LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

Leetsdale Borough Police Pension Plan

Allegheny County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2018

March 2020



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Leetsdale Borough Allegheny County Leetsdale, PA 15056

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Leetsdale Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2016 to December 31, 2018 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether annual employee contributions were required during the engagement period and, if so, were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the engagement period and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.

Whether the January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Leetsdale Borough Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Pension Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Leetsdale Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

February 11, 2020

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugnate O-Pasper

Auditor General

CONTENTS

<u>P</u>	age
Finding And Recommendation:	
Finding - Pension Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions	1
Supplementary Information	3
Report Distribution List	9

LEETSDALE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding - Pension Benefit Not In Compliance With Act 600 Provisions

<u>Condition</u>: The collective bargaining agreement between the borough and its police officers, at Article 10(A)D, contains a benefit provision that conflicts with the plan's governing document and is not in compliance with Act 600, as amended. The specific inconsistency is as follows:

Benefit Provision	Collective Bargaining Agreement	Act 600 (as amended)
Service-related disability benefit	Effective January 1, 1997, the police pension shall be modified to include long term disability benefits. The total amount of disability to be provided by the plan shall be determined by the pension plan members' years of active duty service on the Leetsdale Police Force according to the following schedule:	The benefit must be in conformity with a uniform scale and fixed by the plan's governing document at no less than 50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, reduced by the amount of Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.
	Years of Percent Active of Normal Duty Retirement Service Benefits	
	0-4 0 5-9 25 10-14 50 15-19 75 20 and Over 100	
	[The CBA does not specify service-related or nonservice-related.]	

We note that the service-related disability benefit provision contained in the plan's governing document, Ordinance No. 613, is in accordance with Act 600 provisions.

LEETSDALE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding - Continued

<u>Criteria</u>: The police pension plan's benefit structure should be in compliance with the provisions of Act 600, as amended, unless continuance of a contradictory benefit is justified by the provisions of the collective bargaining agreement in effect. In addition, the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement should contain consistent benefit provisions to ensure the sound administration of retirement benefits.

<u>Cause</u>: Although discussed as a verbal observation during two prior audits, municipal officials failed to update the plan's collective bargaining agreement upon its expiration on December 31, 2017.

<u>Effect</u>: Inconsistencies between the governing plan document and the collective bargaining agreement could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan. In addition, maintaining a benefit structure which is not in compliance with Act 600 could result in plan members or their beneficiaries receiving incorrect benefit amounts or being denied benefits to which they are statutorily entitled.

Recommendation: We recommend that municipal officials, after consulting with their solicitor, take whatever action is necessary to bring the police pension plan's benefit structure into compliance with Act 600, as amended, at their earliest opportunity to do so. If continuation of benefits contrary to Act 600, as amended, is justified by the provisions of the current collective bargaining agreement, we recommend that the benefits be adopted as soon as deemed appropriate, but not later than the expiration of the current collective bargaining agreement on December 31, 2022.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next engagement of the plan.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 3 through 5 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, AND 2018

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>		<u>2016</u>		<u>2017</u>		2018
Total Pension Liability										
Service cost	\$	45,900	\$	45,988	\$	49,207	\$	40,780	\$	43,635
Interest		76,978		80,725		83,294		80,562		84,271
Difference between expected and actual experience		26,837		-		(97,527)		-		15,625
Changes of assumptions		-		-		-		-		(36,637)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(79,043)		(113,444)		(69,789)		(69,789)		(69,789)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		70,672		13,269		(34,815)		51,553		37,105
Total Pension Liability - Beginning		1,116,264		1,186,936		1,200,205		1,165,390		1,216,943
Total Pension Liability - Ending (a)	\$	1,186,936	\$	1,200,205	\$	1,165,390	\$	1,216,943	\$	1,254,048
Plan Fiduciary Net Position			'							_
Contributions - employer*	\$	72,971	\$	76,121	\$	74,907	\$	76,172	\$	67,291
Contributions - member	Ф	15,136	Ф	13,855	Ф	13,472	Ф	15,714	Ф	16,429
Net investment income		46,253		1,803		47,814		130,287		(10,939)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(79,043)		(113,444)		(69,789)		(69,789)		(69,789)
Administrative expense		(8,120)		(6,131)		(09,789) (370)		(9,996)		(30,409)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		47,197		(27,796)		66.034		142,388		(27,417)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		793,306		840,503		812,707		878,741		1,021,129
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-		\$	812,707	•		Ф.	1,021,129	Ф.	993,712
Plan Fiduciary Net Position - Ending (b)	<u> </u>	840,503	<u> </u>	812,707	<u> </u>	878,741		1,021,129	<u> </u>	993,/12
Net Pension Liability - Ending (a-b)	\$	346,433	\$	387,498	\$	286,649	\$	195,814	\$	260,336
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability		70.81%		67.71%		75.40%		83.91%		79.24%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	304,533	\$	239,727	\$	279,202	\$	274,939	\$	274,939
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		113.76%		161.64%		102.67%		71.22%		94.69%

^{*}The 2016, 2017 and 2018 employer contributions include proceeds from the sale of police reports.

Sensitivity Of The Net Pension Liability To Changes In The Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2015, 2016, 2017, and 2018, calculated using the discount rate of 7.0%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1%	% Decrease (6.0%)	Dis	Current scount Rate (7.0%)		% Increase (8.0%)		
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/15	\$	542,604	\$	387,498	\$	258,270		
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/16	\$	434,970	\$	286,649	\$	161,570		
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/17	\$	350,696	\$	195,814	\$	66,246		
Net Pension Liability - 12/31/18	\$	427,897	\$	260,336	\$	121,233		

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

						Contributions as a Percentage of
Year Ended	tuarially termined	,	Actual	ntribution eficiency	Covered- Employee	Covered- Employee
December 31	itribution		tributions	Excess)	Payroll	Payroll
2014	\$ 69,926	\$	72,971	\$ (3,045)	\$ 304,533	23.96%
2015	75,401		76,121	(720)	239,727	31.75%
2016	72,902		74,907	(2,005)	279,202	26.83%
2017	75,197		76,172	(975)	274,939	27.71%
2018	66,021		67,291	(1,270)	274,939	24.47%

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2018	(3.83)%
2017	15.06%
2016	6.18%
2015	.41%
2014	6.16%

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 722,456	\$ 1,076,798	\$ 354,342	67.1%
01-01-15	826,367	1,186,936	360,569	69.6%
01-01-17	911,158	1,165,390	254,232	78.2%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-13, 01-01-15, and 01-01-17 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

LEETSDALE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar, closed

Remaining amortization period 8 years

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return * 7.0%

Projected salary increases * 4.0%

^{*} Includes inflation at 2.5%

LEETSDALE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Peter A. Poninsky Mayor

> Mr. Jeffery Weatherby Council President

Mr. Benjimen Frederick Council Vice President

Ms. Jennifer Simek
Borough Secretary

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.