COMPLIANCE AUDIT

City of Shamokin Police Pension Plan

Northumberland County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2018

October 2019



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Shamokin Northumberland County Shamokin, PA 17872

We have conducted a compliance audit of the City of Shamokin Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2018. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for both of the plan members who retired during the current audit period, and through the completion of our fieldwork procedures, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefits due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to recipients. We also determined whether retirement benefits calculated for both plan members who elected to vest subsequent to our audit period, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefits due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation report was prepared and submitted by March 31, 2018 in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on the report is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- · We determined whether the terms of the plan's unallocated insurance contract, including ownership and any restrictions, were in compliance with plan provisions, investment policies, and state regulations by comparing the terms of the contract with the plan's provisions, investment policies, and state regulations.
- We determined whether the terms and methodologies of the issuance of pension obligation bonds by the municipality, and any restrictions were in compliance with plan provisions and Act 205 through inquiry of plan officials and examination of supporting documentation for pension obligation bonds issued during the current audit period and through the completion of our fieldwork procedures.

City officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the City of Shamokin Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the city's internal controls

as they relate to the city's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objective, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally and as previously described, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures, and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the City of Shamokin Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

As previously noted, one of the objectives of our audit of the City of Shamokin Police Pension Plan was to determine compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. Among several provisions relating to municipal pension plans, Act 205, which was amended on September 18, 2009, through the adoption of Act 44 of 2009, provides for the implementation of a distress recovery program. Three levels of distress have been established:

| <u>Level</u> | <u>Indication</u> | Funding Criteria |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------|
| I | Minimal distress | 70-89% |
| II | Moderate distress | 50-69% |
| III | Severe distress | Less than 50% |

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it. However, we are extremely concerned about the historical trend information contained in the schedule of funding progress included in this report, which indicates a continued decline of assets available to satisfy the long-term liabilities of the plan. The police pension plan's funded ratio went from 66.1% as of January 1, 2013, to a ratio of 54.4% as of January 1, 2017, which is the most recent data available. Based on this information, and the funded status of the city's officers' and employees' pension plan, the Municipal Pension Reporting Program (formerly the Public Employee Retirement Commission) issued a notification that the city is currently in Level II moderate distress status. We encourage city officials to monitor the funding of the police pension plan to ensure its long-term financial stability.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of City of Shamokin and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank city officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugnat: O-Pagur

Auditor General

October 4, 2019

CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Background | 1 |
| Status Of Prior Findings | 3 |
| Supplementary Information | 4 |
| Report Distribution List | 10 |

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the City of Shamokin Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the former Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 67 - The Third Class City Code, Act of November 24, 2015 (P.L. 242, No. 67), as amended, 11 Pa. C.S. § 10101 et seq.

The City of Shamokin Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Chapter 20 of the city code, adopted by Ordinance No. 02-01, as amended, pursuant to Act 67. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the city and its police officers. The plan was established February 7, 1950. Active members are required to contribute 5 percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2018, the plan had 10 active members, 2 terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 19 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

BACKGROUND – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2018, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

Eligibility Requirements:

Normal Retirement Eligible with 20 years of service.

Early Retirement None

Vesting 100% vesting available after 12 years of service.

Retirement Benefit:

Benefit equals 50% of average monthly salary at the time of retirement or vesting, or the highest average annual salary received during any 5 years preceding retirement, whichever is higher, plus a monthly service increment of 1/40 of the amount of the retirement allowance multiplied by each year of service beyond 20 years (maximum \$100).

Survivor Benefit:

A monthly benefit equal to 50% of the pension the member was receiving or was entitled to receive on the day of the member's death.

Service Related Disability Benefit:

Same as normal retirement formula.

CITY OF SHAMOKIN POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

Status Of Prior Audit Recommendations

· Inconsistent And Unauthorized Pension Benefits

As disclosed in the prior audit report, municipal officials took appropriate action to ensure the plan's benefit provisions contained in the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) are consistent and in accordance with the Third Class City Code. While these benefits will be in effect for future retirees, the pension plan continues to pay excess benefits to 3 existing retirees which amounted to \$63,418 during the current audit period. Since the city received state aid based on unit value during the audit period, it did not receive state aid attributable to the excess benefits provided. The Department will continue to monitor the effect of the excess benefits being paid to the existing retirees on the city's future state aid allocations.

· Pension Benefits Not Authorized By The Third Class City Code

As disclosed in the prior audit report, the city enacted Ordinance No. 17-06 which eliminates the inclusion of accumulated amounts paid for unused sick leave, vacation and personal leave or any other similar amounts and limits unused compensatory time to compensatory time earned during the computation period in the determination of pension calculations for retirees after January 1, 2018. In addition, municipal officials took appropriate action to ensure the pension benefits calculated for a police officer who retired February 17, 2017 and another police officer who retired May 21, 2018, were in accordance with the provisions contained in the plan's governing document, the collective bargaining agreement, and the Third Class City Code. However, the pension plan continues to pay excess benefits to five existing retirees, which amounted to \$57,530 during our current audit period. Since the city received state aid based on unit value during the audit period, it did not receive state aid attributable to the unauthorized pension benefits provided. The Department will continue to monitor the effect of the excess benefits being paid to the existing retirees on the city's future state aid allocations.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 4 through 6 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, AND 2018

| | <u>2014</u> | <u>2015</u> | <u>2016</u> | <u>2017</u> | <u>2018</u> |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Total Pension Liability | | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 93,535 | \$ 91,083 | \$ 95,182 | \$ 102,193 | \$ 106,792 |
| Interest | 560,678 | 526,272 | 527,702 | 565,923 | 567,473 |
| Change of benefit terms | - | 65,718 | - | 78,950 | - |
| Difference between expected and actual experience | - | 311,942 | - | 216,432 | - |
| Changes of assumptions | - | 65,644 | - | 239,454 | - |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions | (569,703) | (601,026) | (601,026) | (636,397) | (664,749) |
| Net Change in Total Pension Liability | 84,510 | 459,633 | 21,858 | 566,555 | 9,516 |
| Total Pension Liability – Beginning | 7,199,789 | 7,284,299 | 7,743,932 | 7,765,790 | 8,332,345 |
| Total Pension Liability – Ending (a) | \$ 7,284,299 | \$ 7,743,932 | \$ 7,765,790 | \$ 8,332,345 | \$ 8,341,861 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position | | | | | |
| Contributions – state aid | \$ 91,369 | \$ 78,417 | \$ 87,493 | \$ 91,765 | \$ 93,688 |
| Contributions – employer | 114,942 | 241,297 | 231,548 | 332,189 | 324,708 |
| Contributions – employee | 33,032 | 33,073 | 33,440 | 32,940 | 34,604 |
| Net investment income | 164,613 | (126,781) | 191,419 | 637,123 | (309,540) |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions | (569,703) | (601,026) | (601,026) | (636,397) | (664,749) |
| Other | 3,332 | - | - | - | - |
| Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position | (162,415) | (375,020) | (57,126) | 457,620 | (521,289) |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning | 5,114,233 | 4,951,818 | 4,576,798 | 4,519,672 | 4,977,292 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b) | \$ 4,951,818 | \$ 4,576,798 | \$ 4,519,672 | \$ 4,977,292 | \$ 4,456,003 |
| Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b) | \$ 2,332,481 | \$ 3,167,134 | \$ 3,246,118 | \$ 3,355,053 | \$ 3,885,858 |
| Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability | 67.98% | 59.10% | 58.20% | 59.73% | 53.42% |
| Estimated Covered Employee Payroll | \$ 708,465 | \$ 666,070 | \$ 658,096 | \$ 699,216 | \$ 636,139 |
| Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll | 329.23% | 475.50% | 493.26% | 479.83% | 610.85% |

Sensitivity Of The Net Pension Liability To Changes In The Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the city as of December 31, 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018, calculated using the discount rate of 7.0%, as well as what the city's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

| | 1% Decrease (6.0%) | Current Discount Rate (7.0%) | 1% Increase (8.0%) |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Net Pension Liability – 12/31/15 | \$ 4,008,567 | \$ 3,167,134 | \$ 2,456,963 |
| Net Pension Liability – 12/31/16 | \$ 4,090,507 | \$ 3,246,118 | \$ 2,532,768 |
| Net Pension Liability – 12/31/17 | \$ 4,277,640 | \$ 3,355,053 | \$ 2,576,423 |
| Net Pension Liability – 12/31/18 | \$ 4,814,429 | \$ 3,885,858 | \$ 3,101,343 |

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

| | | | | | | | | | Contribu | |
|-------------|----|------------|-----|-------------|----|------------|--------|-------|------------------|--------|
| | Λ. | ctuarially | | | Co | ntribution | Cove | rad | a Percer Cove | _ |
| 37 E 1 1 | | • | | A . 1 | | | | | | |
| Year Ended | D | etermined | | Actual | De | eficiency | Empl | oyee | Empl | oyee |
| December 31 | Co | ntribution | Cor | ntributions | () | Excess) | Pay | roll | Pay | roll |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2014 | \$ | 206,311 | \$ | 206,311 | \$ | - | \$ 703 | 8,465 | | 29.12% |
| 2015 | | 319,714 | | 319,714 | | - | 660 | 5,070 | | 48.00% |
| 2016 | | 319,041 | | 319,041 | | - | 658 | 8,096 | | 48.48% |
| 2017 | | 423,954 | | 423,954 | | - | 699 | 9,216 | | 60.63% |
| 2018 | | 418,396 | | 418,396 | | - | 630 | 5,139 | | 65.77% |

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

| 2018 | (6.50%) |
|------|---------|
| 2017 | 14.95% |
| 2016 | 4.48% |
| 2015 | (2.74%) |

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

| | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
| | | | Unfunded | |
| | | Actuarial | (Assets in | |
| | | Accrued | Excess of) | |
| | Actuarial | Liability | Actuarial | |
| Actuarial | Value of | (AAL) - | Accrued | Funded |
| Valuation | Assets | Entry Age | Liability | Ratio |
| Date | (a) | (b) | (b) - (a) | (a)/(b) |
| 01-01-13 | \$ 4,689,433 | \$ 7,093,758 | \$ 2,404,325 | 66.1% |
| 01-01-15 | 4,951,818 | 7,727,603 | 2,775,785 | 64.1% |
| 01-01-17 | 4,519,672 | 8,300,626 | 3,780,953 | 54.5% |

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-13 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a five-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The Actuarial Accrued Liability increases as of 1/1/15 and 1/1/17 represented changes in actuarial assumptions, a lowering of the interest/investment rate from 8.0% to 7.0% and changes in plan benefits resulting from cost-of-living increases granted retirees.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

CITY OF SHAMOKIN POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 11 years

Asset valuation method Market value

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 7.0%

Projected salary increases 4.5%

Cost-of-living adjustments 1.0%

CITY OF SHAMOKIN POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable John J. Brown Mayor

Ms. Barbara S. Moyer Council Member

Mr. Daniel McGaw Council Member

Mr. Scott Roughton
Council Member

Mr. Charles R. Verano Council Member

Mr. Dave Kinder
Controller

Ms. Brenda L. Scandle
Treasurer

Mr. Robert M. Slaby City Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.