Compliance Audit

of the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare Medicaid Eligibility

Snyder County Assistance Office

Audit Period January 1, 2005 to March 16, 2007




Compliance Audit

of the

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Public Welfare Medicaid Eligibility


Snyder County Assistance Office

Audit Period January 1, 2005 to March 16, 2007



CONTENTS

	Page
REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS ON COMPLIANCE	1
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	4
OBJECTIVES, SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY	8
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
Finding - Failure To Obtain And/Or Properly Record All Third Party Liability On The Client Information System	10
OBSERVATION	
Observation - MEDA Inquiry Screen Information Does Not Match MEDA Action Screen Information	14
AUDIT REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST	15



Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell Governor Commonwealth Of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120

Dear Governor Rendell:

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Snyder County Assistance Office (CAO) pursuant to the authority of Title 55, Chapter 109 of the Pennsylvania Code. The audit period was January 1, 2005 through March 16, 2007. The objectives of our audit were:

- 1) To determine whether the CAO made proper eligibility determinations for recipients of Medicaid based on Department of Public Welfare (DPW) policies and procedures, while evaluating the CAO's implementation of the Medicaid Eligibility Determination Automation (MEDA) system; and
- 2) To determine whether the CAO obtained and properly recorded all third-party liability in the Client Information System.

When recipients are not eligible for Medicaid, the cost to Pennsylvania taxpayers of the resulting improper payments could be significant. For individuals in a managed care organization (MCO), a set monthly capitation fee is paid to the MCO even if the recipient did not receive services during the period of ineligibility. For individuals not in a MCO, the amount of improper payments depends on the types of services, such as prescriptions, hospitalization, dental services, and other medical services received by individuals during periods of ineligibility. It should be noted that payments made on behalf of ineligible recipients cannot be recouped by the Commonwealth from the MCO or from individual providers.

A burden of improper Medicaid payments to taxpayers also occurs when CAOs do not obtain and record sources of existing Third Party Liability (TPL) insurance into the system. Medicaid law states that Medicaid funds should not be paid for services covered by TPL insurance - in other words, Medicaid funds should only be paid as a last resort when other sources are not

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance (Continued)

available. When CAOs do not obtain and record sources of existing TPL insurance into the system, DPW's Medicaid payment system is unaware of the TPL insurance and pays for services or pays capitation fees that should not be paid with Medicaid funds.

Our audit resulted in the following finding and observation.

Finding - Failure To Obtain And/Or Properly Record All Third Party Liability On The Client Information System

Observation - MEDA Inquiry Screen Information Does Not Match MEDA Action Screen Information

During the July 18, 2007 exit conference, we reviewed this finding, observation and recommendations with the Snyder CAO representatives. We have included the CAO and DPW comments, where applicable, in this report.

Sincerely,

JACK WAGNER Auditor General

January 29, 2008

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Department of Public Welfare (DPW) is responsible for the administration of public assistance benefits to needy recipients in Pennsylvania. Benefits include cash assistance, food stamps and Medicaid. Cash assistance is grant money which falls into two categories: Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF), a federally-funded program which provides money to families with dependent children who are needy because financial support is not available from one or both parents, and General Assistance (GA), a state-funded program which provides money primarily to single individuals and childless couples who do not have enough income to meet their basic needs and who do not qualify for TANF. The Food Stamp program is designed to offer assistance to low-income households in order to raise their level of nutrition. It is federally funded and operated jointly by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Food and Nutrition Service, and DPW. Medicaid is the federal health care program for families and individuals with low income and resources. It is funded jointly by both the state and the federal government. DPW administers the program while the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid establishes requirements for service delivery, quality and eligibility standards.

Eligibility determinations are based on federal and state regulations specifying which individuals qualify for a program and the amounts for which they qualify. The Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) contains the applicable federal regulations. The Pennsylvania Code, which includes DPW's Cash Assistance Handbook, Medicaid Eligibility Handbook and Supplemental Handbook contain the applicable state regulations.


Once an applicant is determined eligible for benefits, relevant information about the recipient is recorded and maintained in DPW's Client Information System (CIS), where benefit information is maintained based on eligibility status and category of aid. The CAO performs a "renewal" or annual review, to determine continued eligibility for benefits.

CAO personnel utilize DPW's Income Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) to compare income and resource information with income and resource information obtained from outside sources. IEVS is updated quarterly with information from several sources including wage information from the Social Security Administration, and tax and unearned income information from the Internal Revenue Service. CAO caseworkers are to review this information at the time of application, when the recipient submits his or her semi-annual report (SAR) and at the annual renewal. Caseworkers receive an alert when they are required to review wage information received between the application date, the SAR and the renewal. However, IEVS only sends caseworkers an alert when there is

Background Information

wage information from a new or additional employer. IEVS does not provide caseworkers an alert when there is an increase in wages from ongoing employment even though the wage increase could affect a recipient's eligibility. Consequently, information that could affect a recipient's continued eligibility for Medicaid benefits is not reviewed until the recipient's SAR or annual review.

DPW recently implemented the Medicaid Eligibility Determination Automation (MEDA) system which was designed to automatically determine the level of Medicaid coverage based on demographic, resource and income information entered by the caseworker. Prior to this implementation, the caseworker made manual calculations to determine Medicaid eligibility.



OBJECTIVES, SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

Objectives, Scope And Methodology

To achieve our audit objectives regarding eligibility we obtained a quarterly data file from the Department of Public Welfare of all recipients determined by the CAOs to be eligible for Medicaid benefits as of September 30, 2006. We selected a random sample of 132 cases from the 1,610 cases related to the Snyder CAO represented in the data file. Our audit period was January 1, 2005 to March 16, 2007, however in cases where we determined an ineligible individual was receiving Medicaid benefits, we expanded our test work through the last date of his or her ineligibility.

For each case selected in our sample, we tested certain aspects of eligibility and evaluated the CAO's examination and recording of third party liability to determine compliance with DPW regulations, governing laws, and administrative policies. We also tested cases that changed category when they were converted to MEDA to evaluate whether MEDA made the proper category determination.

The criteria we used to test cases in our sample included the Medicaid Eligibility Handbook, the Income Eligibility Verification System (IEVS) Manual, and the Client Information System Manual.

Due to the Internal Revenue Code paragraph 6103 regarding safeguarding of certain tax information, we are not authorized to have access to all information that contains wage and unearned income from the IRS. This scope limitation prevents us from confirming that all resources were included in calculating recipients' eligibility for benefits.

FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Our audit testing included 132 out of 1,610 Medicaid cases. Cases where a significant number of deficiencies occurred are discussed in the following finding:

Finding - <u>Failure To Obtain And/Or Properly Record All Third Party Liability</u> On The Client Information System

During our audit we determined that in 20 of the cases we tested, or 15% of our sample, the CAO failed to obtain and/or properly record all third party liability into the Client Information System. Specifically, in 18 of these cases, the recipient's case record included documentation of auto insurance which was not recorded in the Client Information System. In 2 of these cases, an auto was listed as a resource; however documentation of auto insurance was neither contained in the case record, nor listed on the Client Information System.

DPW's claims processing system makes payments to providers based on information found on the Client Information System. If no other insurance information is recorded, it is possible that medical claims will be paid with Medicaid funds, including medical claims and the cost of hospitalization resulting from auto accidents.

The Medicaid Eligibility Handbook, Chapter 338.2, and CFR 433.138 and 433.139 provide criteria to assist the CAO in properly identifying and recording all third party resources.

These deficiencies occurred because:

- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that third party insurance information was entered into the Client Information System even though this documentation was contained in the case record.
- The CAO management did not monitor to ensure that auto insurance documentation was obtained and entered into the Client Information System, even though an auto was listed as a resource.

Failure to obtain and/or enter all third party liability resources into the Client Information System increases the likelihood that medical claims will be paid by Medicaid, which should be the payor of last resort.

Finding and Recommendations

Recommendations


We recommend that CAO Management ensure that caseworkers request all third party resources, including auto insurance, during the application and renewal processes and enter this information into the Client Information System. Also, DPW should revise current policy to require recipients to show evidence of auto insurance when an auto is listed as a resource.

Management Response

The Snyder CAO Management stated at the exit conference that they disagreed with this finding as presented. Specifically, CAO management stated that they are not required to seek and enter auto insurance information with TPL based on a recent DPW policy clarification.

Auditors Conclusion

The Medicaid Eligibility Handbook, which is the part of our audit criteria, was revised to reflect DPW's change in policy after our audit period. In addition, even though the criteria no longer requires CAO caseworkers to enter available auto TPL on the Client Information System, doing so decreases the likelihood that medical claims resulting from auto accidents would automatically be paid with Medicaid funds, which should be the payor of last resort. Therefore, our finding remains as written and we continue to recommend that CAO caseworkers request and enter all third party resources, including auto insurance, into the Client Information System.



OBSERVATION

Observation - <u>MEDA Inquiry Screen Information Does Not Match MEDA Action</u> Screen Information

We noted that in 21 of the 132 cases we tested, or 16% of our sample, information on the Client Information System screens should match and does not. Specifically, family relationship information on the inquiry screen does not match family relationship information on the action screen. Action screens are used to input information into the Client Information System, while inquiry screens are used only to reference information. No changes can be made in the system while in inquiry mode. If CAO personnel were to utilize the inquiry screen to gather family relationship information, improper eligibility determinations could result.

Recommendations

We recommend that DPW examine existing software for system logic problems. Furthermore, the CAO Management should establish additional procedures to help identify the inconsistencies between action screens and inquiry screens in MEDA.

Audit Report Distribution List

This report was originally distributed to the following:

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Edward G. Rendell The Honorable Frank Oliver

Governor Chair

The Honorable Estelle B. Richman

Health and Human Services Committee
Pennsylvania House of Representatives

Secretary

Department of Public Welfare The Honorable George Kenney

Republican Chair

The Honorable Michael J. Masch
Secretary
Health and Human Services Committee
Pennsylvania House of Representatives

Secretary

Office of the Budget
Tina Long, Director

The Honorable Robin L. Wiessmann Division of Financial Policy & Operations

State Treasurer Bureau of Financial Operations

The Honorable Donald L. Patterson

Office of Administration

Department of Public Welfare

Inspector General

Office of Inspector General Joyce Haskins, Acting Comptroller

Public Health and Human Services
The Honorable Edwin B. Erickson

Department of Public Welfare

The Honorable Edwin D. Effekson

Chair

Public Health and Welfare Committee Joanne Glover, Director

Senate of Pennsylvania

Bureau of Operations

Office of Income Maintenance

The Honorable Vincent Hughes Department of Public Welfare

Democratic Chair

Public Health and Welfare Committee Kathy Jellison, President Senate of Pennsylvania PA Social Services Union

Local 668 S.E.I.U. AFL-CIO

County Assistance Office

Pamela R. Wildt, Executive Director Chairperson

Snyder County Assistance Office Snyder County Board of Assistance

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. If you have any questions regarding this report or any other matter, you may contact the Department by accessing our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.