

COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Slate Belt Regional Police Pension Plan Northampton County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2018, to December 31, 2021

March 2023



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



**Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov**

**TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR
AUDITOR GENERAL**

Slate Belt Regional Police Commission
Northampton County
Nazareth, PA 18064

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Slate Belt Regional Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2018, to December 31, 2021. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the findings contained in our prior report; and
2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who elected to vest during the current audit represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws, and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefits due to the retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and payable to the recipients.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2017, January 1, 2019, and January 1, 2021 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2018, 2020, and 2022, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.


Regional commission officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Slate Belt Regional Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials, and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Slate Belt Regional Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Failure To Implement Mandatory Act 44 Procedures For The
Procurement Of Professional Services

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of the Slate Belt Regional Police Department and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank regional officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Timothy L. DeFoor". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long horizontal line extending to the left of the first letter.

Timothy L. DeFoor
Auditor General
February 9, 2023

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background.....	1
Status of Prior Findings	2
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Failure to Implement Mandatory Act 44 Procedures For The Procurement Of Professional Services	3
Supplementary Information	5
Report Distribution List	9

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Slate Belt Regional Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The Slate Belt Regional Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Resolution No. 2018-08. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the commission and its police officers. The plan was established September 10, 2014. Active members are required to contribute 4 percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2021, the plan had 19 active members, 7 terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 16 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

SLATE BELT REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
STATUS OF PRIOR FINDINGS

Compliance With Prior Recommendations

The Slate Belt Regional Police Commission has complied with the prior recommendations concerning the following:

- Plan Document Not Adopted By Ordinance/Resolution

During the current audit period, the commission adopted Resolution 2018-08 which adopted the plan document.

- Failure To Provide A Survivor Benefit In Accordance With Act 600 Provisions

During the current audit period, the commission adopted Resolution 2018-08 which adopted a new plan document containing a proper survivor benefit.

- Failure To Appoint A Chief Administrator Officer

During the current audit period, the commission adopted Resolution No. 2020-03 appointing a chief administrative officer of the pension plan.

SLATE BELT REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding – Failure To Implement Mandatory Act 44 Procedures For The Procurement Of Professional Services

Condition: As disclosed to regional commission officials as a verbal observation during the prior engagement, commission officials failed to adopt the mandatory provisions of Act 205, as amended by Act 44, regarding professional services contracts.

Criteria: Section 701-A of Act 205, as amended by Act 44 of 2009, defines a “Professional Services Contract”, as follows:

“Professional services contract.” A contract to which the municipal pension system is a party that is:

- (1) for the purchase or provision of professional services, including investment services, legal services, real estate services and other consulting services; and
- (2) not subject to a requirement that the lowest bid be accepted.

In addition, Section 702-A (a) of Act 205 states, in part:

Each municipal pension system ... shall develop procedures to select the most qualified person to enter into a professional services contract. The procedures shall ensure that the availability of a professional services contract is advertised to potential participants in a timely and efficient manner. Procedures shall include applications and disclosure forms to be used to submit a proposal for review and to receive the award of a professional services contract.

Additionally, Section 702-A (c), (e), (f) and (h) state, in part:

Review. Procedures to select the most qualified person shall include a review of the person’s qualifications, experience and expertise and the compensation to be charged.

Conflict of interest. The municipal pension system shall adopt policies relating to potential conflicts of interest in the review of a proposal or the negotiation of a contract.

Public information. Following the award of a professional services contract, all applications and disclosure forms shall be public except for proprietary information or other information protected by law.

SLATE BELT REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding – (Continued)

Notice and summary. The relevant factors that resulted in the award of the professional services contract must be summarized in a written statement to be included in or attached to the documents awarding the contract. Within ten days of the award of the professional services contract, the original application, a summary of the basis for the award and all required disclosure forms must be transmitted to all unsuccessful applications and posted on the municipal pension system’s Internet website, if an Internet website is maintained, at least seven days prior to the execution of the professional services contract.

Cause: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal controls procedures to ensure compliance with provisions of Act 205 as previously recommended.

Effect: The commission’s failure to comply with the previous recommendation and the provisions stipulated in Act 205 regarding the procurement of professional investment and advisory services for the pension plan could result in a general lack of overall transparency of the actions taken by plan officials relative to the awarding of investment and advisory services contracts for the pension plan.

Recommendation: We recommend that regional commission officials obtain a comprehensive understanding of Act 205 provisions for the procurement of professional services and develop and implement formal written procedures to ensure compliance with these provisions while maintaining appropriate and sufficient supporting documentation evidencing every phase of the process in the awarding of future professional services contracts for the pension plan, including authorizations/approvals of commission officials in the official minutes of formal board meetings and the required notifications and disclosure responsibilities to ensure the transparency of the actions taken by plan officials relative to the awarding of such professional services contracts.

Management Response: Commission officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor Conclusion: Compliance will be evaluated during our next engagement of the plan.

SLATE BELT REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan’s funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2017, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age (b)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)
01-01-17	\$ 5,028,613	\$ 6,331,189	\$ 1,302,576	79.4%
01-01-19	6,039,112	6,556,112	517,000	92.1%
01-01-21	7,616,991	7,459,490	(157,501)	102.1%

Note: The market value of the plan’s assets at 01-01-21 has been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 5-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

SLATE BELT REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
(UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

SLATE BELT REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER
 AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2016	\$ 178,689	108.1%
2017	183,392	100.0%
2018	314,320	80.5%*
2019	312,064	100.0%
2020	254,191	100.0%
2021	250,708	100.0%

* \$253,146 was deposited to the plan on October 11, 2018 to satisfy the 2018 minimum municipal obligation of \$314,320. The remaining \$61,174 was considered to have been met through an amortization of the \$797,096 deposited to the plan January 26, 2018.

SLATE BELT REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES
(UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2021
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	Not applicable
Remaining amortization period	None
Asset valuation method	Fair value – 5 year smoothing
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	6.75%
Projected salary increases	4.00%

SLATE BELT REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Joshua D. Shapiro

Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Chief Jonathon Hoadley

Chief Administrative Officer, Chief of Police

Mr. Joseph LeDonne

Chairman, Slate Belt Regional Police Commission

Ms. Pat Stonaker

Administrative Assistant

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.