COMPLIANCE AUDIT

Turtle Creek Borough Police Pension Plan

Allegheny County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2021

February 2023



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council Turtle Creek Borough Allegheny County Turtle Creek, PA 15145

We have conducted a compliance audit of the Turtle Creek Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2018 to December 31, 2021. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our finding and conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.

- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- · We determined that there were no employee contributions required for the years covered by our audit period due to the fact that employee contributions were appropriately waived by the municipality.
- We determined whether retirement benefits calculated for plan members who retired during the current audit period represent payments to all (and only) those entitled to receive them and were properly determined and disbursed in accordance with the plan's governing document, applicable laws, and regulations by recalculating the amount of the monthly pension benefits due to the retired individuals and comparing these amounts to supporting documentation evidencing amounts determined and actually paid to the recipients.
- · We determined whether the January 1, 2017, January 1, 2019, and January 1, 2021, actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2018, 2020, and 2022, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the Turtle Creek Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the Turtle Creek Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies except as noted in the following finding further discussed later in this report:

Finding – Pension Benefit Not Authorized By Act 600 And The Plan's Governing Document

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of Turtle Creek Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

Timothy L. DeFoor

Auditor General February 2, 2023

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding – Pension Benefit Not Authorized By Act 600 And The Plan's Governing Document	2
Supplementary Information	4
Report Distribution List	8

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 et seq.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a 2 percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the Turtle Creek Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The Turtle Creek Borough Police Pension Plan is a single employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 1144, effective January 1, 2016, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers. The plan was established October 7, 1957. Active members are required to contribute five (5.0) percent of compensation to the plan; however, member contributions were eliminated during the audit period. As of December 31, 2021, the plan had 4 active members, and 12 retirees receiving pension benefits.

TURTLE CREEK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

Finding - Pension Benefit Not Authorized By Act 600 And The Plan's Governing Document

<u>Condition</u>: Plan officials provided pension benefits in excess of those authorized by Act 600 and the plan's governing document. Plan officials included accumulated unused sick leave pay that was not earned during the pension computation period in the determination of final average salary used to calculate monthly pension benefits for a police officer who retired during the audit period.

<u>Criteria</u>: Section 4.02 of the plan's governing document, Ordinance No. 1144, states:

Normal Retirement Benefit - Each Participant who shall become entitled to a benefit pursuant to section 4.01 shall receive a benefit paid monthly in an amount equal to fifty percent (50%) of the Participant's Final Monthly Average Salary as determined herein.

Section 1.21 of Ordinance No. 1144, states, in part:

"Final Monthly Average Salary" shall mean the average monthly salary earned by the Participant and paid by the Employer during the final thirty-six (36) months immediately preceding termination of active Employment. Salary shall include the regular gross pay of the Employee, whether salary or hourly wages including overtime pay, holiday pay, longevity pay and other types of additions to compensation paid by the Employer for police services rendered. Salary shall exclude for this purpose any single sum or extraordinary payments, or parts thereof made which are not directly attributable to active employment with the Employer during the averaging period, including but not limited to payment of longevity bonus or payment of a back pay damage award.

Further, Section C of Article III of the collective bargaining agreement between the borough and its police officers, states, in part:

Sick Leave. Each full-time officer shall be entitled to thirteen (13) sick days per year...

Section 5(c) of Act 600 states, in part:

Monthly pension or retirement benefits other than length of service increments shall be computed at one-half the monthly average salary of such member during not more than the last sixty nor less than the last thirty-six months of employment.

Although Act 600 does not define "salary," the department has concluded, based on a line of court opinions, that the term does not encompass lump-sum payments for leave that was not earned during the pension computation period.

TURTLE CREEK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION

<u>Finding – (Continued)</u>

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials were unaware that Act 600 does not authorize the inclusion of lump-sum payments for accumulated unused leave earned outside the pension computation period in pension calculations.

<u>Effect</u>: The plan is paying pension benefits to a retiree in excess of those authorized by Act 600 and the plan's governing document. As of the date of this report, the retiree is receiving excess benefits of \$167 per month, which totaled approximately \$3,006 from retirement until the date of this report.

Providing unauthorized pension benefits increases the plan's pension costs and reduces the amount of funds available for investment purposes or for the payment of authorized benefits or administrative expenses. Since the borough received state aid based on unit value for its pension plans during the current audit period, it did not receive allocations attributable to the excess pension benefits provided. However, the increased costs to the pension plan as a result of the excess pension benefits could result in the receipt of excess state aid in the future and increase the municipal contributions necessary to fund the plan in accordance with Act 205 funding standards.

Recommendation: We recommend that the pension benefit of the retiree whose benefit was not determined in accordance with the provisions of the plan's governing document be adjusted prospectively. In addition, any excess benefit payments made from the plan will be deemed ineligible for funding with state aid. Accordingly, the pension plan's actuary may be required to determine the impact, if any, of the excess benefit payments on the borough's future state aid allocations and submit this information to the Department. If it is determined the excess benefit payments had an impact on the borough's future state aid allocations after the submission of this information, the plan's actuary would then be required to contact the Department to verify the overpayment of state aid received. Plan officials would then be required to reimburse the overpayment to the Commonwealth.

We further recommend that future pension benefits be calculated and paid in accordance with the applicable provisions contained in the plan's governing document in effect at the time of a plan member's retirement.

Management's Response: Municipal officials agreed with the finding without exception.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Based on the management response, it appears municipal officials intend to comply with the finding recommendation. Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

TURTLE CREEK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2017, is as follows:

	(1)	$(1) \qquad (2) \qquad (3)$		(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-17	\$ 1,792,217	\$ 2,594,352	\$ 802,135	69.1%
01-01-19	1,923,968	2,699,143	775,175	71.3%
01-01-21	2,378,919	2,932,369	553,450	81.1%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-17, 01-01-19, and 01-01-21 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a 4-year averaging period. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

TURTLE CREEK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

TURTLE CREEK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

								Contributions
								as a
								Percentage of
	Ac	tuarially			Contrib	ution	Covered-	Covered-
Year Ended	De	termined		Actual	Deficie	ency	Employee	Employee
December 31	Co	Contribution		ntributions	(Exce	ess)	Payroll*	Payroll*
		_					•	
2012	\$	98,681	\$	98,681	\$ -		\$ 314,162	31.4%
2013		103,747		103,747	-			
2014		106,887		106,887	-		331,371	32.3%
2015		143,469		143,469	-		269,934	53.1%
2016		142,158		142,158	-		284,089	50.0%
2017		157,690		157,690	-		311,837	50.6%
2018		152,781		152.781	-		333,652	45.8%
2019		287,834		287,834	-		367,830	78.3%
2020		139,134		139,134	-		384,182	36.2%
2021		175,787		175,787	-		273,819	64.2%

^{*} This schedule is presented pursuant to the implementation of GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans* by reporting entities responsible for administering the pension plan to improve financial reporting by state and local governmental pension plans. Due to the statement being implemented only recently, the amount of Covered-Employee Payroll was not provided for odd years prior to 2014.

TURTLE CREEK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date January 1, 2021

Actuarial cost method Entry age normal

Amortization method Level dollar

Remaining amortization period 6 years

Asset valuation method Fair value, 4-year smoothing

Actuarial assumptions:

Investment rate of return 6.50%

Projected salary increases 4.0%

Cost-of-living adjustments None assumed

TURTLE CREEK BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Joshua D. Shapiro

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable Adam R. Forgie Mayor

> Mr. Nick Bianchi Council President

Mr. Max Spencer
Council Vice-President

Ms. Jill Henkel President Pro Temp

Mr. Jack Osman Council Member

Mr. Brian Estocin
Council Member

Ms. Connie Tinsley
Council Member

Mr. Mark Nolan Council Member

Ms. Julie PantaloneChief Administrative Officer

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.