# **COMPLIANCE AUDIT**

# Hughesville Borough Police Pension Plan

Lycoming County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017

May 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Hughesville Borough Lycoming County Hughesville, PA 17737

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Hughesville Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- We determined that there were no employee contributions required for the years covered by our audit period due to the fact that employee contributions were appropriately waived by the municipality.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for the plan member who retired during the current audit period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to the retired individual and comparing this amount to supporting documentation evidencing the amount determined and actually paid to the recipient.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2013, January 1, 2015, and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2014, 2016, and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- · We determined whether the terms of the plan's unallocated insurance contract, including ownership and any restrictions, were in compliance with plan provisions, investment policies, and state regulations by comparing the terms of the contract with the plan's provisions, investment policies, and state regulations.
- We determined whether provisions of the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) were in accordance with the provisions of Act 205 by examining provisions stated in the plan's governing documents.

Hughesville Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its financial statements prepared in conformity with the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Department of Community and Economic Development of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Hughesville Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the borough's internal controls as they relate to the borough's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objective, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally and as previously described, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures, and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Hughesville Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

As previously noted, the objective of our audit of the Hughesville Borough Police Pension Plan was to determine compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. Act 205 was amended on September 18, 2009, through the adoption of Act 44 of 2009. Among several provisions relating to municipal pension plans, the act provides for the implementation of a distress recovery program. Three levels of distress have been established:

<u>Level</u>	<u>Indication</u>	Funding Criteria
I	Minimal distress	70-89%
II	Moderate distress	50-69%
III	Severe distress	Less than 50%

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it. However, we are extremely concerned about the historical trend information contained in the schedule of funding progress included in this report which indicates a continued decline of assets available to satisfy the long-term liabilities of the plan. The plan's funded ratio went from 72.8% as of January 1, 2015, to a ratio of 53.1% as of January 1, 2017, which is the most recent data available. Based on this information, the borough will receive a notification that it is in Level II moderate distress status. We encourage borough officials to monitor the funding of the police pension plan to ensure its long-term financial stability.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Hughesville Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

May 16, 2018

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf. O-Pager

**Auditor General** 

# CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Supplementary Information	3
Report Distribution List	10

#### BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Hughesville Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the former Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The Hughesville Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 4 of 2009 as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers. The plan was established March 10, 1975. Active members are not required to contribute to the plan. As of December 31, 2017, the plan had 3 active members, 1 terminated member eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 4 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

#### **BACKGROUND** – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2017, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

#### **Eligibility Requirements**:

Normal Retirement Age 50 and 25 years of service

Early Retirement None

Vesting 100% after 12 years of service

#### Retirement Benefit:

50% of final 36 months average salary, plus a service increment of \$20 times years of service in excess of 25 years, up to a maximum of \$100 per month.

#### **Survivor Benefit:**

Before Retirement Eligibility 50% of the pension the member would have received on

his Superannuation Retirement Date.

After Retirement Eligibility A monthly benefit equal to 50% of the pension the

member was receiving or was entitled to receive on the

day of the member's death.

#### Service Related Disability Benefit:

50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, offset by Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 3 through 6 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

# SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2015

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	19,908	\$	,
Interest		55,406		56,992
Difference between expected and actual experience		-		(22,866)
Changes of assumptions		-		16,113
Benefit payments, including refunds of member				
contributions		(37,470)		(38,072)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		37,844		23,167
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		763,041		800,885
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	800,885	\$	824,052
Plan Fiduciary Net Position				
Contributions – employer	\$	19,928	\$	23,836
Contributions – employer  Contributions – state aid	Ψ	27,109	ψ	31,367
Net investment income		16,136		(15,695)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		10,130		(13,093)
contributions		(37,470)		(38,072)
		` ' '		
Administrative expense		(5,375)		(5,875)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		20,328		(4,439)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning		535,727	_	556,055
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	556,055	\$	551,616
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	244,830	\$	272,436
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total				
Pension Liability		69.4%		66.9%
1 chiston Endomity		07.470		00.770
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	105,104	\$	95,598
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered				
Employee Payroll		232.9%		285.0%
- · ·				

# SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2017

		<u>2016</u>		<u>2017</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	11,495	\$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Interest		59,054		86,583
Difference between expected and actual experience		-		341,353
Changes of assumptions		-		2,460
Benefit payments, including refunds of member				
contributions		(42,027)		(61,804)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		28,522		397,359
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		824,052		852,574
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	852,574	\$	1,249,933
Dieu Pideriem Net Desiries				
Plan Fiduciary Net Position	\$	16755	φ	10.714
Contributions – employer	Þ	16,755	\$	12,714
Contributions – state aid		34,997		45,883
Net investment income		22,182		63,770
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		(42,027)		(61.004)
contributions		(42,027)		(61,804)
Administrative expense		(5,875)		(5,875)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		26,032		54,688
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Beginning		551,616	_	577,648
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	\$	577,648	\$	632,336
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	274,926	\$	617,597
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability		67.8%		50.6%
Tension Elaciney		07.070		30.070
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	60,494	\$	148,965
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered				
Employee Payroll		454.5%		414.6%

#### Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2014, 2015, 2016 and 2017, calculated using the discount rate of 7.25%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (6.25%)	Current Discount Rate (7.25%)	1% Increase (8.25%)	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/14	\$ 347,976	\$ 244,830	\$ 158,629	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/15	\$ 371,787	\$ 272,436	\$ 188,846	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/16	\$ 375,738	\$ 274,926	\$ 189,920	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/17	\$ 774,908	\$ 617,597	\$ 487,778	

#### SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Year Ended December 31	Det	tuarially termined atribution		Actual tributions	De	ntribution ficiency Excess)	En	overed- nployee ayroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll
2008	\$	11,261	\$	16,379	\$	(5,118)	\$	45,000	36.4%
2009	,	12,888	т	12,888	*	-	,	53,093	24.3%
2010		16,865		17,771		(906)		38,938	45.6%
2011		16,915		18,915		(2,000)		39,243	48.2%
2012		22,977		22,977		-		64,339	35.7%
2013		30,028		30,028		-		100,459	29.9%
2014		47,037		47,037		-		105,104	44.8%
2015		45,203		55,203		(10,000)		95,598	57.7%
2016		41,752		51,752		(10,000)		60,494	85.5%
2017		58,597		58,597		-		148,965	39.3%

# SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2014	3.20%
2015	-2.83%
2016	4.25%
2017	11.74%

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 515,527	\$ 728,052	\$ 212,525	70.8%
01-01-15	578,360	794,132	215,772	72.8%
01-01-17	635,221	1,196,387	561,166	53.1%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-13, 01-01-15, and 01-01-17, have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 5-year averaging period which will be limited to a maximum of 120 percent and a minimum of 80 percent of the fair market value of assets. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The plan experienced an actuarial loss of \$364,657 that is reflected in the 01-01-17 data. This loss was primarily due to a liability loss of \$342,000 as a result of a participant beginning to collect a disability benefit from the plan.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

# HUGHESVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 16 years

Asset valuation method 5-year smoothing – the actuarial

value of assets will be limited to a maximum of 120% and a minimum of 80% of the fair

market value of assets.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 7.25%

Projected salary increases 4.5%

Cost-of-living adjustments 3.0%

#### HUGHESVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Richard F. Smith

Mayor

Mr. Walter S. Reed

**Council President** 

Mr. Jerry Daugherty

Council Vice President

Ms. Brenda Smith

Council Member

Mr. Andy L. Mook

Council Member

Mr. Dan Cale

Council Member

Mr. Todd McCowan

Council Member

Ms. Gail Kocher

Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.