



JUNE 2014

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120 Mrs. Susan Herman, Board President Grove City Area School District 511 Highland Avenue Grove City, Pennsylvania 16127

Dear Governor Corbett and Mrs. Herman:

We conducted a performance audit of the Grove City Area School District (District) to determine its compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures (relevant requirements). Our audit covered the period April 13, 2012 through November 15, 2013, except as otherwise indicated in the report. Additionally, compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the school years ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2012. Our audit was conducted pursuant to Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, and in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with relevant requirements.

However, during our audit fieldwork, it came to our attention that the District had been unable to collect special education tuition from a school district (district A) that sent students to a residential, alternative education school within the District's borders. The District provides all of the educational services at the alternative school. Therefore, the Public School Code permits the District to bill the students' home school districts for both regular and special education tuition. District A has failed to pay the District for a total of \$151,943 in tuition (\$146,283 for special education and \$4,661 for regular education) for the 2009-10 through 2011-12 school years. District A has mainly remitted regular education tuition to the District, even though the District determined that these students required special education and provided district A with the required documentation to support its assessment. The District contacted the Pennsylvania Department of Education in November 2013 to intervene in the matter, but PDE has, to date, failed to do so. The Department believes it is in the best interest of the District's taxpayers for PDE to address the tuition issue between the two (2) districts and to find a solution both can agree upon. Otherwise, the District's taxpayers will have to continue to subsidize the education of these alternative students.

We appreciate the District's cooperation during the conduct of the audit.

Sincerely,

Eugent: O-Pager

June 5, 2014

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

cc: GROVE CITY AREA SCHOOL DISTRICT Board of School Directors

Table of Contents

Page

Executive Summary	1
Audit Scope, Objectives, and Methodology	2
Findings and Observations	5
Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations	6
Distribution List	7

Executive Summary

<u>Audit Work</u>

The Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General conducted a performance audit of the Grove City Area School District (District) in Mercer County. Our audit sought to answer certain questions regarding the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

Our audit scope covered the period April 13, 2012 through November 15, 2013, except as otherwise indicated in the audit scope, objectives, and methodology section of the report. Compliance specific to state subsidies and reimbursements was determined for the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years.

District Background

The District encompasses approximately 92 square miles. According to 2010 federal census data, it serves a resident population of 17,699. According to District officials, the District provided basic educational services to 2,298 pupils through the employment of 202 teachers, 102 full-time and part-time support personnel, and eleven (11) administrators during the 2011-12 school year. The District received \$13.7 million in state funding in the 2011-12 school year.

Audit Conclusion and Results

Our audit found that the District complied, in all significant respects, with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. We report no findings or observations in this report.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and

Observations. There were no findings or observations in our prior audit report.

Scope

What is a school performance audit?

School performance audits allow the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General to determine whether state funds, including school subsidies, are being used according to the purposes and guidelines that govern the use of those funds. Additionally, our audits examine the appropriateness of certain administrative and operational practices at each local education agency (LEA). The results of these audits are shared with LEA management, the Governor, the Pennsylvania Department of Education, and other concerned entities.

Objectives

What is the difference between a finding and an observation?

Our performance audits may contain findings and/or observations related to our audit objectives. Findings describe non-compliance with a statute, regulation, policy, contract, grant requirement, or administrative procedure. Observations are reported when we believe corrective action should be taken to remedy a potential problem not rising to the level of non-compliance with specific criteria. Our audit, conducted under authority of Section 403 of The Fiscal Code, 72 P.S. § 403, is not a substitute for the local annual audit required by the Public School Code of 1949, as amended. We conducted our audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Our audit covered the period April 13, 2012 through November 15, 2013, except for the verification of professional employee certification, which was performed for the period July 1, 2013 through October 3, 2013.

Regarding state subsidies and reimbursements, our audit covered the 2010-11 and 2011-12 school years.

While all districts have the same school years, some have different fiscal years. Therefore, for the purposes of our audit work and to be consistent with Pennsylvania Department of Education (PDE) reporting guidelines, we use the term *school year* rather than fiscal year throughout this report. A school year covers the period July 1 to June 30.

Performance audits draw conclusions based on an evaluation of sufficient, appropriate evidence. Evidence is measured against criteria, such as laws and defined business practices. Our audit focused on assessing the District's compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures. However, as we conducted our audit procedures, we sought to determine answers to the following questions, which serve as our audit objectives:

- ✓ Were professional employees certified for the positions they held?
- ✓ In areas where the District received state subsidies and reimbursements based on pupil membership (e.g. basic education, special education, and vocational education), did it follow applicable laws and procedures?

	✓	In areas where the District received transportation subsidies, was the District, and any contracted vendors, in compliance with applicable state laws and procedures?
	✓	Did the District, and any contracted vendors, ensure that current bus drivers were properly qualified, and did they have written policies and procedures governing the hiring of new bus drivers?
	✓	Were votes made by the District's Board of School Directors free from apparent conflicts of interest?
	✓	Did the District have sufficient internal controls to ensure that the membership data it reported to PDE through the Pennsylvania Information Management System was complete, accurate, valid, and reliable?
	√	Were there any declining fund balances that may pose a risk to the District's fiscal viability?
	✓	Did the District take appropriate steps to ensure school safety?
	✓	Did the District have a properly executed and updated Memorandum of Understanding with local law enforcement?
	√	Were there any other areas of concern reported by independent auditors, citizens, or other interested parties?
Methodology	<i>Government Auditing Standards</i> require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our results and conclusions based on our audit objectives.	
	and reas cert requ requ	District's management is responsible for establishing maintaining effective internal controls to provide sonable assurance that the District is in compliance with ain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant airements, and administrative procedures (relevant airements). In conducting our audit, we obtained an erstanding of the District's internal controls, including

What are internal controls?

Internal controls are processes designed by management to provide reasonable assurance of achieving objectives in areas such as:

- Effectiveness and efficiency of operations.
- Relevance and reliability of operational and financial information.
- Compliance with certain relevant state laws, regulations, contracts, grant requirements, and administrative procedures.

any information technology controls, as they relate to the District's compliance with relevant requirements that we consider to be significant within the context of our audit objectives. We assessed whether those controls were properly designed and implemented. Any deficiencies in internal controls that were identified during the conduct of our audit and determined to be significant within the context of our audit objectives are included in this report.

In order to properly plan our audit and to guide us in possible audit areas, we performed analytical procedures in the areas of state subsidies and reimbursements, pupil transportation, pupil membership, and comparative financial information.

Our audit examined the following:

- Records pertaining to pupil transportation, pupil membership, bus driver qualifications, professional employee certification, state ethics compliance, financial stability, reimbursement applications, tuition receipts, and deposited state funds.
- Items such as board meeting minutes and policies and procedures.

Additionally, we interviewed select administrators and support personnel associated with the District's operations.

Findings and Observations

For the audited period, our audit of the Grove City Area School District resulted in no findings or observations.

Status of Prior Audit Findings and Observations

Our prior audit of the Grove City Area School District resulted in no findings or observations.

Distribution List

This report was initially distributed to the Superintendent of the District, the Board of School Directors, our website at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us, and the following stakeholders:

The Honorable Tom Corbett Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Harrisburg, PA 17120

The Honorable Carolyn Dumaresq Acting Secretary of Education 1010 Harristown Building #2 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

The Honorable Robert M. McCord State Treasurer Room 129 - Finance Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Ms. Lori Graham Acting Director Bureau of Budget and Fiscal Management Pennsylvania Department of Education 4th Floor, 333 Market Street Harrisburg, PA 17126

Dr. David Wazeter Research Manager Pennsylvania State Education Association 400 North Third Street - Box 1724 Harrisburg, PA 17105

Mr. Lin Carpenter Assistant Executive Director for Member Services School Board and Management Services Pennsylvania School Boards Association P.O. Box 2042 Mechanicsburg, PA 17055

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.