COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Sayre Borough Police Pension Plan

Bradford County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020

September 2021



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Sayre Borough Bradford County Sayre, PA 18840

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Sayre Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- · We determined that there were no employee contributions required for the years covered by our audit period due to the fact that employee contributions were appropriately waived by the municipality.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for the plan member who retired during the current audit period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws, and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefits due to the retired individual and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to the recipient.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2017 and January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2018 and 2020, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- We determined whether the terms of the plan's unallocated insurance contract, including ownership and any restrictions, were in compliance with plan provisions, investment policies, and state regulations by comparing the terms of the contract with the plan's provisions, investment policies, and state regulations.
- · We determined whether provisions of the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) were in accordance with the provisions of Act 205 by examining provisions stated in the plan's governing documents.

Sayre Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Sayre Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials, and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Sayre Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1 - Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation - Inconsistent Pension Benefits

Finding No. 2 — Failure To Properly Determine And Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

Finding No. 1 contained in this audit report repeat a condition that was cited in our previous audit report that has not been corrected by borough officials. We are concerned by the borough's failure to correct this previously reported audit finding and strongly encourage timely implementation of the recommendations noted in this audit report.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Sayre Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General

Timothy L. Detool

August 17, 2021

CONTENTS

	Page
Background	1
Status of Prior Finding	2
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Pension Benefits	3
Finding No. 2 – Failure To Properly Determine And Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan	5
Supplementary Information	7
Report Distribution List	11

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Sayre Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The Sayre Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 607, as amended, adopted pursuant to 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers. The plan was established August 26, 1954. Active members are required to contribute not less than 5 percent nor more than 8 percent of monthly compensation to the plan, however, member contributions were waived during the audit period. As of December 31, 2020, the plan had 10 active members, 1 terminated member eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 9 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

SAYRE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation

Sayre Borough has not complied with the prior audit recommendation concerning the following as further discussed in the Finding and Recommendation section of this report:

· Inconsistent Pension Benefits

<u>Finding No. 1 – Noncompliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Inconsistent Pension Benefits</u>

Condition: As previously disclosed in our prior four audit reports, the pension plan's governing document, Ordinance No. 607, as amended, contains benefit provisions that conflict with the collective bargaining agreement (CBA) between the police officers and the borough. In addition, the retirement benefit provision contained in the CBA is not in compliance with Act 600. The inconsistencies are as follows:

Benefit Provision	Governing Document	Collective Bargaining Agreement
Normal retirement	Every participant in the pension fund may retire from active duty, provided that he/she has completed 25 years of service in the aggregate with the employer and that he/she has attained the age of 50 years.	Participants who have twenty (20) years of service and have reached their fiftieth birthday may retire and receive their retirement benefit in accordance with Act 600. (Auditor's Note: The CBA does not contain separate provisions for normal and early retirement.)
Early retirement	A partial superannuation retirement benefit provided to members of the police force with twenty (20) or more years of service.	Participants who have twenty (20) years of service and have reached their fiftieth birthday may retire and receive their retirement benefit in accordance with Act 600.
Service-related disability benefit	Monthly permanent disability pension payments for service connected disability shall be in an amount equal to seventy-five percent (75%) of the participant's monthly compensation, based on the participant's last thirty-six (36) months of compensation. Any Member of the police force on or after April 17, 2002 who suffers a permanent injury incurred in service shall receive a pension calculated at a rate of no less than 50 per centum of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred reduced by the amount of Social Security benefits paid for the same injury.	The monthly permanent disability pension payments to service-connected disabilities shall be in an amount equal to seventy-five percent (75%) of the participant's monthly compensation, based on the participant's last thirty-six (36) months of compensation.

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

In addition, the actuarial valuation report form type C for the police pension plan with valuation dates of January 1, 2017 and January 1, 2019, submitted to the Municipal Pension Reporting Program (formerly the Public Employee Retirement Commission), reported the normal retirement and early retirement benefit provisions included in the governing document, and reported the disability benefit provision included in the CBA.

Criteria: Act 600 at Section 3 states, in part:

Each ordinance or resolution establishing a police pension fund shall prescribe a minimum period of total service in the aggregate of twenty-five years in the same borough, town, township or regional police department and shall fix the age of the members of the force at fifty-five years, or, if an actuarial study of the cost shows that such reduction in age is feasible, may fix the age of the members of the force at fifty years....

In addition, Act 600 at Section 5(i) states, in part:

The ordinance or resolution establishing the police pension fund may provide for an early retirement benefit. The early retirement benefit shall be provided to a member of the police force with twenty or more years of service who terminates employment prior to the completion of superannuation retirement age and service requirements and who files a written application for an early retirement benefit with the governing body of the municipality or regional police department. The early retirement benefit shall become effective as of the date the application is filed with the governing body or the date designated on the application, whichever is later, and shall be the actuarial equivalent of a partial superannuation retirement benefit. . . .

Furthermore, Act 600 at Section 5(e)(i) states:

In the case of the payment of pensions for permanent injuries incurred in service, the amount and commencement of the payments shall be fixed by regulations of the governing body of the borough, town, township or regional police department and shall be calculated at a rate no less than fifty per centum of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, provided that any member who receives benefits for the same injuries under the Social Security Act (49 Stat. 620, 42 U.S.C. § 301 et. seq.) shall have his disability benefits offset or reduced by the amount of such benefits.

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials were unable to collectively bargain all of the inconsistent provisions to ensure the CBA and the plan's governing document contain consistent benefit provisions that are in compliance with Act 600.

<u>Effect</u>: Inconsistent plan documents could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We again recommend that municipal officials take appropriate action to ensure the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement contain consistent benefit provisions, which are in compliance with Act 600, at their earliest opportunity to do so.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Municipal officials indicated that the borough and its pension administrator will respond upon the release of the audit report.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

Finding No. 2 – Failure To Properly Determine And Fully Pay The Minimum Municipal Obligation Of The Plan

<u>Condition</u>: Plan officials did not properly determine the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) of the police pension plan for the year 2020, as required by Act 205, and, as a result, did not fully pay the MMO. Based upon an estimate prepared by this department, the municipality had an unpaid MMO balance of \$6,289 for the year 2020.

Criteria: With regard to the MMO, Section 302(c) of Act 205 states, in part:

Annually, the chief administrative officer of the pension plan shall determine the minimum obligation of the municipality with respect to the pension plan for the following plan year.

Section 302(d) of Act 205 states, in part:

The minimum obligation of the municipality shall be payable to the pension plan from the revenue of the municipality.

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

Furthermore, Section 302(e) of Act 205 states:

Any amount of the minimum obligation of the municipality which remains unpaid as of December 31 of the year in which the minimum obligation is due shall be added to the minimum obligation of the municipality for the following year, with interest from January 1 of the year in which the minimum obligation was first due until the date the payment is paid at a rate equal to the interest assumption used for the actuarial valuation report or the discount rate applicable to treasury bills issued by the Department of Treasury of the United States with a six-month maturity as of the last business day in December of the plan year in which the obligation was due, whichever is greater, expressed as a monthly rate and compounded monthly.

<u>Cause</u>: A mathematical error was made in the calculation of the 2020 MMO. Plan officials indicated that the calculation was reviewed by the borough and plan consultant and the error was unnoticed by both parties. While the original MMO was paid in full, the correction of the mathematical error results in a higher MMO.

<u>Effect</u>: The proper determination of the plan's MMO ensures plan officials can properly allocate the necessary resources to the pension plan for the upcoming year. The failure to fully pay the MMO could result in the plan not having adequate resources to meet current and future benefit obligations to its members.

Due to the municipality's failure to fully pay the 2020 MMO by the December 31, 2020, deadline, the municipality must add the 2020 MMO balance to the current year's MMO and include interest, as required by Act 205.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality pay the MMO due to the police pension plan for the year 2020, with interest, in accordance with Section 302(e) of Act 205. A copy of the interest calculation must be maintained by the borough for examination during our next audit of the plan.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

SAYRE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2015, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-15	\$ 2,957,882	\$ 3,692,122	\$ 734,240	80.1%
01-01-17	3,053,325	4,158,451	1,105,126	73.4%
01-01-19	3,215,672	4,465,055	1,249,383	72.0%

SAYRE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

SAYRE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2015	\$ 143,333	100.0%
2016	138,369	100.0%
2017	166,740	100.0%
2018	171,509	100.0%
2019	242,146	100.0%
2020	249,706	97.5%

Note: The 2020 contribution deficiency is addressed in Finding No. 2 in this audit report.

SAYRE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2019

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 9 years

Asset valuation method Fair value

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 7.75%

Projected salary increases 4.75%

Cost-of-living adjustments 3.00%

SAYRE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Henry Farley Mayor

Mr. James Daly
Council President

Mr. David JarrettBorough Manager

Ms. Elizabeth Fice Finance Director

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.