recommend that municipal officials take appropriate action to ensure that the service-related disability benefit provision contained in the CBA is consistent with the plan’s governing document and in compliance with Act 600 at their earliest opportunity to do so.

Management Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Finding No. 2 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Pension Benefit Not Authorized By Act 600

Condition: As disclosed in the prior audit report, the CBA between police officers and the borough continues to provide for the inclusion of accrued leave payments earned outside the averaging period to be included in pension benefit calculations, which is not in compliance with Act 600.

Article 23F of the CBA between the borough and its police officers for the years 2011 through 2015, provides for a normal retirement benefit of 50 percent of average monthly earnings or compensation paid over a 36 month period immediately preceding retirement. Article 23C defines average monthly earnings and compensation as follows:

- (i) The “average monthly earnings and compensation” will include the amounts paid as part of the annual base wage, overtime pay, court time pay, accrued time-off with pay, vacation pay, holidays, sick pay, longevity increments, education incentive pay and other direct monetary compensation excluding, of course reimbursed expenses or payments made in lieu of expenses, i.e. non-salary (fringe benefits), or any other like benefits or allowances, e.g. uniform allowances. However, if and when, during the contract period, the Law, relating to the use of accrued benefits outside the three (3) year calculation period, is clarified, either by way of an un-appealed Commonwealth Court or Pennsylvania Supreme Court Decision, or by way of legislative change, then the calculation of the pension benefit shall be adjusted accordingly from the effective date of that clarification.

Criteria: Section 5(c) of Act 600 states, in part:

Monthly pension or retirement benefits other than length of service increments shall be computed at one-half the monthly average salary of such member during not more than the last sixty nor less than the last thirty-six months of employment.

BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

Although Act 600 does not define “salary,” the department has concluded, based on a line of court opinions, that the term does not encompass lump-sum payments for leave that were not earned during the pension computation period.

Cause: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure compliance with the prior audit recommendation.

Effect: During the current audit period, the plan is paying pension benefits to a retiree in excess of those authorized by Act 600 due to the inclusion of leave earned outside the averaging period. The retiree is receiving excess benefits of \$72 per month, which totaled approximately \$1,502 from the date of the member’s retirement through the date of our completion of fieldwork.

Providing unauthorized pension benefits increases the plan’s pension costs and reduces the amount of funds available for investment purposes or for the payment of authorized benefits or administrative expenses. Since the borough received state aid based on unit value during the current audit period, it did not receive allocations attributable to the excess pension benefits provided. However, the increased costs to the pension plan as a result of the excess pension benefits could result in the receipt of excess state aid in the future and increase the municipal contributions necessary to fund the plan in accordance with Act 205 funding standards.

Recommendation: We again recommend that the borough take appropriate action, at its earliest opportunity to do so, to exclude lump-sum payments for accumulated unused leave earned outside the pension computation period from pension calculations. To the extent that the borough has already obligated itself to pay benefits to existing retirees in excess of those authorized by Act 600, the excess benefits must be reflected in the Act 205 actuarial valuation reports for the plan and funded in accordance with Act 205 funding standards. Should the municipality fail to exclude unauthorized lump-sum payments from pension calculations at its next opportunity to do so, the portion of the pension benefits attributable to the improper inclusion of lump-sum payments for accumulated unused leave earned outside the pension computation period in the pension calculations will be deemed ineligible for funding with state pension aid. In such case, the plan’s actuary may be required to determine the impact of the unauthorized benefits on the plan’s state aid allocations and submit this information to the department. If it is determined the excess benefits had an impact on the borough’s future state aid allocations after the submission of this information, the plan’s actuary would then be required to contact the Department to verify the overpayment of state aid received. Plan officials would then be required to reimburse the overpayment to the Commonwealth.

BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

Management Response: Management indicated that the CBA has been amended at Section 23C(i) to recognize that the average monthly earnings and compensation will be limited to the last thirty-six months when the law is clarified by way of an appellant decision or legislative change. The borough solicitor feels the borough, given the court decisions throughout the Commonwealth, is in compliance with Act 600. In addition, plan management indicated that the borough will reimburse the pension fund for benefits paid to the aforementioned retiree which are not authorized by Act 600.

Auditor's Conclusion: Based on the Criteria previously cited, the finding and recommendation remain as stated. Compliance with the finding recommendation will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2007, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age (b)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)	Covered Payroll (c)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability as a % of Payroll [(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-07	\$ 2,951,374	\$ 4,195,096	\$ 1,243,722	70.4%	\$ 1,015,056	122.5%
01-01-09	2,913,751	4,823,959	1,910,208	60.4%	1,241,135	153.9%
01-01-11	3,459,871	5,194,666	1,734,795	66.6%	1,191,781	145.6%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-09 and 01-01-11 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 5-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
(UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. However, when assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
(UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER  
AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2006	\$ 260,973	100.0%
2007	284,944	100.0%
2008	245,512	100.0%
2009	256,366	100.0%
2010	214,162	100.0%
2011	248,675	100.0%

BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES  
(UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2011
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	11 years
Asset valuation method	Fair value, 5-year smoothing
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	8.0%
Projected salary increases	5.0%
Cost-of-living adjustments	Shall not exceed 4.0% per year



BRISTOL BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN  
REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Bristol Borough Police Pension Plan  
Bucks County  
250 Pond Street  
Bristol, PA 19007

The Honorable Robert Lebo	Mayor
Mr. Ralph DiGuseppe	Council President
Mr. James Dillon	Borough Manager
Ms. Angela Incollingo	Finance Officer

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, Room 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department of the Auditor General by accessing our website at [www.auditorgen.state.pa.us](http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us).