COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Eastern Pike Regional Police Pension Plan

Pike County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2021

November 2022



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Commissioners Eastern Pike Regional Police Commission Pike County Matamoras, PA 18336

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Eastern Pike Regional Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2021. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if commission officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for the plan member who elected to vest during the current audit period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws, and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefits due to the retired individual and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and payable to the recipient.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2017, January 1, 2019, and January 1, 2021 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2018, 2020, and 2022, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- We determined whether transfers were properly authorized, timely, and appropriately recorded by plan officials by examining supporting documentation for all of the transfers made during the audit period.

Commission officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Eastern Pike Regional Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials, and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Eastern Pike Regional Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1	 Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan 	
Finding No. 2	 Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation – Failure To Deposit The Full Amount Of State Aid Into The Pension Plan 	
Finding No. 3	 Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid 	

The findings contained in this audit report repeat conditions that were cited in our previous report that have not been corrected by commission officials. We are concerned by the commission's failure to correct those previously reported findings and strongly encourage timely implementation of the recommendations noted in this audit report.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of the Eastern Pike Regional Police Commission and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank commission officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

Timothy L. Detsor

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General October 5, 2022

CONTENTS

Page
Background1
Status of Prior Findings
Findings and Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 – Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation – Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan3
Finding No. 2 – Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation – Failure To Deposit The Full Amount Of State Aid Into The Pension Plan4
Finding No. 3 – Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid
Supplementary Information7
Report Distribution List

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq</u>.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Eastern Pike Regional Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

- Act 177 General Local Government Code, Act of December 19, 1996 (P.L. 1158, No. 177), as amended, 53 Pa.C.S. § 101 et seq.
- Act 600 Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The Eastern Pike Regional Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 84, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the commission and its police officers. The plan was established January 1, 2008. Active members are required to contribute 3.5 percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2021, the plan had ten active members, two terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and two retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

EASTERN PIKE REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

Compliance With Prior Recommendation

The Eastern Pike Regional Police Commission has complied with the prior recommendation concerning the following:

• Failure To Adopt Benefit Provisions Mandated By Act 30

Commission members adopted a Second Amendment to the Eastern Pike Regional Police Pension Plan on June 11, 2018 which adopted the mandatory provisions of Act 30.

Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendations

The Eastern Pike Regional Police Commission has partially complied with the prior recommendations concerning the following:

• Failure To Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

During the current audit period, the commission paid the 2017 minimum municipal obligation due to the pension plan; however, plan officials failed to calculate and pay the required interest due to the plan as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report.

• Failure To Deposit The Full Amount Of State Aid Into The Pension Plan

During the current audit period, the commission deposited the balance of the 2015 state aid allocation. Plan officials failed to include applicable interest, into the police pension plan as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report.

Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation

The Eastern Pike Regional Police Commission has not complied with the prior recommendation concerning the following as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report:

· Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

<u>Finding No. 1 – Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation – Failure To Fully Pay The</u> <u>Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the prior report, plan officials did not fully pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) that was due to the police pension plan for the year 2017, as required by Act 205. During the current audit period, the commission paid the remaining 2017 MMO balance due, in the amount of \$17,105; however, plan officials failed to calculate and pay the required interest due to the pension plan.

Criteria: Section 302(e) of Act 205 states:

(e) Interest penalty on omitted municipal contributions. Any amount of the minimum obligation of the municipality which remains unpaid as of December 31 of the year in which the minimum obligation is due shall be added to the minimum obligation of the municipality for the following year, with interest from January 1 of the year in which the minimum obligation was first due until the date the payment is paid at a rate equal to the interest assumption used for the actuarial valuation report or the discount rate applicable to treasury bills issued by the Department of Treasury of the United States with a six-month maturity as of the last business day in December of the plan year in which the obligation was due, whichever is greater, expressed as a monthly rate and compounded monthly.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure full compliance with the prior recommendation.

<u>Effect</u>: Due to the regional's failure to pay the plan's 2017 MMO by the December 31, 2017 deadline, the regional incurred interest penalties that would not have been required had the MMO been paid timely in accordance with Act 205. The failure to pay the interest due to the plan could result in the plan not having adequate resources to meet current and future benefit obligations to its members.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality pay the interest due to the police pension plan in accordance with Section 302(e) of Act 205. A copy of the interest calculation must be maintained by the commission for examination during our next audit of the plan.

Furthermore, we recommend that, in the future, plan officials properly determine and fully pay the MMO in accordance with Act 205 requirements.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

<u>Finding No. 2 – Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation – Failure To Deposit The</u> <u>Full Amount Of State Aid Into The Pension Plan</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in the prior report, the municipality did not deposit the full amount of its 2015 state aid allocation into the pension plan. During the current audit period, the commission deposited the remaining 2015 state aid allocation, in the amount of \$1,900, to the pension plan; however, plan officials failed to calculate and pay the required interest due to the plan.

Criteria: Section 402(g) of Act 205 states, in part:

... the total amount of the general municipal pension system State aid received by the municipality shall, within 30 days of receipt by the treasurer of the municipality, be deposited in the pension funds or the alternate funding mechanisms applicable to the respective pension plans.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure full compliance with the prior recommendation.

<u>Effect</u>: Although the state aid was eventually deposited into the plan, the interest earned beyond the 30-day grace period was not deposited into the plan. When state aid is not deposited into a pension plan account in a timely manner, the funds are not available to pay operating expenses or for investment and the risk of misapplication is increased.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality deposit the interest earned during the period beyond the 30-day grace period allowed by Act 205, compounded annually, into the police pension plan. A copy of the interest calculation must be maintained by the commission for examination during our next audit of the plan.

Furthermore, we recommend that plan officials implement procedures to ensure that future state aid allocations are deposited timely in accordance with Act 205 requirements.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

<u>Finding No. 3 – Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation – Incorrect Data On</u> <u>Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid</u>

<u>Condition</u>: Our prior report disclosed that the commission reported incorrect data on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2015, resulting in an underpayment of 2015 state aid in the amount of \$3,098. This amount was subsequently allocated to the regional in February 2018.

Subsequent to the current audit period, the commission again failed to comply with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 in 2022 and failed to certify an eligible employee and understated payroll by \$57,516 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2022. The data contained on this certification form is based on prior calendar year information.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), an employee who has been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and has been participating in a pension plan during the certification year is eligible for certification.

<u>Cause</u>: The commission lacked adequate internal control procedures, such as having another individual review the data certified to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompanied Certification Form AG 385 prior to submission. Plan officials attributed the failure to certify the eligible employee to an oversight.

<u>Effect</u>: The data submitted on this certification form is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the municipality for distribution to its pension plan. Because the commission's state aid allocation was based on pension cost, the commission received an underpayment of state aid of \$13,690 as identified below:

	Normal	I	Payroll	St	tate Aid
Year	Cost	Understated		Und	erpayment
2022	23.802%	\$	57,516	\$	13,690

Although the additional state aid will be allocated to the regional, the full amount of the 2022 state aid allocation was not available to be deposited timely and therefore was not available to pay operating expenses or for investment.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures, such as having at least two people review the data certified, to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

EASTERN PIKE REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2015, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-17	\$ 1,381,823	\$ 1,473,941	\$ 92,118	93.8%
01-01-19	1,750,353	2,070,653	320,300	84.5%
01-01-21	2,428,018	2,137,939	(290,079)	113.6%

EASTERN PIKE REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

EASTERN PIKE REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2016	\$ 27,701	112.0%
2017	55,719	100.0%
2018	99,246	100.0%
2019	100,630	102.3%
2020	101,809	100.0%
2021	156,570	100.0%

EASTERN PIKE REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2021
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Not applicable
Remaining amortization period	None
Asset valuation method	Fair value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	6.0%
Projected salary increases	4.0%
Cost-of-living adjustments	2.0%

EASTERN PIKE REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Mr. Jerry Dotey Chairman, Board of Commissioners

Ms. Barbara Gillette

Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.