COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Sugar Grove Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan

Warren County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020

March 2022



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

Board of Township Supervisors Sugar Grove Township Warren County Sugar Grove, PA 16350

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Sugar Grove Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2017 to December 31, 2020. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

The objectives of the audit were:

- 1. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior report; and
- 2. To determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objectives identified above. To determine if municipal officials took appropriate corrective action to address the finding contained in our prior report, we inquired of plan officials and evaluated supporting documentation provided by officials evidencing that the suggested corrective action has been appropriately taken. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- · We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined that there were no employee contributions required by the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations for the years covered by our audit period.
- · We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for the plan member who retired and for the plan member that elected to vest during the current audit period, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws, and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefits due to the retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid and/or payable to the recipients.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2017 and January 1, 2019 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2018 and 2020, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

The Sugar Grove Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan participates in the Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System (PMRS), which is an agent multiple-employer public employee retirement system that acts as a common investment and administrative agent for participating municipal pension plans. PMRS issues a separate Annual Comprehensive Financial Report, copies of which are available from the PMRS accounting office. PMRS's financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Township officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Sugar Grove Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials, and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objectives.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Sugar Grove Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

- Finding No. 1 Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation Receipt Of State Aid In Excess Of Entitlement
- Finding No. 2 Incorrect Data Certified On Actuarial Valuation Report Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid
- Finding No. 3 Inadequate Accounting/Reporting Over Activity Of The Pension Plan

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Sugar Grove Township and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank township officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General

Timothy L. Detool

February 23, 2022

CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>
Background1
Status of Prior Finding
Findings and Recommendations:
Finding No. 1 – Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Receipt Of State Aid In Excess Of Entitlement
Finding No. 2 – Incorrect Data Certified On Actuarial Valuation Report Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid
Finding No. 3 – Inadequate Accounting/Reporting Over Activity Of The Pension Plan7
Supplementary Information9
Report Distribution List

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Sugar Grove Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 15 - Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement Law, Act of February 1, 1974 (P.L. 34, No. 15), as amended, 53 P.S. § 881.101 et seq.

The Sugar Grove Township Non-Uniformed Pension Plan is a single-employer cash balance pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 15-03-17, as amended, and a separately executed plan agreement with the plan's custodian, adopted pursuant to Act 15. The plan was established January 3, 1983. Active members are not required to contribute to the plan. The municipality is required to contribute 7.5 percent of compensation. As of December 31, 2020, the plan had 4 active members, 1 terminated member eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 3 retirees receiving pension benefits.

SUGAR GROVE TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN STATUS OF PRIOR FINDING

Partial Compliance With Prior Recommendation

Sugar Grove Township has partially complied with the prior recommendation concerning the following:

· Receipt Of State Aid In Excess Of Entitlement

The township reimbursed \$12,014 to the Commonwealth for the excess state aid received in 2015 and 2016; however, plan officials again failed to reconcile the township's annual state aid allocations, along with available plan forfeitures, with the plan's annual full-time pension costs in 2017 and 2019, as further discussed in the Findings and Recommendations section of this report.

<u>Finding No. 1 – Partial Compliance With Prior Audit Recommendation – Receipt Of State</u> <u>Aid In Excess Of Entitlement</u>

Condition: As disclosed in the Status of Prior Finding section of this report, the township partially complied with the prior recommendation by reimbursing the Commonwealth for the excess state aid received in 2015 and 2016. However, a similar condition occurred during the current audit period. The township again failed to reconcile the township's annual state aid allocation, along with available plan forfeitures, with the plan's annual pension costs for the year 2017 and received state aid in excess of the pension plan's annual pension costs for its full-time plan members in the year 2019, as illustrated below:

	<u>2017</u>	<u>2019</u>		
State aid allocation	\$ 8,880	\$	8,478	
Forfeitures available towards pension costs of full-time plan members	3,328		-	
Less: Municipal pension costs for full-time plan members	 (6,564)		(7,200)	
Excess state aid	\$ 5,644	\$	1,278	

Criteria: Section 402(f)(2) of Act 205 states:

No municipality shall be entitled to receive an allocation of general municipal pension system State aid in an amount which exceeds the aggregate actual financial requirements of any municipal pension plans for police officers, paid firefighters or employees other than police officers or paid firefighters maintained by the municipality, less the amount of any aggregate annual member or employee contributions during the next succeeding plan year, as reported in the most recent complete actuarial report filed with the commission.

In addition, Section 402(d) of Act 205 states, in part:

Eligible recipients of general municipal pension system State aid. Any county of the second class which, prior to the effective date of this chapter, received allocations for its police pension fund pursuant to the act of May 12, 1943 (P.L. 259, No. 120), or any city, borough, incorporated town or township or any home rule municipality formerly classified as a city, borough, incorporated town or township which employs one or more full-time municipal employees. . . .

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

Furthermore, Section 402(e)(2) of Act 205 states, in part:

The applicable number of units shall be attributable to each active employee who was employed on a full-time basis for a minimum of six consecutive months. . . .

Therefore, Act 205 state aid funding may only be used to fund the pension costs attributable to full-time employees.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials again failed to properly apply plan forfeitures available in 2017, and reconcile the township's allocation of state aid to the plan's annual pension costs attributable to its full-time plan members in 2017 and 2019.

<u>Effect</u>: It is this department's opinion that since the entire proceeds of the insurance premium tax on foreign casualty insurance companies are distributed annually to each eligible recipient municipality, it is inappropriate to use state aid in one year to offset pension costs in other years. Consequently, the overpayments of state aid in the years 2017 and 2019, in the total amount of \$6,922, must be returned to the Commonwealth for redistribution.

In addition, since the township used the overpayment of state aid to pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) due to the pension plan, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from the pension plan, the plan's MMO will not be fully paid.

Recommendation: We recommend that the municipality return the \$6,922 overpayment of state aid received in the years 2017 and 2019 to the Commonwealth. A check in this amount, with interest compounded annually from date of receipt to date of repayment, at a rate earned by the plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 321 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. A copy of the interest calculation must be submitted along with evidence of payment.

We also again recommend that, in the future, plan officials reconcile the township's annual state aid allocation, and any available employee forfeitures, with the plan's annual pension costs attributable to full-time members and reimburse any excess state aid received to the Commonwealth.

In addition, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from pension plan funds, we recommend that any resulting MMO deficiency be paid to the pension plan with interest, at a rate earned by the plan.

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: The township's compliance with the finding recommendation will be monitored subsequent to the release of the audit report and through our next audit of the pension plan.

<u>Finding No. 2 – Incorrect Data Certified On Actuarial Valuation Report Resulting In An</u> <u>Overpayment Of State Aid</u>

<u>Condition</u>: Actuarial valuation report Form Type A, with a valuation date of January 1, 2019, submitted to the Municipal Pension Reporting Program (MPRP), contained incorrect information. The township incorrectly reported an 8.0 percent municipal contribution rate when the actual contribution rate is 7.5 percent, accordingly.

Criteria: Section 201(d) of Act 205 states:

Responsibility for preparation and filing of reports and investigations. The actuarial valuation report or experience investigation required pursuant to subsection (a) shall be prepared under the supervision and at the discretion of the chief administrative officer of the municipality, who shall be responsible for the filing of the document. The actuarial valuation report or experience investigation shall be signed by the chief administrative officer, indicating that to the extent of the understanding and knowledge of the officer, the report or investigation represents a true and accurate portrayal of the actuarial, financial and demographic condition of the pension plan of the municipality.

<u>Cause</u>: Township officials indicated that the report was prepared by the plan custodian and they are uncertain as to the cause of the discrepancy in the data reported to MPRP on the actuarial valuation report for 2019.

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

<u>Effect</u>: Because the municipality's state aid allocations are determined, in part, by the information contained in the actuarial valuation report, the submission of the incorrect data resulted in the municipality receiving state aid allocations incorrectly based on a normal cost percentage of 8.0 percent (*instead of 7.5 percent*) for the years 2020 and 2021. As a result, the township received excess state aid, as follows:

Year	Normal Cost	Payroll		ate Aid itlement	ate Aid eceived	xcess ate Aid
2020	7.5%	\$	94,127	\$ 7,060	\$ 7,530	\$ 470
2021	7.5%	\$	116,011	8,701	9,281	 580
					Total	\$ 1,050

In addition, since the township used the overpayment of state aid to pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) due to the non-uniformed pension plan, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from the pension plan, the plan's MMO will not be fully paid.

Recommendation: We recommend that the total excess state aid, in the amount of \$1,050, be returned to the Commonwealth. A check in this amount, with interest compounded annually from date of receipt to date of repayment, at a rate earned by the pension plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 321 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. A copy of the interest calculation must be submitted along with evidence of payment.

We also recommend that in the future, plan officials review and verify all information included on future actuarial valuation reports so they properly reflect the status of the pension plan.

In addition, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from pension plan funds, we recommend that any resulting MMO deficiency be paid to the pension plan with interest, at a rate earned by the plan.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: The township's compliance with the finding recommendation will be monitored subsequent to the release of the audit report and through our next audit of the pension plan.

Finding No. 3 – Inadequate Accounting/Reporting Over Activity Of The Pension Plan

<u>Condition</u>: The municipality's accounting/reporting system did not provide effective control over the transactional activity of the police pension plan during the year 2020. Municipal officials were unable to furnish annual financial statements or custodial account statements summarizing the financial activity of its pension plan.

<u>Criteria</u>: An adequate system of accounting and record keeping is a prerequisite for sound administration of pension plans. In addition, assets held in a custodial account for the purpose of plan management are to be governed by the terms and provisions of the account contract, provided that the terms and provisions of the contract are within the parameters of all prevailing pension legislation. Although the municipality may contract with a trustee to administer the financial management of the plan, the fiduciary responsibility for the plan remains with the municipality.

<u>Cause</u>: Municipal officials did not maintain a separate detailed accounting of pension plan transactions which, among other things, helps assure the production of proper financial statements to effectively monitor the annual activity of the pension plan. Additionally, municipal officials indicated that the plan's custodian failed to provide copies of the custodial account transaction statements summarizing activity of the pension plan account for the year 2020.

<u>Effect</u>: Although we were able to obtain alternate documentation from the municipality to evidence the propriety of individual transactions tested during performance of the audit, the failure to maintain annual financial and/or account transaction statements prohibits municipal officials from effectively monitoring the plan's financial operations and could lead to undetected errors or improprieties in account transactions.

Recommendation: We recommend that municipal officials establish and maintain a financial accounting and reporting system that allows the municipality to effectively monitor the plan's financial operations, even in the absence of statements from the plan custodian. Municipal officials should refer to the Auditor General's Bulletin No. 2-88 entitled "Preparation, Maintenance and Auditability of Financial Records," for further guidance in establishing adequate accounting and record-keeping procedures. In addition, we recommend that municipal officials contact the plan custodian and obtain annual financial statements of the custodial account for its pension plan for the year 2020 to ensure the accuracy and propriety of the transaction activity.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Finding No. 3 – (Continued)

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: It was noted that the plan custodian recently went through a substantial upgrade to the plan administration software and implemented a new accounting system. The modernization process, along with the COVID-19 pandemic, resulted in unforeseen delays in the year-end reporting process for financial statements and GASB 68 reports. In addition, the custodian has taken active measures to resolve these issues and anticipates distribution of the 2020 reports and statements for their plans the last week of March and completion of the 2020 financial reporting this summer. Finally, the custodian expects 2021 financial reports to be distributed before the end of 2022. Compliance with the finding recommendation will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

SUGAR GROVE TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Year Ended	Re	ntutorily equired atribution		cributions elation to	De	ntribution eficiency	Covered- Employee	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee
December 31	(5	SRC)*	the	e SRC*	(Excess)**		Payroll***	Payroll
2014	\$	9,380	\$	9,380	\$	-	\$ 123,196	7.6%
2015		9,356		4,996		4,360	122,878	4.1%
2016		10,543		-		10,543	138,986	0.0%
2017		8,040		5,429		2,611	105,601	5.1%
2018		10,035		9,312		723	132,202	7.0%
2019		8,613		9,336		(723)	112,964	8.3%
2020		9,824		9,824		-		

^{*} The Statutorily Required Contribution (SRC) is a contribution amount based upon the payroll and the contribution rate as outlined under the terms of the cash balance pension plan.

^{**} The Statutorily Required Contribution and the actual Contribution were provided by the custodian. Deviation between these amounts may be due to contributions to or transfers from the municipal reserve account. The 2017 deficiency is a non-cash contribution to the pension from the municipal reserve plus a \$20 administrative expense. The deficiency in 2018 is attributable to cash accounting, which results in an excess contribution in 2019.

^{***} Due to the timing of this audit, covered-employee payroll for 2020 was not provided in this schedule.

SUGAR GROVE TOWNSHIP NON-UNIFORMED PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Mr. Carl Black

Chairman, Board of Township Supervisors

Ms. Katherine C. Liffner
Secretary

Ms. Cynthia Cranmer, CPA

Pennsylvania Municipal Retirement System

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.