# LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

# Larksville Borough Police Pension Plan

Luzerne County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017

January 2019



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Larksville Borough Luzerne County Larksville, PA 18704

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Larksville Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2014 to December 31, 2017 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

#### Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- · Whether municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report, by inquiring of plan officials and evaluating supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken.
- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- · Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

Whether the January 1, 2013, January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2014, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Larksville Borough Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Larksville Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

January 25, 2019

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugrafi O-Pager

**Auditor General** 

#### CONTENTS

<u>Pa</u>	ige
Status of Prior Finding	1
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid	2
Supplementary Information	4
Report Distribution List	11

#### LARKSVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

#### Partial Compliance With Prior LPE Report Recommendation

Larksville Borough has partially complied with the prior LPE report recommendation concerning the following:

#### · Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid

During the current audit period, the borough reimbursed \$5,467 to the Commonwealth for the overpayment of state aid received in 2013 and 2014; however, plan officials again failed to comply with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data during the current period as further discussed in the Finding and Recommendation section of this report.

### LARKSVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

### <u>Finding - Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation - Incorrect Data On</u> <u>Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid</u>

Condition: As disclosed in the Status of Prior Finding section of this report, the borough partially complied with the prior audit recommendation by reimbursing the Commonwealth for the overpayment of state aid received in 2013 and 2014. However, plan officials again failed to comply with the instructions that accompanied Certification Form AG 385. The borough certified an ineligible police (2 units) and overstated payroll by \$17,044 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2017. The data contained on this certification form is based on prior calendar year information.

<u>Criteria</u>: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), in order to be eligible for certification, an employee must have been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and must have been participating in a pension plan during the certification year.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data certified.

<u>Effect</u>: The data submitted on this certification form is used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the municipality for distribution to its pension plans. Because the borough's state aid allocation was based on unit value, the incorrect certification of pension data affected the borough's state aid allocation, as identified below:

Year	Type Of Plan	Normal Cost	1 101111111		_	ate Aid payment
2017	Police	.2026%	\$ 1	7.004	\$	3,445

In addition, the borough used the overpayment of state aid to pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) due to the police pension plan; therefore, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from the police pension plan, the plan's MMO will not be fully paid.

Recommendation: We again recommend that the net overpayment state aid, in the amount of \$3,445, plus interest, be returned to the Commonwealth. A check in this amount, with interest compounded annually from date of receipt to date of repayment, at a rate earned by the pension plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 321 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. A copy of the interest calculation must be submitted along with the check.

### LARKSVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

#### <u>Finding – (Continued)</u>

We also recommend that in the future, plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures, such as having at least 2 people review the data certified, to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

In addition, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from police pension plan funds, we recommend that any resulting MMO deficiencies be paid to the police pension plan with interest, at a rate earned by the pension plan.

Management's Response: Borough officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 4 through 6 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

#### SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2015

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	38,985	\$	48,236
Interest		79,759		82,864
Difference between expected and actual experience		14,435		-
Changes of assumptions		-		(39,411)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(100,298)		(71,270)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		32,881		20,419
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		1,460,662		1,493,543
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	1,493,543	\$	1,513,962
Plan Fiduciary Net Position				
Contributions – employer*	\$	32,496	\$	23,964
Contributions – PMRS assessment		-		_
Net investment income		(36,244)		(106,980)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(100,298)		(71,270)
Administrative expense		(3,659)		(3,741)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		(107,705)		(158,027)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		1,655,767		1,548,062
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$	1,548,062	\$	1,390,035
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	(54,519)	\$	123,927
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability		103.65%		91.81%
·	¢	101 704	¢	220 221
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	191,784	\$	239,221
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		(28.43%)		51.80%

<sup>\*</sup> see note on page 5

#### SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2017

		<u>2016</u>		<u>2017</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	48,115	\$	56,449
Interest		84,067		82,314
Difference between expected and actual experience		(82,345)		-
Changes of assumptions		49,697		-
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(68,101)		(68,815)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		31,433		69,948
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		1,513,962		1,545,395
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	1,545,395	\$	1,615,343
Plan Fiduciary Net Position				
Contributions – employer	\$	37,076	\$	52,315
Contributions – PMRS assessment		20		20
Net investment income		128,976		260,666
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(68,101)		(68,815)
Administrative expense		(4,160)		(3,899)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		93,811		240,287
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		1,390,035		1,483,846
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$	1,483,846	\$	1,724,133
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	61,549	\$	(108,790)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability		96.02%		106.73%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	304,275	\$	340,192
Estimated Covered Employee Layton	Ψ	307,273	Ψ	370,172
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		20.23%		(31.98%)

<sup>\* 2014</sup> employer contributions include an actuarial surplus used for disability insurance premiums of \$684, administrative expenses of \$220 and also includes \$4,931 of 2013 state aid deposited during 2014. 2015 employer contributions are shown net of \$5,467 reimbursement to the Commonwealth for a prior audit finding.

#### Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2014 and 2015, calculated using the discount rate of 5.50%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (4.50%)		Current Discount Rate (5.50%)		1% Increase (6.50%)	
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/14	\$	143,070	\$	(54,519)	\$	(217,558)
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/15	\$	326,127	\$	123,927	\$	(42,333)

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2016 and 2017, calculated using the discount rate of 5.25%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Decrease (4.25%)	Dis	Current scount Rate (5.25%)	19	% Increase (6.25%)
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/16	\$ 288,014	\$	61,549	\$	(123,154)
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/17	\$ 127,925	\$	(108,790)	\$	(301,853)

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 1,589,685	\$ 1,437,888	\$ (151,797)	110.6%
01-01-15	1,591,638	1,493,543	(98,095)	106.6%
01-01-17	1,603,543	1,545,395	(58,148)	103.8%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

## SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2012	\$ 9,000	121.1%
2013	6,529	172.5%
2014	15,427	172.8%
2015	28,881	101.9%
2016	27,359	135.5%
2017	52,219	100.2%

#### LARKSVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method The Actuarial Value of Assets equals the

plan's member, municipal, DROP (if applicable) reserve accounts plus the retiree actuarial liability. This asset smoothing is based on the unique legislative structure of PMRS and the administrative rules adopted by the PMRS Board in conjunction with Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law, all of which are subject to comply with the Actuarial Standards of Practice No. 44, Selection and Use of Asset Valuation Methods when defining the actuarial Value of Assets.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 5.25%, compounded annually, net of

investment and administration expenses

Salary scale Total rate (including inflation) (e.g. age 25 –

7.05%; age 35 - 4.55%; age 45 - 3.97%;

age 55 - 3.44%; age 65 - 2.80%)

Cost-of-living adjustments 2.8% per year, subject to plan limitations

#### LARKSVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Joseph Zawadski Mayor

> Mr. Joseph Romanoskey Council President

Ms. M. Shelly O'Malia Borough Manager

Ms. Charity Rosenberry, CPA

Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.