

# COMPLIANCE AUDIT

---

## Montour Township Police Pension Plan Columbia County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020

---

January 2022



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Department of the Auditor General  
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018  
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General  
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen  
www.PaAuditor.gov**

**TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR  
AUDITOR GENERAL**

Board of Township Supervisors  
Montour Township  
Columbia County  
Bloomsburg, PA 17815

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Montour Township Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior report; and
2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined that there were no employee contributions required by the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations for the years covered by our audit period.
- We determined that there were no benefit calculations prepared for the years covered by our audit period.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2017 and January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2018 and 2020, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

The Montour Township Police Pension Plan participates in the Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System (PMRS), which is an agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system that acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating municipal pension plans. PMRS issues a separate Annual Comprehensive Financial Report, copies of which are available from the PMRS accounting office. PMRS's financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Township officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Montour Township Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials, and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.


The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Montour Township Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

- Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation - Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In A Net Overpayment Of State Aid
- Finding No. 2 – Failure To Deposit The Full Amount Of State Aid Into The Pension Plan
- Finding No. 3 – Failure To Maintain An Adequate Record-Keeping System To Effectively Monitor Activity Of The Pension Plan
- Finding No. 4 – Failure To Implement Mandatory Act 44 Procedures For The Procurement Of Professional Services

Finding No. 1 contained in this audit report repeats a condition that was cited in our previous report that has not been fully corrected by township officials. We are concerned by the township's failure to correct this previously reported finding and strongly encourage timely implementation of the recommendation noted in this audit report.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Montour Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.



Timothy L. DeFoor  
Auditor General  
January 4, 2022

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background.....	1
Status of Prior Finding.....	2
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In A Net Overpayment Of State Aid.....	3
Finding No. 2 – Failure To Deposit The Full Amount Of State Aid Into The Pension Plan.....	4
Finding No. 3 – Failure To Maintain An Adequate Record-Keeping System To Effectively Monitor Activity Of The Pension Plan .....	5
Finding No. 4 – Failure To Implement Mandatory Act 44 Procedures For The Procurement Of Professional Services.....	7
Supplementary Information .....	9
Report Distribution List .....	13

## BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Montour Township Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 15 - Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law, Act of February 1, 1974  
(P.L. 34, No. 15), as amended, 53 P.S. § 881.101 et seq.

The Montour Township Police Pension Plan is a single-employer cash balance pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 2021-5, effective October 1, 2019, and a separately executed plan agreement with the Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System (PMRS), as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 15. Prior to October 1, 2019, the plan was locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 3/11/10, and a plan agreement with PMRS. The plan was established December 24, 1978. Active members are not required to contribute to the plan. As of December 31, 2020, the plan had three active members, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and no retirees receiving pension benefits.

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation

Montour Township has not complied with the prior recommendation concerning the following:

- Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Underpayment Of State Aid

Although the municipality was reimbursed for the prior underpayment of state aid, municipal officials again failed to comply with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data, as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report.

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Recommendation – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In A Net Overpayment Of State Aid**

Condition: As disclosed in the Status of Prior findings section of this report, the township again failed to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompanied Certification Form AG 385 during the current audit period. The township certified an ineligible police officer (2 units) and overstated payroll by \$5,010 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2018, understated payroll by \$6,664 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2019, and overstated payroll by \$2,527 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2020. The data contained on these certification forms is based on prior calendar year information.

Criteria: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), in order to be eligible for certification, an employee must have been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and must have been participating in a pension plan during the certification year. Pursuant to the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385, the total payroll eligible to be certified should be Internal Revenue Service Form W-2 earnings pertaining to full-time positions.

Cause: Plan officials again failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data certified and compliance with the prior recommendation.

Effect: The data submitted on these certification forms are used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the municipality for distribution to its pension plan. Because the township’s state aid allocations were based on pension costs, the incorrect certification of pension data affected the township’s state aid allocations, as identified below:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Normal Cost</u>	<u>Payroll Overstated (Understated)</u>	<u>State Aid Overpayment (Underpayment)</u>
2018	14.04782%	\$ 5,010	\$ 704
2019	14.04000%	(6,664)	(936)
2020	14.29699%	2,527	<u>361</u>
Net Overpayment of State Aid			<u>\$ 129</u>

In addition, the township used the overpayment of state aid to pay the minimum municipal obligations (MMOs) due to the police pension plan; therefore, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from the pension plan, the plan’s MMO will not be fully paid.



MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**Finding No. 1 – (Continued)**

Recommendation: We recommend that the total net overpayment of state aid, in the amount of \$129, plus interest, be returned to the Commonwealth. A check in this amount, with interest compounded annually from date of receipt to date of repayment, at a rate earned by the pension plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 321 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. A copy of the interest calculation must be submitted along with the check.

We also again recommend that in the future, plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures, such as having at least 2 people review the data certified, to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

In addition, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from police pension plan funds, we recommend that any resulting MMO deficiencies be paid to the pension plan with interest, at a rate earned by the pension plan.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

**Finding No. 2 – Failure To Deposit The Full Amount Of State Aid Into The Pension Plan**

Condition: The municipality did not deposit the full amount of its 2017 and 2018 state aid allocations into the pension plan. The municipality received its 2017 and 2018 state aid allocations in the amounts of \$19,082 and \$4,332 on September 27, 2017 and November 21, 2017 (*for 2017, the township received separate allocations of its 2017 state aid allocation due to the reporting issue noted in the Status of Prior Finding section of this report*) and September 20, 2018, respectively. However, as of the date of this report, only state aid totaling \$16,462 was deposited into the pension plan.

Criteria: Section 402(g) of Act 205 states, in part:

. . . the total amount of the general municipal pension system State aid received by the municipality shall, within 30 days of receipt by the treasurer of the municipality, be deposited in the pension fund or the alternate funding mechanism applicable to the pension plan.

Cause: Current officials indicated that the full amount of the 2017 and 2018 state aid was not deposited into the pension plan because prior officials were unaware of applicable Act 205 provisions and the township lacked adequate procedures to ensure the deposit of the full amounts.

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**Finding No. 2 – (Continued)**

Effect: When state aid is not deposited into a pension plan account, the funds are not available to pay operating expenses or for investment and the risk of misapplication is increased.

Recommendation: We recommend that the municipality deposit the township’s outstanding state aid of \$6,952, plus interest earned during the period beyond the 30 day grace period allowed by Act 205, compounded annually into the pension plan. A copy of the interest calculation must be maintained by the township for examination during our next audit of the plan.

We also recommend that plan officials develop and implement procedures to ensure that future state aid is deposited into the police pension plan within 30 days of receipt by the municipal treasurer.

Management’s Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor’s Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

**Finding No. 3 – Failure To Maintain An Adequate Record-Keeping System To Effectively Monitor Activity Of The Pension Plan**

Condition: The township’s record-keeping system did not provide effective control over the transactional activity of the police pension plan during 2020. Municipal officials were unable to furnish annual financial statements or custodial account transaction statements for the police pension plan. These annual financial and account statements have historically been provided by the plan’s custodian, PMRS. However, as of the date of this report, annual financial and accounting statements summarizing the pension account activity have not been provided for the year 2020.

Criteria: An adequate system of accounting and record keeping is a prerequisite for sound administration of pension plans. In addition, assets held in a custodial account for the purpose of plan management are to be governed by the terms and provisions of the account contract, provided that the terms and provisions of the contract are within the parameters of all prevailing pension legislation. Although the municipality may contract with a trustee to administer the financial management of the plan, the fiduciary responsibility for the plan remains with the municipality.

Cause: Municipal officials indicated that the plan’s custodian, PMRS, failed to provide copies of the custodial account transaction statements summarizing activity of the pension plan account for the year 2020. In addition, municipal officials did not maintain a separate detailed accounting of pension plan transactions which, among other things, helps assure the production of proper financial statements to effectively monitor the annual activity of the pension plan.

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**Finding No. 3 – (Continued)**

Effect: Although we were able to obtain alternate documentation from the municipality to evidence the propriety of the individual transactions tested during performance of the audit for the year 2020, the failure to maintain annual financial and/or account transaction statements prohibits municipal officials from effectively monitoring the plan’s financial operations. Additionally, inadequate monitoring of the pension plan account could lead to undetected errors or improprieties in account transactions as well as deficiencies in authorizing and implementing pension plan policies and procedures.

Recommendation: We recommend that municipal officials contact the plan custodian and obtain annual financial statements of the custodial account of the police pension plan for the year 2020 to ensure the accuracy and propriety of the transaction activity. In addition, we recommend that municipal officials establish and maintain a financial record-keeping system that allows the municipality to effectively monitor the plan’s financial operations, even in the absence of statements from the plan custodian. Municipal officials should refer to the Auditor General’s Bulletin No. 2-88 entitled “Preparation, Maintenance and Auditability of Financial Records,” for further guidance in establishing adequate accounting and record-keeping procedures.

Management’s Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor’s Conclusion: It was noted that PMRS recently went through a substantial upgrade to the plan administration software and implemented a new accounting system. The modernization process, along with the COVID-19 pandemic, resulted in unforeseen delays in the year-end reporting process for financial statements and GASB 68 reports. PMRS officials have taken active measures to resolve these issues and began providing 2020 Year-End Financial Activity Reports and Annual Member Statements for their plans in the fall of 2021. Compliance with the finding recommendation will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**Finding No. 4 – Failure To Implement Mandatory Act 44 Procedures For The Procurement Of Professional Services**

**Condition:** As disclosed as a verbal observation during the prior engagement, municipal officials failed to adopt the mandatory provisions of Act 205 regarding professional services contracts.

**Criteria:** Section 701-A of Act 205, as amended by Act 44 of 2009, defines a “Professional Services Contract”, as follows:

“Professional services contract.” A contract to which the municipal pension system is a party that is:

- (1) for the purchase or provision of professional services, including investment services, legal services, real estate services and other consulting services; and
- (2) not subject to a requirement that the lowest bid be accepted.

In addition, Section 702-A (a) of Act 205 states in part:

Each municipal pension system ... shall develop procedures to select the most qualified person to enter into a professional services contract. The procedures shall ensure that the availability of a professional services contract is advertised to potential participants in a timely and efficient manner. Procedures shall include applications and disclosure forms to be used to submit a proposal for review and to receive the award of a professional services contract.

Additionally, Section 702-A (c), (e), (f) and (h) state, in part:

**Review.** Procedures to select the most qualified person shall include a review of the person’s qualifications, experience and expertise and the compensation to be charged.

**Conflict of interest.** The municipal pension system shall adopt policies relating to potential conflicts of interest in the review of a proposal or the negotiation of a contract.

**Public information.** Following the award of a professional services contract, all applications and disclosure forms shall be public except for proprietary information or other information protected by law.

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

**Finding No. 4 – (Continued)**

**Notice and summary.** The relevant factors that resulted in the award of the professional services contract must be summarized in a written statement to be included in or attached to the documents awarding the contract. Within ten days of the award of the professional services contract, the original application, a summary of the basis for the award and all required disclosure forms must be transmitted to all unsuccessful applications and posted on the municipal pension system's Internet website, if an Internet website is maintained, at least seven days prior to the execution of the professional services contract.

Cause: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal controls procedures to ensure compliance with provisions of Act 205 as previously recommended.

Effect: The township's failure to comply in all respects with the previous recommendation and the provisions stipulated in Act 205 regarding the procurement of professional investment and advisory services for the township's pension plan results in a general lack of overall transparency of the actions taken by plan officials relative to the awarding of the investment and advisory services contract for the township's pension plan.

Recommendation: We recommend that municipal officials obtain a comprehensive understanding of Act 205 provisions for the procurement of professional services and develop and implement formal written procedures to ensure compliance with these provisions while maintaining appropriate and sufficient supporting documentation evidencing every phase of the process in the awarding of future professional services contracts for the pension plan, including authorizations/approvals of township officials in the official minutes of formal board meetings and the required notifications and disclosure responsibilities to ensure the transparency of the actions taken by plan officials relative to the awarding of such professional services contracts.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan’s funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2015, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age (b)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)
01-01-15	\$ 260,820	\$ 162,205	\$ (98,615)	160.8%
01-01-17	318,029	199,244	(118,785)	159.6%
01-01-19	366,903	285,196	(81,707)	128.6%

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
(UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

<u>Year Ended December 31</u>	<u>Actuarially Determined Contribution</u>	<u>Actual Contributions</u>	<u>Contribution Deficiency (Excess)</u>	<u>Covered- Employee Payroll*</u>	<u>Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll</u>
2014	\$ 8,961	\$ 15,459	\$ (6,498)	\$ 148,162	10.43%
2015	12,929	12,989	(60)	133,248	9.75%
2016	14,680	14,700	(20)	169,680	8.66%
2017	13,481	13,541	(60)	108,443	12.49%
2018	2,902	4,267	(1,365)	191,029	2.23%
2019	10,974	11,014	(40)	187,015	5.89%
2020	14,302	14,302	-		

\* Due to the timing of this audit, covered-employee payroll for 2020 was not provided in this schedule.



MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION  
 NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES  
 (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2019
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Level dollar for plan bases and an average for Aggregate Gain/Loss, 10% of surplus is credited against aggregate cost where applicable.
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	The Actuarial Value of Assets equal the sum of all audited reserve funds as of the valuation date, including Member, Municipal, Retired, Disability, and DROP Reserves, when applicable, and a one year administration expense reserve, plus the portion of any additional investment income to be distributed as excess interest, based on PMRS Policy Statement 05-2. This asset valuation is based on the unique legislative structure of PMRS and the administrative rules adopted by the PMRS Board in conjunction with Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law. <sup>1</sup>

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return *	5.25%, compounded annually, net of investment and administration expenses.
Projected salary increases *	2.8%-7.05%
* Includes inflation at	2.8%
Cost-of-living adjustments	2.8% per year, subject to plan limitations.

---

<sup>1</sup> The administrative rules adopted by the PMRS Board, which are not subject to comply with Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOP), when defining the Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA), does not necessarily meet the requirement of ASOP 44 Selection and Use of Asset Valuation Methods for Pension Valuations. The AVA provided within this report follow the Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law and the PMRS policy statement.

MONTOUR TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN  
REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

**The Honorable Tom W. Wolf**  
Governor  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

**Ms. Lori Ebright**  
Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

**Ms. Linda Woodward**  
Vice Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

**Mr. Mike Hinkle**  
Township Supervisor

**Ms. Cynthia Cranmer, CPA**  
Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at [www.PaAuditor.gov](http://www.PaAuditor.gov). Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: [news@PaAuditor.gov](mailto:news@PaAuditor.gov).