COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Raccoon Township Police Pension Plan

Beaver County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017

April 2019



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors Raccoon Township Beaver County Aliquippa, PA 15001

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Raccoon Township Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior audit report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

• We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.

- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for the lone plan member who retired during the current audit period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to the retired individual and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to the recipient.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2013, January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2014, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- We determined whether the terms of the plan's unallocated insurance contract, including ownership and any restrictions, were in compliance with plan provisions, investment policies, and state regulations by comparing the terms of the contract with the plan's provisions, investment policies, and state regulations.

Township officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Raccoon Township Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the township's internal controls as they relate to the township's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objectives, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally and as previously described, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures, and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Raccoon Township Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit

The Finding contained in this audit report repeats a condition that was cited in our previous audit report that has not been corrected by township officials. We are concerned by the township's failure to correct this previously reported audit finding and strongly encourage timely implementation of the recommendation noted in this audit report.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it. However, we are extremely concerned about the funded status of the plan contained in the schedule of funding progress included in this report, which indicates the plan's funded ratio is 55.8% as of January 1, 2017, which is the most recent data available. We encourage township officials to monitor the funding of the non-uniformed pension plan to ensure its long-term financial stability.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Raccoon Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

April 2, 2019

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugraf: O-Pagur

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	Page
Background	1
Status of Prior Finding	3
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit	4
Supplementary Information	7
Report Distribution List	14

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Raccoon Township Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the former Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The Raccoon Township Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 93-1, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the township and its police officers. The plan was established September 9, 1974. Active members are required to contribute 5 percent of compensation to the plan, however the contribution rate was reduced to 3% via annual resolutions. As of December 31, 2017, the plan had 4 active members, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 2 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

BACKGROUND – (Continued)

As of December 31, 2017, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

Eligibility Requirements:

Normal Retirement Age 55 and 25 years of service (1 current police officer may retire

under the provisions of Ordinance No. 90-1 at age 65 and 20 years

of service).

Early Retirement Eligible with 20 or more years of service.

Vesting A member is 100% vested after 12 years of service.

Retirement Benefit:

Benefit equals 50% of participant's last 36 months average monthly compensation. The pension shall be offset by 30% of the Primary Social Security amount available to the participant at the age of 65.

Survivor Benefit:

Before Retirement Eligibility Refund of member contributions plus interest.

After Retirement Eligibility A monthly benefit equal to 50% of the pension the

member was receiving or was entitled to receive on the day of the member's death. If no spouse, or if spouse subsequently dies, the benefit shall apply to children under age 18, or if attending college, under or

attaining 23.

Service Related Disability Benefit:

Benefit equals 50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, offset by Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.

RACCOON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Raccoon Township has not complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following as further discussed in the Finding and Recommendation section of this report:

· Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit

RACCOON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

<u>Finding – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit</u>

<u>Condition</u>: As disclosed in our prior audit report, Raccoon Township maintains a police pension plan governed by the provisions of Act 600, as amended. Prior to the adoption of Act 51 of 2009, Act 600 contained a mandatory killed in service benefit provision; however, Act 51 specifically repealed the section of Act 600 that referenced the mandatory killed in service benefit.

Section 1 D of Ordinance No. 02-6 states:

The surviving spouse of a member of the police force who is killed in service, or if no spouse survives or if he or she survives and subsequently dies, the child or children under the age of 18 years or if attending college, under or attaining the age of 23 years, of the member of the police force shall be entitled to receive a pension calculated at 100 per centum of the member's salary at the time of death.

Criteria: Section 1(a) of Act 51 of 2009 states, in part:

In the event a law enforcement officer, ambulance service or rescue squad member, firefighter, certified hazardous material response team member of the National Guard dies as a result of the performance of his duties, such political subdivision, Commonwealth agency or, in the case of National Guard members, the Adjutant General, or, in the case of a member of a Commonwealth law enforcement agency, the authorized survivor of the agency head, within 90 days from the date of death, shall submit certification of such death to the Commonwealth.

RACCOON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding – (Continued)

In addition, Section 1 (d) of Act 51 of 2009 states, in part:

...the Commonwealth shall, from moneys payable out of the General Fund, pay to the surviving spouse or, if there is no surviving spouse, to the minor children of the paid firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer who died as a result of the performance of his duty the sum of \$100,000, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, and an amount equal to the monthly salary, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, of the deceased paid firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer, less any workers' compensation or pension or retirement benefits paid to such survivors, and shall continue such monthly payments until there is no eligible beneficiary to receive them. For purpose of this subsection, the term "eligible beneficiary" means the surviving spouse or the child or children under the age of eighteen years or, if attending college, under the age of twentythree years, of the firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member of law enforcement officer who died as a result of the performance of his duty. When no spouse or minor children survive, a single sum of \$100,000, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, shall be paid to the parent or parents of such firefighter, ambulance service member, rescue squad member or law enforcement officer. (Emphasis added.)

Furthermore, Section 2 of Act 51 of 2009 states:

Repeals are as follows:

- (1) The General Assembly declares that the repeals under paragraph (2) are necessary to effectuate the amendment of section 1 of the act.
- (2) The following parts of acts are repealed:
 - (i) Section 5(e)(2) of the act of May 29, 1956 (1955 P.L.1804, No. 600), referred to as the Municipal Police Pension Law.
 - (ii) Section 202(b)(3)(vi) and (4)(vi) of the act of December 18, 1984 (P.L.1005, No. 205), known as the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act.

Therefore, since Act 51 specifically repealed the killed in service provision of Act 600 and the funding provisions for the killed in service benefit that were contained in Act 205, the provision of a killed in service benefit is no longer authorized.

RACCOON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

<u>Finding – (Continued)</u>

<u>Cause</u>: On June 6, 2017, plan officials attempted to amend Ordinance No. 02-6 to eliminate the killed-in-service benefit via Resolution No. R-9-2017. However, the Pennsylvania Superior Court, in <u>Wynne v. Lower Merion Township</u>, 181 Pa. Superior Ct., 524, held that an ordinance may be amended only by another ordinance and not by a resolution.

<u>Effect</u>: Since Section 1 of Act 51 provides that the Commonwealth is obligated to pay the killed in service benefit less any pension or retirement benefits paid to eligible survivors, the continued provision of a killed in service benefit could result in the pension plan obligated to pay a benefit that is no longer authorized by Act 600 and would have been paid entirely by the Commonwealth absent such provision.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that the municipality review the plan's killed in service benefit with its solicitor in conjunction with Act 51 of 2009 and eliminate this unauthorized benefit provision at its earliest opportunity to do so.

<u>Management Response</u>: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception and, in conjunction with their solicitor, are working to draft and adopt an amending ordinance to eliminate the killed in service provision from their plan document.

<u>Auditor Conclusion</u>: Considering the plan's funding status, we urge township officials to comply with the finding recommendation at is earliest opportunity to do so, especially in light of the fact that the Commonwealth has assumed the responsibility of paying the mandated killed in service benefit.

Additionally, based on the management response, it appears municipal officials intend to comply with the finding recommendation. Compliance will be subject to verification through our next audit.

The supplementary information contained on Pages 7 through 9 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2015

T. ID. ' I'I''		2014		<u>2015</u>
Total Pension Liability	Φ	10.070	Ф	10.026
Service cost	\$	10,079	\$	10,836
Interest		56,143		58,740
Change of benefit terms		-		45,529
Difference between expected and actual experience		-		(40,727)
Changes of assumptions		-		31,907
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(22,078)		(22.454)
		44,144		(22,454) 83,831
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		702,744		
Total Pension Liability – Beginning	Ф.		Ф.	746,888
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	746,888	\$	830,719
Plan Fiduciary Net Position				
Contributions – State Aid	\$	30,981	\$	31,367
Contributions – employer	Ψ	25,563	Ψ	29,683
Contributions – member		5,378		5,799
Net investment income		7,709		(16,374)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		7,705		(10,571)
contributions		(22,078)		(22,454)
Administrative expense		(1,400)		(3,000)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		46,153	-	25,021
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		389,351		435,504
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$	435,504	\$	460,525
Than I reactary 1 vet I osteron Eneming (b)	Ψ	133,301	Ψ	100,525
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	311,384	\$	370,194
Die File im N. De it in a December of the Table				
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension		50.210/		55 440/
Liability		58.31%		55.44%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	179,375	\$	180,084
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee				
Payroll		173.59%		205.57%

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2017

		<u>2016</u>		<u>2017</u>
Total Pension Liability Service cost Interest	\$	11,324 62,310	\$	20,597 70,341
Difference between expected and actual experience		-		26,089
Changes of assumptions		-		30,455
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(22,499)		(42,232)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		51,135		105,250
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		830,719		881,854
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	881,854	\$	987,104
Plan Fiduciary Net Position	¢.	26.042	¢	26.706
Contributions – State Aid	\$	36,843	\$	36,706
Contributions – employer Contributions – member		24,674 6,565		33,528 6,887
Net investment income		19,706		67,390
Benefit payments, including refunds of member		17,700		07,370
contributions		(22,499)		(42,232)
Administrative expense		(1,800)		(3,200)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		63,489		99,079
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		460,525		524,014
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$	524,014	\$	623,093
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	357,840	\$	364,011
1vet I chision Liability – Eliding (a-b)	Ψ	337,040	Ψ	304,011
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total		50.400/		(2.100/
Pension Liability		59.42%		63.12%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	197,988	\$	209,302
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		180.74%		173.92%

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the township as of December 31, 2015, 2016 and 2017, calculated using the discount rate of 7.50%, as well as what the township's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (6.50%)		Current Discount Rate (7.50%)		1% Increase (8.50%)	
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/15	\$	458,063	\$	370,194	\$	295,134
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/16	\$	446,399	\$	357,840	\$	282,133
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/17	\$	473,522	\$	364,011	\$	270,642

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURN

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2017	13.01%
2016	4.27%
2015	(3.78%)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 309,634	\$ 662,140	\$ 352,506	46.8%
01-01-15	435,504	783,597	348,093	55.6%
01-01-17	524,014	938,398	414,384	55.8%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2012	\$ 48,714	100.0%
2013	56,097	100.0%
2014	56,544	100.0%
2015	61,050	100.0%
2016	61,517	100.0%
2017	70,234	100.0%

RACCOON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal.

Amortization method Level dollar.

Remaining amortization period 7 years

Asset valuation method Market value.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 7.5%

Projected salary increases 4.5%

Cost-of-living adjustments 3.0%

RACCOON TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Mr. Daniel C. CainChairman, Board of Township Supervisors

Mr. Edward Malloy
Supervisor

Mr. Thomas MarshallSupervisor

Ms. Daisy R. Stone Township Secretary

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.