LIMITED PROCEDURES ENGAGEMENT

Sykesville Borough Police Pension Plan

Jefferson County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017

February 2019



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General





Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Sykesville Borough Jefferson County Sykesville, PA 15865

We conducted a Limited Procedures Engagement (LPE) of the Sykesville Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2017 to determine its compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The LPE was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.) but was not conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. The act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis to support our LPE results.

Our LPE was limited to determining the following:

- · Whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the engagement period.
- Whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- Whether annual employee contributions were required during the engagement period and, if so, were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the engagement period and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.

Whether the January 1, 2015 and January 1, 2017 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2016 and 2018, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Based on the results of our procedures performed during our LPE, nothing came to our attention indicating that the Sykesville Borough Police Pension Plan was not being administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our determination to perform a LPE for this engagement period does not preclude the Department from conducting an audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* of the pension plan in subsequent periods. The borough should continue to maintain documentation related to this pension plan.

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Sykesville Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in this report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of this LPE.

January 31, 2019

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE

Eugent O-Pager

Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Supplementary Information	1
Report Distribution List	8

The supplementary information contained on Pages 1 through 4 reflects the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans.

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014 AND 2015

		<u>2014</u>		<u>2015</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	9,648	\$	11,086
Interest		5,148		5,682
Difference between expected and actual experience		-		(2,812)
Changes of assumptions		-		10,686
Net Change in Total Pension Liability		14,796		24,642
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		79,875		94,671
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	94,671	\$	119,313
Plan Fiduciary Net Position				
Contributions – employer	\$	_	\$	2,851
Contributions – employee	Ψ	1,133	Ψ	1,250
Net investment income		6,213		2,244
Administrative expense		(6,364)		(7,403)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		982		(1,058)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		191,956		192,938
•	ф.		Φ.	
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)		192,938	\$	191,880
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	(98,267)	\$	(72,567)
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total				
Pension Liability		203.8%		160.8%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	32,383	\$	35,714
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		(303.5%)		(203.2%)
1 7 7		` /		` /

SCHEDULE OF CHANGES IN THE NET PENSION LIABILITY AND RELATED RATIOS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2016 AND 2017

		<u>2016</u>		<u>2017</u>
Total Pension Liability				
Service cost	\$	11,585	\$	11,250
Interest		6,545		6,950
Difference between expected and actual experience		-		(12,403)
Changes of assumptions		-		2,718
Net Change in Total Pension Liability	_	18,130	_	8,515
Total Pension Liability – Beginning		119,313		137,443
Total Pension Liability – Ending (a)	\$	137,443	\$	145,958
Plan Fiduciary Net Position				
Contributions – employer*	\$	10,984	\$	6,200
Contributions – employee	Ψ	1,122	Ψ	1,209
Net investment income		1,387		2,313
Administrative expense		(4,815)		(2,587)
Net Change in Plan Fiduciary Net Position		8,678		7,135
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Beginning		191,880		200,558
Plan Fiduciary Net Position – Ending (b)	\$	200,558	\$	207,693
Train Fluddiary Net Tosition – Ending (b)	Ψ	200,336	Φ	207,093
Net Pension Liability – Ending (a-b)	\$	(63,115)	\$	(61,735)
DI FILE MARKET DE LA CALITACIO		_		
Plan Fiduciary Net Position as a Percentage of the Total Pension Liability		145.9%		142.3%
Estimated Covered Employee Payroll	\$	32,058	\$	33,524
Net Pension Liability as a Percentage of Covered Employee Payroll		(196.9%)		(184.2%)

^{*} The 2016 employer contribution includes \$84 interest due on late payment of MMO. The 2017 employer contribution includes interest accrued on late deposit of state aid and MMO.

Sensitivity of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate

The following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2014, calculated using the discount rate of 5.75%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	 Decrease (4.75%)	Dis	Current count Rate (5.75%)	 % Increase (6.75%)
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/14	\$ (76,877)	\$	(98,267)	\$ (115,466)

In addition, the following presents the net pension liability of the borough as of December 31, 2015, 2016 and 2017, calculated using the discount rate of 5.0%, as well as what the borough's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1 percentage-point lower or 1 percentage-point higher than the current rate:

	Decrease (4.00%)	Dis	Current count Rate (5.00%)	6.00%)
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/15	\$ (45,488)	\$	(72,567)	\$ (94,271)
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/16	\$ (32,730)	\$	(63,116)	\$ (87,563)
Net Pension Liability – 12/31/17	\$ (29,310)	\$	(61,735)	\$ (87,667)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

Year Ended December 31	Det	cuarially ermined tribution	Actual tributions	De	tribution ficiency excess)	Er	overed- mployee ayroll*	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll
2008	\$	8,034	\$ 8,034	\$	_	\$	51,935	15.5%
2009		4,068	4,068		-		-	-
2010		998	998		-		50,902	2.0%
2011		4,869	5,231		(362)		-	-
2012		-	-		-		30,338	-
2013		-	-		-		-	-
2014		-	-		-		32,383	-
2015		2,851	2,851		-		35,714	8.0%
2016**		1,430	-		1,430		32,058	-
2017		5,715	5,642		73		33,524	16.8%

^{*} Due to GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*, being implemented only recently, the amount of Covered-Employee Payroll was not provided for odd years prior to 2014.

SCHEDULE OF INVESTMENT RETURNS

Annual Money-Weighted Rate of Return, Net of Investment Expense:

2017	1.23%
2016	0.73%
2015	1.17%
2014	3.29%

^{**}The 2016 deficiency was paid in 2018 with the deposit of the borough's 2016 state aid allocation and included interest on the late deposit. The 2017 deficiency was also paid in 2018 with the deposit of additional municipal contributions.

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2013, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-13	\$ 193,111	\$ 66,343	\$ (126,768)	291.1%
01-01-15	192,938	102,545	(90,393)	188.1%
01-01-17	200,558	127,758	(72,800)	157.0%

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

SYKESVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2017

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method N/A

Remaining amortization period N/A

Asset valuation method Fair value

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 5.0%

Projected salary increases * 4.50%

Cost-of-living adjustments None assumed

^{*} Includes inflation at 2.75%

SYKESVILLE BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf Governor

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Gail Cunningham Mayor

Mr. Mack Zimmerman

Council President

Ms. Jaysa Neale

Secretary/Chief Administrative Officer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.