



**UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP POLICE  
PENSION PLAN**

**LEHIGH COUNTY**

**COMPLIANCE AUDIT REPORT**

**FOR THE PERIOD**

**JANUARY 1, 2010, to DECEMBER 31, 2012**

**COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

**EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL**



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Department of the Auditor General  
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018  
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General  
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

**EUGENE A. DePASQUALE**  
**AUDITOR GENERAL**

Board of Township Supervisors  
Upper Saucon Township  
Lehigh County  
Center Valley, PA 18034

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Upper Saucon Township Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2012. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was:

1. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. Our methodology addressed determinations about the following:

- Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements.
- Whether employer contributions are determined and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether employee contributions are required and, if so, are determined, deducted and deposited into the pension plan and are in accordance with the plan provisions and applicable laws and regulations.
- Whether benefit payments, if any, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and are properly determined in accordance with applicable laws and regulations.

- Whether obligations for plan benefits are accurately determined in accordance with plan provisions and based on complete and accurate participant data; and whether actuarial valuation reports are prepared and submitted to the Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) in accordance with state law and selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program.
- Whether benefit payments have only been made to living recipients, based on the Social Security numbers found in the pension records for retirees and beneficiaries.

Upper Saucon Township contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements which are available at the township's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Township officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Upper Saucon Township Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the township's internal controls as they relate to the township's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objective, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the Upper Saucon Township Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Inconsistent And Unauthorized Pension Benefit Provisions

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Upper Saucon Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report.

August 21, 2013



EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE  
Auditor General

# CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background .....	1
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding - Inconsistent and Unauthorized Pension Benefit Provisions .....	2
Supplementary Information .....	5
Report Distribution List .....	9

## BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Upper Saucon Township Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 761 et seq.

The Upper Saucon Township Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Resolution No. 73-7, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the township and its police officers. As of December 31, 2012, the plan had 18 active members, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future and 8 retirees receiving pension benefits.

UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding - Inconsistent and Unauthorized Pension Benefit Provisions

Condition: The collective bargaining agreement (CBA) between the township and its police officers contains a service-related disability benefit provision and a survivor’s benefit provision that are not in compliance with Act 600 and are not consistent with the plan’s governing document, as illustrated below:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Act 600 (as amended)
Service-related disability benefit	If an actively employed participant suffers a service-connected disability and is unable to perform his normal duties prior to his normal retirement date, he may receive a disability benefit under the plan. Such disabled participant shall be entitled to a monthly disability benefit equal to 50% of monthly salary at the time of disability.	Any police officer who suffers a permanent service-related disability shall receive a monthly service-related disability benefit equal to what the police officer would receive under his normal retirement benefit formula.	The benefit must be in conformity with a uniform scale and fixed by the plan’s governing document at no less than 50% of the member’s salary at the time the disability was incurred, reduced by the amount of Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.

UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding - (Continued)

<u>Benefit Provision</u>	<u>Governing Document</u>	<u>Collective Bargaining Agreement</u>	<u>Act 600 (as amended)</u>
Survivor's benefit	If a retired or disabled participant who is receiving a pension benefit dies or if a participant dies after satisfying the requirements for retirement,... the participant's surviving spouse or eligible child (if any) shall receive a benefit equal to 50% of the retirement benefit that the participant was receiving or would have been entitled to receive had he been retired at the time of death.	The widow of a member of the police force or a member who retires on pension who dies (or if no spouse survives or if he or she subsequently dies, the child or children <u>under 18 years of age</u> ) may <u>during her lifetime, or so long as she does not marry</u> in the case of a widow ...be entitled to receive a pension calculated at the rate of fifty per centum of the pension the member was receiving or would have been receiving had he been retire at the time of his death. (Emphasis added)	A lifetime survivor's benefit to the surviving spouse (or if no spouse survives or if he or she subsequently dies, the child or children under 18 years of age or if attending college, under or attaining the age of 23) of at least 50 percent of the pension the member was receiving or would have been entitled to receive had he been retired at the time of death.

Criteria: The plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement should contain consistent benefit provisions that are in compliance with Act 600.

Cause: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement contained consistent benefit provisions that are in compliance with Act 600.

Effect: Inconsistent plan documents could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan. In addition, maintaining a benefit structure which is not in compliance with Act 600 could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding - (Continued)

Recommendation: We recommend that municipal officials, after consulting with their solicitor, ensure that the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement contain consistent benefit provisions that are in compliance with Act 600 at their earliest opportunity to do so.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2007, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age (b)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)	Covered Payroll (c)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability as a % of Payroll [(b-a)/(c)]
01-01-07	\$ 2,683,620	\$ 3,801,896	\$ 1,118,276	70.6%	\$ 998,128	112.0%
01-01-09	2,992,061	4,410,487	1,418,426	67.8%	1,289,891	110.0%
01-01-11	3,661,297	4,915,065	1,253,768	74.5%	1,434,264	87.4%

Note: The market value of the plan's assets at 01-01-09 has been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 5-year averaging period which will be limited to a maximum of 120 percent and a minimum of 80 percent of the fair market value of assets. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
(UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

Trends in unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll (Column 6) approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of the plan's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, where there is an unfunded actuarial accrued liability, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the plan. When assets are in excess of the actuarial accrued liability, the higher the bracketed percentage, the stronger the plan.

UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
(UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER  
AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2007	\$ 142,565	100.0%
2008	141,153	100.0%
2009	221,230	100.0%
2010	200,237	100.0%
2011	201,780	101.4%
2012	203,777	100.0%

UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES  
(UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the required supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2011
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar
Remaining amortization period	18 years
Asset valuation method	Market value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	8.0%
Projected salary increases	5.0%
Cost-of-living adjustments	None assumed

UPPER SAUCON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom Corbett  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Upper Saucon Township Police Pension Plan  
Lehigh County  
5500 Camp Meeting Road  
Center Valley, PA 18034

Mr. Stephen Wagner	Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors
Mr. Thomas F. Beil	Township Manager
Mr. Robert E. Kassel, Jr.	Chief Administrative Officer
Mr. Thomas Young	Accounting Supervisor

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at [www.auditorgen.state.pa.us](http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us). Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: [news@auditorgen.state.pa.us](mailto:news@auditorgen.state.pa.us).