## LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

# Scott Township Police Pension Plan

Columbia County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2018

January 2020



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors Scott Township Columbia County Bloomsburg, PA 17815

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Scott Township Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2018 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

#### Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired during the engagement period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to recipients.

• Whether the January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Scott Township Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The township should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Scott Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

January 10, 2020

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugrafi O-Pasyer

**Auditor General** 

#### CONTENTS

<u>Pa</u>	ge
Supplementary Information	. 1
Report Distribution List	. 7

The supplementary information contained on Pages 1 through 3 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

#### SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014, 2015, 2016, AND 2017

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>		<u>2016</u>		<u>2017</u>
Total Pension Liability	_		_		_		_	
Service cost	\$	72,508	\$	61,570	\$	49,067	\$	56,191
Interest		101,970		92,098		91,354		107,944
Difference between expected and actual experience		(269,256)		- (00.555)		229,298		-
Changes of assumptions		-		(80,775)		71,289		-
Transfers		- (50, 450)		(42,251)		-		- (50, 410)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(53,459)		(52,382)		(52,640)		(53,419)
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		(148,237)		(21,740)		388,368		110,716
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		1,807,861		1,659,624		1,637,884		2,026,252
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	1,659,624	\$	1,637,884	\$	2,026,252	\$	2,136,968
DI E'I ' NI (D. '/'								
Plan Fiduciary Net Position	Ф	47.500	¢	62 147	Ф	54.456	¢.	46.053
Contributions – employer	\$	47,592	\$	63,147	\$	54,456	\$	46,952
Contributions – PMRS assessment		101.155		- (5.60)		40		20
Net investment income		101,155		(568)		128,303		351,262
Transfers		(52.450)		(42,251)		- (50 (40)		(52,410)
Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions		(53,459)		(52,382)		(52,640)		(53,419)
Administrative expense		(4,032)		(4,590)		(5,594)		(5,241)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		91,256		(36,644)		124,565		339,574
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		1,816,693		1,907,949		1,871,305		1,995,870
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$	1,907,949	\$	1,871,305	\$	1,995,870	\$	2,335,444
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	(248,325)	\$	(233,421)	\$	30,382	\$	(198,476)
Net I elision Elability – Eliding (a-b)	Þ	(246,323)	Φ	(233,421)	Φ	30,382	Φ	(198,470)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability		114.96%		114.25%		98.50%		109.29%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	372,686	\$	307,566	\$	313,987	\$	350,245
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		(66.63%)		(75.89%)		9.68%		(56.67%)

#### Sensitivity Of The Net Pension Liability To Changes In The Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the township as of December 31, 2014 and 2015, calculated using the discount rate of 5.50%, as well as what the township's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	1% Decrease (4.50%)		Dis	Current scount Rate (5.50%)	1% Increase (6.50%)	
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/14	\$	15,915	\$	(248,325)	\$	(465,601)
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/15	\$	37,394	\$	(233,421)	\$	(455,367)

In addition, the following presents the net pension liability of the township as of December 31, 2016 and 2017, calculated using the discount rate of 5.25%, as well as what the township's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Decrease (4.25%)	Dis	Current Discount Rate (5.25%)		1% Increase (6.25%)	
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/16	\$ 353,832	\$	30,382	\$	(235,130)	
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/17	\$ 142,647	\$	(198,476)	\$	(478,496)	

#### SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Year Ended December 31	De	tuarially termined ntribution	Actual tributions	De	ntribution eficiency Excess)	Covered- Employee Payroll	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll
December 31		itiloution	 illoutions		<u> </u>	1 dyloli	- 1 dy1011
2014	\$	38,532	\$ 47,592	\$	(9,060)	\$ 372,686	12.77%
2015		63,147	63,147		-	307,566	20.53%
2016		54,456	54,496		(40)	313,987	17.36%
2017		46,952	46,972		(20)	350,245	13.41%
2018		46,059	46,079		(20)	*	

<sup>\*</sup> Due to the timing of this engagement, covered-employee payroll for 2018 was not provided in this schedule.

#### SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 1,709,865	\$ 1,645,595	\$ (64,270)	103.9%
01-01-15	1,946,782	1,659,624	(287,158)	117.3%
01-01-17	2,124,286	2,026,252	(98,034)	104.8%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

#### SCOTT TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method The Actuarial Value of Assets equals the plan's

member, municipal, DROP (if applicable) reserve accounts plus the retiree actuarial liability. This asset smoothing is based on the unique legislative structure of PMRS and the administrative rules adopted by the PMRS Board in conjunction with Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law, all of which are subject to comply with the Actuarial Standards of Practice No. 44, Selection and Use of Asset Valuation Methods when defining the actuarial

Value of Assets.

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 5.25% compounded annually, net of investment

and administration expenses.

Salary scale Total rate (including inflation) (e.g. age 25 –

7.05%; age 35 - 4.55%; age 45 - 3.97%;

age 55 - 3.44%; age 65 - 2.80%).

Cost-of-living adjustments 2.8% per year, subject to plan limitations.

### SCOTT TOWNSHIP POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

**Mr. William G. Hafner** Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

Ms. Brittany M. Stasik Secretary/Treasurer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="www.PaAuditor.gov">www.PaAuditor.gov</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.