## LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

## Coolspring Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan

Mercer County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017

January 2019



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors Coolspring Township Mercer County Mercer, PA 16137

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Coolspring Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

#### Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired during the engagement period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to recipients.

Whether the January 1, 2013, January 1, 2015, and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2014, 2016, and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Coolspring Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The township should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Coolspring Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

December 28, 2018

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf O-Pasyer

Auditor General

#### CONTENTS

<u>]</u>	Page
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid	1
Supplementary Information	2
Report Distribution List	8

### COOLSPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

## <u>Finding – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid</u>

<u>Condition</u>: The township failed to certify one eligible non-uniformed employee and understated payroll by \$31,150 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2017. The data contained on this certification form is based on prior calendar year information.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), an employee who has been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and has been participating in a pension plan during the certification year is eligible for certification.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data certified.

Effect: The data submitted on this certification form is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the municipality for distribution to its pension plans. Because the township's state aid allocation was based on pension costs, the township received an underpayment of state aid of \$2,120 as identified below:

	Normal	Payroll		Sta	ate Aid
Year	Cost	Understated		Unde	rpayment
			_		_
2017	6.805%	\$	31,150	\$	2,120

Although the additional state aid will be allocated to the township, the full amount of the 2017 state aid allocation was not available to be deposited timely and therefore was not available to pay operating expenses or for investment.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that in the future, plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures, such as having at least two people review the data certified, to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next engagement.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 2 and 3 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

#### SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2015, AND 2016

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>		<u>2016</u>
Total Pension Liability	Φ	4.207	Ф	4 401	Ф	<b>5</b> 100
Service cost	\$	4,296	\$	4,421	\$	5,188
Interest		4,302		4,390		4,666
Difference between expected and actual experience		(4,470)		- 40		7,629
Changes of assumptions		-		48		2,213
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		(7.62)		(4.610)		(4.607)
contributions		(763)		(4,612)		(4,607)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		3,365		4,247		15,089
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		74,304		77,669		81,916
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	77,669	\$	81,916	\$	97,005
Plan Fiduciary Net Position						
Contributions – employer	\$	7,484	\$	7,514	\$	7,267
Contributions – PMRS assessment	,	-	•	80	,	100
PMRS investment income		3,939		3,899		4,373
Market value investment income		(417)		(2,682)		3,302
Benefit payments, including refunds of member				( ) )		- ,
contributions		(763)		(4,612)		(4,607)
PMRS administrative expense		(80)		(80)		(80)
Additional administrative expense		(151)		(163)		(214)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		10,012	-	3,956	-	10,141
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		60,519		70,531		74,487
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$	70,531	\$	74,487	\$	84,628
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	7,138	\$	7,429	\$	12,377
Net relision Liability – Ending (a-0)	Φ	7,136	<b></b>	7,429	<b></b>	12,377
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total						
Pension Liability		90.81%		90.93%		87.24%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	102,321	\$	66,123	\$	74,315
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		6.98%		11.24%		16.65%

#### Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the township as of December 31, 2014 and 2015, calculated using the discount rate of 5.50%, as well as what the township's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (4.50%)		Current Discount Rate (5.50%)		1% Increase (6.50%)	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/14	\$	14,859	\$	7,138	\$	518
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/15	\$	15,593	\$	7,429	\$	433

The following presents the net pension liability of the township as of December 31, 2016, calculated using the discount rate of 5.25%, as well as what the township's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Current					
		Decrease (4.25%)	Di	scount Rate (5.25%)		6.25%)
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/16	\$	22,163	\$	12,377	\$	4,014

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 52,625	\$ 66,307	\$ 13,682	79.4%
01-01-15	72,123	77,669	5,546	92.9%
01-01-17	90,237	97,005	6,768	93.0%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

## SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2012	\$ 4,801	100.4%
2013	5,611	100.0%
2014	5,699	131.3%
2015	7,594	100.0%
2016	6,031	122.2%
2017	7,237	102.0%

#### COOLSPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 13 years

Asset valuation method The Actuarial Value of Assets equals the

plan's member, municipal, DROP (if applicable) reserve accounts plus the retiree actuarial liability. This asset smoothing is based on the unique legislative structure of PMRS and the administrative rules adopted by the PMRS Board in conjunction with Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law, all of which are subject to comply with the Actuarial Standards of Practice No. 44, Selection and Use of Asset Valuation Methods when defining the actuarial Value of Assets.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 5.25%, compounded annually, net of

investment and administration expenses

Salary scale Total rate (including inflation) (e.g. age 25 –

7.05%; age 35 - 4.55%; age 45 - 3.97%;

age 55 - 3.44%; age 65 - 2.80%)

Cost-of-living adjustments 2.8% per year, subject to plan limitations

## COOLSPRING TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Mr. Robert McGhee

Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

Ms. Terri Ligo Secretary

Ms. Charity Rosenberry, CPA

Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.