

COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Tiadaghton Valley Regional Police Pension Plan Lycoming County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016

March 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DePASQUALE
AUDITOR GENERAL

Tiadaghton Valley Regional Police Commission
Lycoming County
Jersey Shore, PA 17740

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Tiadaghton Valley Regional Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from Section 402(j) of Act 205 and in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

- We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.
- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.

- We determined that there were no employee contributions required for the years covered by our audit period due to the fact that employee contributions were appropriately waived by the commission.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for the plan member who retired during the current audit period, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefit due to the retired individual and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to the recipient. We also determined whether retirement benefits calculated for both of the plan members who elected to vest during the current audit period, and through the completion of our fieldwork procedures, represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws and regulations by recalculating the amount of the pension benefit due to the retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2011, January 1, 2013 and January 1, 2015 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted to the former Public Employee Retirement Commission (PERC) by March 31, 2012, 2014 and 2016, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.
- We determined whether provisions of the Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) were in accordance with the provisions of Act 205 by examining provisions stated in the plan's governing documents.

Commission officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Tiadaghton Valley Regional Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. In conducting our audit, we obtained an understanding of the regional police commission's internal controls as they relate to the regional police commission's compliance with those requirements and that we considered to be significant within the context of our audit objective, and assessed whether those significant controls were properly designed and implemented. Additionally and as previously described, we tested transactions, assessed official actions, performed analytical procedures, and interviewed selected officials to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Tiadaghton Valley Regional Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Tiadaghton Valley Regional and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank regional police commission officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

February 26, 2018



EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE
Auditor General

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background.....	1
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid.....	3
Supplementary Information	5
Report Distribution List	9

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans. Section 402(j) of Act 205 specifically requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to make an audit of every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and of every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Tiadaghton Valley Regional Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations adopted by the former Public Employee Retirement Commission published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The Tiadaghton Valley Regional Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 3 of 2010, as amended, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan was established January 1, 2010 and is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the regional police commission and its police officers. Active members are required to contribute 5 percent of compensation to the plan; however, member contributions were eliminated during the audit period. As of December 31, 2016, the plan had 10 active members, 3 terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and 6 retirees receiving pension benefits from the plan.

TIADAGHTON VALLEY REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

As of December 31, 2016, selected plan benefit provisions are as follows:

Eligibility Requirements:

Normal Retirement	Age 50 and 25 years of service
Early Retirement	None
Vesting	Member is 100% vested after 12 years of service

Retirement Benefit:

Benefit equals 50% of final 36 months average salary, plus a service increment of \$10 per month for each year of service in excess of 25 years, up to a maximum of \$100 per month.

Survivor Benefit:

Before Retirement Eligibility	Refund of member contributions plus interest.
After Retirement Eligibility	A monthly benefit equal to 50% of the pension the member was receiving or was entitled to receive on the day of the member's death.

Service Related Disability Benefit:

Benefit equals 50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred.

TIADAGHTON VALLEY REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding – Incorrect Data On Certification Form AG 385 Resulting In An Overpayment Of State Aid

Condition: Pension plan officials certified 1 ineligible police officers (2 units) and overstated payroll by \$57,026 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2014. In addition, officials also overstated payroll by \$10,889 on the Certification Form AG 385 filed in 2016. The data contained on these certification forms is based on prior calendar year information. For 2014, the ineligible police officer certified represented a plan member who retired effective January 1, 2013, and entered the regional’s Deferred Retirement Option Program (DROP), as such, this individual is ineligible for certification purposes.

Criteria: Pursuant to Act 205, at Section 402(e)(2), in order to be eligible for certification, an employee must have been employed on a full-time basis for at least six consecutive months and must have been participating in a pension plan during the certification year.

Furthermore, Act 205 at Section 402(e)(2) states, in part:

For the purpose of computing and reporting the applicable number of units, a DROP participant shall not be reported to the Auditor General as an active employee.

Cause: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the accuracy of the data certified. In addition, plan officials were unaware that DROP participants and their compensation are not eligible for certification.

Effect: The data submitted on these certification forms are used, in part, to calculate the state aid due to the regional for distribution to its pension plan.

The regional’s 2014 state aid allocation was based on unit value. Therefore, the regional received an overpayment of state aid in the amount of \$7,746 as identified below:

<u>Units overstated</u>	<u>Unit Value</u>	<u>State Aid Overpayment</u>
2	\$ 3,873	\$ 7,746

The regional’s 2016 state aid allocation was based on pension costs. Therefore, the regional received an overpayment of state aid in the amount of \$1,841 as identified below:

<u>Normal Cost</u>	<u>Payroll Overstated</u>	<u>State Aid Overpayment</u>
16.903570%	\$ 10,889	\$ 1,841

TIADAGHTON VALLEY REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding – (Continued)

Therefore, as a result of the certification errors, the regional received an overpayment of state aid during the audit period in the amount of \$9,587.

In addition, the Tiadaghton Valley Regional used the overpayment of state aid to pay the minimum municipal obligation (MMO) due to the police pension plan; therefore, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from the pension plan, the plan's MMO will not be fully paid.

Recommendation: We recommend that the total excess state aid, in the amount of \$9,587, plus interest, be returned to the Commonwealth. A check in this amount, with interest compounded annually from date of receipt to date of repayment, at a rate earned by the pension plan, should be made payable to: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and mailed to: Department of the Auditor General, Municipal Pension & Fire Relief Programs Unit, 321 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. A copy of the interest calculation must be submitted along with the check.

We also recommend that in the future, plan officials establish adequate internal control procedures, such as having at least 2 people review the data certified, to ensure compliance with the instructions that accompany Certification Form AG 385 to assist them in accurately reporting the required pension data.

In addition, if the reimbursement to the Commonwealth is made from police pension plan funds, we recommend that any resulting MMO deficiency be paid to the pension plan with interest, at a rate earned by the pension plan.

Management's Response: Commission officials agreed with the finding without exception.

Auditor's Conclusion: Compliance with the finding recommendation will be monitored subsequent to the release of the report and through our next audit of the plan.

TIADAGHTON VALLEY REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2011, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) - Entry Age (b)	Unfunded (Assets in Excess of) Actuarial Accrued Liability (b) - (a)	Funded Ratio (a)/(b)
01-01-11	\$ 1,258,719	\$ 1,331,555	\$ 72,836	94.5%
01-01-13	1,560,748	1,529,466	(31,282)	102.1%
01-01-15	1,851,375	1,775,931	(75,444)	104.2%

TIADAGHTON VALLEY REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
(UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

TIADAGHTON VALLEY REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
 SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
 (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS FROM EMPLOYER
 AND OTHER CONTRIBUTING ENTITIES

Year Ended December 31	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2011	\$ 110,527	100.0%
2012	111,694	100.0%
2013	65,248	107.2%
2014	69,730	111.2%
2015	72,754	107.4%
2016	71,456	106.7%

TIADAGHTON VALLEY REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES
(UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2015
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Amortization method	N/A
Remaining amortization period	N/A
Asset valuation method	Fair value
Actuarial assumptions:	
Investment rate of return	7.5%
Projected salary increases	4.5%
Cost-of-living adjustments	3.0% per year postretirement

TIADAGHTON VALLEY REGIONAL POLICE PENSION PLAN
REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Tom W. Wolf
Governor
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

Mr. Sean Simcox
Chairman, Board of Commissioners

Mr. Ervin L. Rauch
Vice Chairman, Board of Commissioners

Ms. Katherine Ely
Commission Member

Mr. Kenneth Scheesley
Commission Member

Mr. Nathan DeRemer
Chief of Police

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.