

Compliance Audit

of the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare
Medicaid Eligibility

Fayette County Assistance Office

Audit Period

November 1, 2005 to August 24, 2007



Compliance Audit

of the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare
Medicaid Eligibility

Fayette County Assistance Office


Audit Period

November 1, 2005 to August 24, 2007



CONTENTS

	Page
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS ON COMPLIANCE	1
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	4
OBJECTIVES, SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY	8
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
Finding No. 1 - Failure To Make Proper Medicaid Eligibility Determinations	10
Finding No. 2 - Failure To Obtain And/Or Properly Record All Third Party Liability On The Client Information System.....	14
AUDIT REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST	17



Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell
Governor
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Dear Governor Rendell:

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Fayette County Assistance Office (CAO) pursuant to the authority of Title 55, Chapter 109 of the Pennsylvania Code. The audit period was November 1, 2005 through August 24, 2007. The objectives of our audit were:

- 1) To determine whether the CAO made proper eligibility determinations for recipients of Medicaid based on Department of Public Welfare (DPW) policies and procedures, while evaluating the CAO's implementation of the Medicaid Eligibility Determination Automation (MEDA) system; and
- 2) To determine whether the CAO obtained and properly recorded all third-party liability in the Client Information System.

When recipients are not eligible for Medicaid, the cost to Pennsylvania taxpayers of the resulting improper payments could be significant. For individuals in a managed care organization (MCO), a set monthly capitation fee is paid to the MCO even if the recipient did not receive services during the period of ineligibility. For individuals not in a MCO, the amount of improper payments depends on the types of services, such as prescriptions, hospitalization, dental services, and other medical services received by individuals during periods of ineligibility. It should be noted that payments made on behalf of ineligible recipients cannot be recouped by the Commonwealth from the MCO or from individual providers.

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance (Continued)

A burden of improper Medicaid payments to taxpayers also occurs when CAOs do not obtain and record sources of existing Third Party Liability (TPL) insurance into the system. Medicaid law states that Medicaid funds should not be paid for services covered by TPL insurance - in other words, Medicaid funds should only be paid as a last resort when other sources are not available. When CAOs do not obtain and record sources of existing TPL insurance into the system, DPW's Medicaid payment system is unaware of the TPL insurance and pays for services or pays capitation fees that should not be paid with Medicaid funds.

Our audit resulted in the following findings.

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Make Proper Medicaid Eligibility Determinations

Finding No. 2 - Failure To Obtain And/Or Properly Record All Third Party Liability On
The Client Information System

During the November 29, 2007 exit conference, we reviewed these findings and recommendations with the Fayette CAO representatives. We have included the CAO and DPW comments, where applicable, in this report.

Sincerely,

JACK WAGNER
Auditor General

June 9, 2008

**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare
Fayette County Assistance Office**

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Background Information

The Department of Public Welfare (DPW) is responsible for the administration of public assistance benefits to needy recipients in Pennsylvania. Benefits include cash assistance, food stamps and Medicaid. Cash assistance is grant money which falls into two categories: Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), a federally-funded program which provides money to families with dependent children who are needy because financial support is not available from one or both parents, and General Assistance (GA), a state-funded program which provides money primarily to single individuals and childless couples who do not have enough income to meet their basic needs and who do not qualify for TANF. The Food Stamp program is designed to offer assistance to low-income households in order to raise their level of nutrition. It is federally funded and operated jointly by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, and DPW. Medicaid is the federal health care program for families and individuals with low income and resources. It is funded jointly by both the state and the federal government. DPW administers the program while the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid establishes requirements for service delivery, quality and eligibility standards.

Eligibility determinations are based on federal and state regulations specifying which individuals qualify for a program and the amounts for which they qualify. The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) contains the applicable federal regulations. The Pennsylvania Code, which includes DPW's Cash Assistance Handbook, Medicaid Eligibility Handbook and Supplemental Handbook contain the applicable state regulations.

Once an applicant is determined eligible for benefits, relevant information about the recipient is recorded and maintained in DPW's Client Information System (CIS), where benefit information is maintained based on eligibility status and category of aid. The CAO performs a "renewal" or annual review, to determine continued eligibility for benefits.

CAO personnel utilize DPW's Income Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) to compare income and resource information with income and resource information obtained from outside sources. IEVS is updated quarterly with information from several sources including wage information from the Social Security Administration, and tax and unearned income information from the Internal Revenue Service. CAO caseworkers are to review this information at the time of application, when the recipient submits his or her semi-annual report (SAR) and at the annual renewal. Caseworkers receive an alert when they are required to review wage information received between the application date, the SAR and the renewal. However, IEVS only sends caseworkers an alert when there is

Background Information

wage information from a new or additional employer. IEVS does not provide caseworkers an alert when there is an increase in wages from ongoing employment even though the wage increase could affect a recipient's eligibility. Consequently, information that could affect a recipient's continued eligibility for Medicaid benefits is not reviewed until the recipient's SAR or annual review.

DPW recently implemented the Medicaid Eligibility Determination Automation (MEDA) system which was designed to automatically determine the level of Medicaid coverage based on demographic, resource and income information entered by the caseworker. Prior to this implementation, the caseworker made manual calculations to determine Medicaid eligibility.



**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare
Fayette County Assistance Office**

OBJECTIVES, SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

Objectives, Scope And Methodology

To achieve our audit objectives regarding eligibility we obtained a quarterly data file from the Department of Public Welfare of all recipients determined by the CAOs to be eligible for Medicaid benefits as of March 31, 2007. We selected a random sample of 149 cases from the 11,057 cases related to the Fayette CAO represented in the data file. Our audit period was November 1, 2005 to August 24, 2007, however in cases where we determined an ineligible individual was receiving Medicaid benefits, we expanded our test work through the last date of his or her ineligibility.

For each case selected in our sample, we tested certain aspects of eligibility and evaluated the CAO's examination and recording of third party liability to determine compliance with DPW regulations, governing laws, and administrative policies. We also tested cases that changed category when they were converted to MEDA to evaluate whether MEDA made the proper category determination.

The criteria we used to test cases in our sample included the Medicaid Eligibility Handbook, the Income Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) Manual, and the Client Information System Manual.

Due to the Internal Revenue Code paragraph 6103 regarding safeguarding of certain tax information, we are not authorized to have access to all information that contains wage and unearned income from the IRS. This scope limitation prevents us from confirming that all resources were included in calculating recipients' eligibility for benefits.

**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of Public Welfare
Fayette County Assistance Office**

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Findings and Recommendations

Our audit testing included 149 out of 11,057 Medicaid cases. Cases where a significant number of deficiencies occurred are discussed in the following findings:

Finding No. 1 - Failure To Make Proper Medicaid Eligibility Determinations

During our audit we found that CAO personnel improperly determined recipient eligibility in 17 of the 149, or 11% of the cases we tested. Recipients in these cases were either over the income limit or did not meet other conditions of eligibility such as age limitation, citizenship, disability or family relationship requirements. In 12 of these cases, recipients were not eligible for Medicaid benefits. In these 12 cases, benefits were paid for recipients while they were ineligible. As a result, improper payments of \$18,668 were issued to managed care organizations on behalf of recipients in the form of capitation payments,¹ as shown in Table 1 on page 11 of this report. Payments made on behalf of ineligible recipients cannot be recouped by the Commonwealth from MCOs or from individual providers. In addition, we found no evidence that recoveries for Medicaid are pursued by DPW or referred for collection to the Office of Inspector General. Consequently, it is important for DPW to monitor recipients' eligibility, immediately identify ineligible recipients and stop payment of benefits on their behalf.

In 5 of the 17 cases, the recipients were not in the proper Medicaid category of aid. Failure to place recipients in the proper category of aid could result in recipients receiving services for which they are not entitled, or being denied services for which they are entitled. Because we do not have access to all wage and unearned income information as noted in our scope limitation on page 8 of this report, we were not able to ascertain whether CAO personnel utilized all available wage and unearned income information to determine Medicaid eligibility. As a result, additional improper payments could have been made and not discovered during our audit.

The Medicaid Eligibility Handbook provides criteria to assist the CAO in making proper eligibility determinations.

¹ In a fee-for-service environment providers are paid directly for services they provide to recipients. In a managed care environment, contracted managed care organizations are paid a set monthly capitation fee for all members of their organization whether or not members (recipients) received services. The managed care organization is then responsible to pay providers of services.

Findings and Recommendations

These improper determinations occurred because:

- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that income from IEVS history was properly reconciled with reported income at application and renewals.
- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that citizenship and identity of recipients were verified during the application and renewal process.
- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that recipients met the age limitation requirements, were disabled and/or that they met the family relationship requirement.
- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that income from IEVS alerts was timely and/or properly reconciled with reported income.
- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that income and/or resource amounts were properly entered on the Client Information System.

Table 1

	Case Number	Ineligibility Period		Benefits Paid
		From	To	
1.	MA - 3	06/01/07	08/02/07	\$ 2,244.08
2.	MA - 4	07/13/07	09/20/07	753.32
3.	MA - 5	04/02/07	07/25/07	651.61
4.	MA - 7	07/01/06	06/30/07	2,259.27
5.	MA - 55	12/01/06	08/28/07	3,274.48
6.	MA - 68	11/01/05	12/31/05	357.71
7.	MA - 76	07/26/06	01/24/07	1,405.83
8.	MA - 87	08/01/06	09/30/06	769.46
9.	MA - 91	11/01/05	04/26/06	196.44
10.	MA - 108	11/01/05	11/30/05	167.13
11.	MA - 115	05/18/07	08/28/07	565.34
12.	MA - 142	02/01/06	07/31/06	2,358.20
		02/01/07	10/04/07	3,664.72
	Total			\$18,667.59

Findings and Recommendations

Recommendations

To ensure that proper eligibility determinations are made, we recommend that CAO management:

- Improve monitoring to ensure that caseworkers properly reconcile reported income with IEVS history at application and renewals.
- Ensure that personnel are adequately trained to verify citizenship and identity during the application and renewal process.
- Ensure that personnel are adequately trained to understand the eligibility requirements pertaining to age, disability and family relationship criteria for Medicaid categories.
- Improve monitoring to ensure that caseworkers timely and/or properly reconcile reported income with IEVS alerts.
- Ensure that personnel are trained to accurately enter income and/or resource information into the Client Information System.

We also recommend that DPW:

- Follow up with the Office of Inspector General to see if overpayments made on behalf of recipients can be recouped.

Management Response

In a March 7, 2008 memorandum to this Department, the CAO management provided the following response:

The Fayette County Assistance Office agrees with the finding and recommendations made to help prevent future cases of incorrect medical eligibility determinations.

Findings and Recommendations

The following corrective action measures either have been or are in the process of being implemented in the Fayette CAO:

- Provide quarterly refresher training to the entire IM staff. The first session will review all factors of eligibility during the training, focusing on citizenship and identity requirements at application and renewals, age requirements, disability and family relationships.
- IEVS policy will be reviewed with staff to ensure that the IEVS/SAVE/PACSES and SAR Desk Guide is followed at applications, renewals and SAR reviews. SAR reporting requirements will continue to be given to clients at every application and renewal.
- Special IEVS Days have been implemented to ensure timely review and reconciliation of new IEVS hits. The second Wednesday and Thursday of every month are dedicated to IEVS, with every worker spending one full day processing their IEVS hits.
- IM Supervisors will continue to complete Comprehensive Supervisory Reviews or Targeted Supervisory Reviews on Medical cases to ensure that IEVS and all eligibility factors are correctly reviewed. Any future errors will be reviewed and addressed by corrective action in the particular problem area.
- The IM staff will continue to process all overpayments according to 55 Pa. Code 255.4(c). The Inspector General's Office is then responsible for any possible recoupment.
- CAO Management will monitor all areas via CSR's, TSR's and weekly supervisor meetings to ensure that staff is properly trained and following all required policy and procedures.

Auditors Conclusion

We acknowledge Fayette CAO management's efforts to increase monitoring and provide additional training to its staff to address the significant deficiencies addressed in the audit. Without the successful implementation of the efforts the CAO has described, payments will continue to be made on behalf of ineligible recipients.

Findings and Recommendations

Finding No. 2 - Failure To Obtain And/Or Properly Record All Third Party Liability On The Client Information System

During our audit we determined that in 33 of the cases we tested, or 22% of our sample, the CAO failed to obtain and/or properly record all third party liability into the Client Information System. Specifically, in 9 of these cases, the recipient's case record included documentation of auto insurance which was not recorded in the Client Information System. In 20 of these cases, an auto was listed as a resource; however documentation of auto insurance was neither contained in the case record, nor listed on the Client Information System. In 1 of these cases, the recipient's case record included documentation of both medical and auto insurance which was not included in the Client Information System. We also determined that in 3 of the cases, the CAO failed to obtain documentation of health insurance from recipients who had health coverage under another plan.

DPW's claims processing system makes payments to providers based on information found on the Client Information System. If no other insurance information is recorded, it is possible that medical claims will be paid with Medicaid funds, including medical claims and the cost of hospitalization resulting from auto accidents.

The Medicaid Eligibility Handbook, Chapter 338.2, and CFR 433.138 and 433.139 provide criteria to assist the CAO in properly identifying and recording all third party resources.

These deficiencies occurred because:

- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that third party insurance information was entered into the Client Information System even though this information was contained in the case record.
- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that third party insurance information was obtained during the application and renewal process.
- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that auto insurance information was obtained and entered into the Client Information System, even though an auto was listed as a resource.

Findings and Recommendations

Failure to obtain and/or enter all third party liability resources into the Client Information System increases the likelihood that medical claims will be paid by Medicaid, which should be the payor of last resort.

Recommendations

We recommend that CAO management ensure that caseworkers request all third party resources, including auto insurance, during the application and renewal processes and enter this information into the Client Information System. Also, DPW should revise current policy to require recipients to show evidence of auto insurance when an auto is listed as a resource.

Management Response

In a March 7, 2008 memorandum to this Department, the CAO Management provided the following response:

The Fayette CAO disagrees in part with this finding. CAO management agrees with the finding regarding the failure to document and record available health insurance as a third Party Liability as per PAEM 338.2. CAO management disagrees with the part of the finding regarding the cases of failure to record TPL information for automobile insurance. As per Policy Clarification PMA 13745340, automobile insurance is no longer required as a TPL, with these TPL's for all auto insurance being ended effective 5/24/07.

The following corrective action measures either have been or are in the process of being implemented in the Fayette CAO:

- Refresher training will be held with IM staff to review TPL policy and requirements, to ensure that the workers review the PA600 and PA600R at application and renewals and discuss with the applicants and recipients, whether any insurance is available to them. This insurance will then be recorded in CIS as required per policy.
- When completing either Comprehensive or Targeted Supervisory Reviews, supervisors will review the case to ensure all available health insurance information has been verified and entered into CIS.

Findings and Recommendations

- Management will monitor this area through re-reviews of CSR's and TSR's and weekly supervisor meetings to ensure correct policy is being followed.

Auditors Conclusion

The Medicaid Eligibility Handbook, which is part of our audit criteria, was revised to reflect DPW's change in policy after our audit period. In addition, even though the criteria no longer requires CAO caseworkers to enter available auto TPL on the Client Information System, doing so decreases the likelihood that medical claims resulting from auto accidents would automatically be paid with Medicaid funds, which should be the payor of last resort. Therefore, our finding remains as written and we continue to recommend that CAO caseworkers request and enter all third party resources, including auto insurance, into the Client Information System.

Audit Report Distribution List

This report was originally distributed to the following:

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell
Governor

The Honorable Estelle B. Richman
Secretary
Department of Public Welfare

The Honorable Mary A. Soderberg
Secretary
Office of the Budget

The Honorable Robin L. Wiessmann
State Treasurer

The Honorable Donald L. Patterson
Inspector General
Office of Inspector General

The Honorable Edwin B. Erickson
Chair
Public Health and Welfare Committee
Senate of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Vincent Hughes
Democratic Chair
Public Health and Welfare Committee
Senate of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Frank Oliver
Chair
Health and Human Services Committee
Pennsylvania House of Representatives

The Honorable George Kenney
Republican Chair
Health and Human Services Committee
Pennsylvania House of Representatives

Tina Long, Director
Division of Financial Policy & Operations
Bureau of Financial Operations
Office of Administration
Department of Public Welfare

Joyce Haskins, Acting Comptroller
Public Health and Human Services
Department of Public Welfare

Joanne Glover, Director
Bureau of Operations
Office of Income Maintenance
Department of Public Welfare

Kathy Jellison, President
PA Social Services Union
Local 668 S.E.I.U. AFL-CIO

County Assistance Office

Mark Rush, Executive Director
Fayette County Assistance Office

Nena Kaminsky, Chairperson
Fayette County Board of Assistance

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.