COMPLIANCE AUDIT

West Hazleton Borough Police Pension Plan

Luzerne County, Pennsylvania For the Period January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2022

July 2023



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General

Timothy L. DeFoor • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen www.PaAuditor.gov

TIMOTHY L. DEFOOR AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Mayor and Borough Council West Hazleton Borough Luzerne County West Hazleton, PA 18202

We have conducted a compliance audit of the West Hazleton Borough Police Pension Plan for the period January 1, 2019 to December 31, 2022. We also evaluated compliance with some requirements subsequent to that period when possible. The audit was conducted pursuant to authority derived from the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (Act 205 of 1984, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.402(j)), which requires the Auditor General, as deemed necessary, to audit every municipality which receives general municipal pension system state aid and every municipal pension plan and fund in which general municipal pension system state aid is deposited. The audit was not conducted, nor was it required to be, in accordance with Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. We planned and performed the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objective.

The objective of the audit was to determine if the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies.

Our audit was limited to the areas related to the objective identified above. To determine whether the pension plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, our methodology included the following:

• We determined whether state aid was properly determined and deposited in accordance with Act 205 requirements by verifying the annual deposit date of state aid and determining whether deposits were made within 30 days of receipt for all years within the period under audit.

- We determined whether annual employer contributions were calculated and deposited in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by examining the municipality's calculation of the plan's annual financial requirements and minimum municipal obligation (MMO) and comparing these calculated amounts to amounts actually budgeted and deposited into the pension plan as evidenced by supporting documentation.
- We determined whether annual employee contributions were calculated, deducted, and deposited into the pension plan in accordance with the plan's governing document and applicable laws and regulations by testing total members' contributions on an annual basis using the rates obtained from the plan's governing document in effect for all years within the period under audit and examining documents evidencing the deposit of these employee contributions into the pension plan.
- We determined that there were no benefit calculations prepared for the years covered by our audit period.
- We determined whether the January 1, 2019 and January 1, 2021 actuarial valuation reports were prepared and submitted by March 31, 2020 and 2022, respectively, in accordance with Act 205 and whether selected information provided on these reports is accurate, complete, and in accordance with plan provisions to ensure compliance for participation in the state aid program by comparing selected information to supporting source documentation.

West Hazleton Borough contracted with an independent certified public accounting firm for annual audits of its basic financial statements for the years ending December 31, 2019, 2020, and 2021, which are available at the borough's offices. Those financial statements were not audited by us and, accordingly, we express no opinion or other form of assurance on them.

Borough officials are responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that the West Hazleton Borough Police Pension Plan is administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. As previously described, we tested transactions, interviewed selected officials, and performed procedures to the extent necessary to provide reasonable assurance of detecting instances of noncompliance with legal and regulatory requirements or noncompliance with provisions of contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies that are significant within the context of the audit objective.

The results of our procedures indicated that, in all significant respects, the West Hazleton Borough Police Pension Plan was administered in compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies, except as noted in the following findings further discussed later in this report:

Finding No. 1 - Inconsistent Pension Benefits

Finding No. 2 - Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit

As previously noted, the objective of our audit of the West Hazleton Borough Police Pension Plan was to determine compliance with applicable state laws, regulations, contracts, administrative procedures, and local ordinances and policies. Among several provisions relating to municipal pension plans, Act 205 provides for the implementation of a distress recovery program. Three levels of distress have been established:

Level	Indication	Funding Criteria
Ι	Minimal distress	70-89%
II	Moderate distress	50-69%
III	Severe distress	Less than 50%

The accompanying supplementary information is presented for purposes of additional analysis. We did not audit the information or conclude on it and, accordingly, express no form of assurance on it. However, we are extremely concerned about the funded status of the plan contained in the schedule of funding progress included in this report which indicates the plan's funded ratio is 45.2% as of January 1, 2021, which is the most recent data available. Based on this information, and the funding status of the borough's firemen's and non-uniformed pension plans, the Municipal Pension Reporting Program issued notification that the borough is currently in Level II moderate distress status. We encourage borough officials to monitor the funding of the police pension plan to ensure its long-term financial stability.

The contents of this report were discussed with officials of West Hazleton Borough and, where appropriate, their responses have been included in the report. We would like to thank borough officials for the cooperation extended to us during the conduct of the audit.

Timothy L. Detoor

Timothy L. DeFoor Auditor General May 26, 2023

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background	1
Findings and Recommendations:	
Finding No. 1 – Inconsistent Pension Benefits	2
Finding No. 2 – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit	3
Supplementary Information	6
Report Distribution List	10

BACKGROUND

On December 18, 1984, the Pennsylvania Legislature adopted the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act (P.L. 1005, No. 205, as amended, 53 P.S. § 895.101 <u>et seq</u>.). The Act established mandatory actuarial reporting and funding requirements and a uniform basis for the distribution of state aid to Pennsylvania's public pension plans.

Annual state aid allocations are provided from a two (2) percent foreign (out-of-state) casualty insurance premium tax, a portion of the foreign (out-of-state) fire insurance tax designated for paid firefighters and any investment income earned on the collection of these taxes. Generally, municipal pension plans established prior to December 18, 1984, are eligible for state aid. For municipal pension plans established after that date, the sponsoring municipality must fund the plan for three plan years before it becomes eligible for state aid. In accordance with Act 205, a municipality's annual state aid allocation cannot exceed its actual pension costs.

In addition to Act 205, the West Hazleton Borough Police Pension Plan is also governed by implementing regulations published at Title 16, Part IV of the Pennsylvania Code and applicable provisions of various other state statutes including, but not limited to, the following:

Act 600 - Police Pension Fund Act, Act of May 29, 1956 (P.L. 1804, No. 600), as amended, 53 P.S. § 767 et seq.

The West Hazleton Borough Police Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan locally controlled by the provisions of Ordinance No. 3 of 2015, as amended, and a separately executed plan agreement as amended and restated effective January 1, 2014, adopted pursuant to Act 600. The plan is also affected by the provisions of collective bargaining agreements between the borough and its police officers. The plan was established September 16, 1976. Active members are required to contribute five (5.0) percent of compensation to the plan. As of December 31, 2022, the plan had five active members, no terminated members eligible for vested benefits in the future, and seven retirees receiving pension benefits.

Finding No. 1 – Inconsistent Pension Benefits

<u>Condition</u>: The pension plan's governing document, Ordinance No. 3 of 2015, contains a benefit provision that conflicts with the collective bargaining agreement between the police officers and the borough covering the period January 1, 2020 through December 31, 2024, as follows:

Benefit		Collective Bargaining	Act 600
Provision	Governing Document	Agreement	
Service-related disability	If an actively employed participant suffers a service- connected disability and is unable to perform his normal duties prior to his normal retirement date, he may receive a disability benefit under the plan. Effective for an active participant becoming disabled on or after April 17, 2002, such disabled participant shall be entitled to a monthly disability benefit equal to 50% of the participant's monthly salary at the time the disability was incurred. The disability retirement benefit otherwise payable under this plan shall be offset by any Social Security disability benefit received by the participant.	any police officer who becomes permanently and totally unable to perform police duties as a result of a mental or physical impairment sustained in the course and scope of employment shall be eligible for immediate retirement benefits calculated at the rate of one hundred percent (100%) of his or her highest monthly compensation.	The benefit must be in conformity with a uniform scale and fixed by the plan's governing document at no less than 50% of the member's salary at the time the disability was incurred, reduced by the amount of Social Security disability benefits received for the same injury.

<u>Criteria</u>: The plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement should contain consistent benefit provisions to ensure the sound administration of retirement benefits.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement contained consistent benefit provisions.

<u>Effect</u>: Inconsistent plan documents could result in inconsistent or improper benefit calculations and incorrect benefit payments from the pension plan.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that municipal officials take appropriate action to ensure the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement contain consistent benefit provisions.

Finding No. 1 – (Continued)

<u>Management's Response</u>: Municipal officials will take appropriate action to ensure the plan's governing document and the collective bargaining agreement contain a consistent benefit provision.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Based on the management response, it appears municipal officials intend to comply with the finding recommendation. Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

Finding No. 2 – Unauthorized Provision For A Killed In Service Benefit

<u>Condition</u>: During the prior audit period, a verbal observation was provided to borough officials regarding the passage of Act 51 which repealed Act 600's killed in service benefit, and we recommended that they review the implications on the police pension plan and the collective bargaining agreement. During the current audit period, we determined that the current collective bargaining agreement for the period January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2024, still provides for a killed in service benefit even though it is no longer authorized by Act 600 or the pension plan document. Section 267.6 of the CBA states:

...provided, however, that if the member dies as a result of illness or injury incurred in service, the member's survivor shall receive a pension calculated at the rate of one hundred percent (100%) of the member's highest monthly compensation, until death or remarriage in the case of a spouse or until attainment of age eighteen (18) or completion of studies as a full-time college student in the case of a child or children under the age of twenty-three (23) year, whichever is later.

Criteria: Section 1(a) of Act 51 of 2009 states, in part:

In the event a law enforcement officer, ambulance service or rescue squad member, firefighter, certified hazardous material response team member or National Guard member dies as a result of the performance of his duties, such political subdivision, Commonwealth agency or, in the case of National Guard members, the Adjutant General, or, in the case of a member of a Commonwealth law enforcement agency, the authorized survivor or the agency head, within 90 days from the date of death, shall submit certification of such death to the Commonwealth.

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

In addition, Section 1(d) of Act 51 of 2009 states, in part:

... the Commonwealth shall, from moneys payable out of the General Fund, pay to the surviving spouse or, if there is no surviving spouse, to the minor children of the paid firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer who died as a result of the performance of his duty the sum of \$100,000, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, and an amount equal to the monthly salary, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, of the deceased paid firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer, less any workers' compensation or pension or retirement benefits paid to such survivors, and shall continue such monthly payments until there is no eligible beneficiary to receive them. For the purpose of this subsection, the term "eligible beneficiary" means the surviving spouse or the child or children under the age of eighteen years or, if attending college, under the age of twentythree years, of the firefighter, ambulance service or rescue squad member or law enforcement officer who died as a result of the performance of his duty. When no spouse or minor children survive, a single sum of \$100,000, adjusted in accordance with subsection (f) of this section, shall be paid to the parent or parents of such firefighter, ambulance service member, rescue squad member or law enforcement officer. [Emphasis added]

Furthermore, Section 2 of Act 51 of 2009 states:

Repeals are as follows:

- (1) The General Assembly declares that the repeals under paragraph (2) are necessary to effectuate the amendment of section 1 of the act.
- (2) The following parts of acts are repealed:
 - (i) Section 5(e)(2) of the act of May 29, 1956 (1955 P.L.1804, No. 600), referred to as the Municipal Police Pension Law.
 - (ii) Section 202(b)(3)(vi) and (4)(vi) of the act of December 18, 1984 (P.L.1005, No. 205), known as the Municipal Pension Plan Funding Standard and Recovery Act.

Therefore, since Act 51 specifically repealed the killed in service provision of Act 600 and the funding provisions for the killed in service benefit that were contained in Act 205, the provision of a killed in service benefit is no longer authorized.

<u>Cause</u>: Plan officials failed to establish adequate internal control procedures to ensure the plan's governing document is in compliance with Act 600, as amended. Plan officials were unsuccessful in removing the killed in service benefit through the collective bargaining process.

Finding No. 2 – (Continued)

<u>Effect</u>: Since Section 1 of Act 51 provides that the Commonwealth is obligated to pay the killed in service benefit less any pension or retirement benefits paid to eligible survivors, the continued provision of a killed in service benefit could result in the pension plan being obligated to pay a benefit that is no longer authorized by Act 600 and would have been paid entirely by the Commonwealth absent such provision.

<u>Recommendation</u>: We recommend that the municipality review the plan's killed in service benefit with its solicitor in conjunction with Act 51 of 2009 and eliminate this unauthorized benefit provision at its earliest opportunity to do so.

<u>Management's Response</u>: Borough of West Hazleton will complete the requirements to put in agenda to remove the killed in service benefit in the next CBA.

<u>Auditor's Conclusion</u>: Considering the plan's funded status, we again urge borough officials to comply with the finding recommendation at their earliest opportunity to do so, especially in light of the fact that the Commonwealth has assumed the responsibility of paying the mandated killed in service benefit and the elimination of this benefit would improve the funding status of the plan going forward. Compliance will be evaluated during our next audit of the plan.

WEST HAZLETON BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS

Historical trend information about the plan is presented herewith as supplementary information. It is intended to help users assess the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other state and local government retirement systems.

The actuarial information is required by Act 205 biennially. The historical information, beginning as of January 1, 2017, is as follows:

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
			Unfunded	
		Actuarial	(Assets in	
		Accrued	Excess of)	
	Actuarial	Liability	Actuarial	
Actuarial	Value of	(AAL) -	Accrued	Funded
Valuation	Assets	Entry Age	Liability	Ratio
Date	(a)	(b)	(b) - (a)	(a)/(b)
01-01-17	\$ 577,204	\$ 1,552,977	\$ 975,773	37.2%
01-01-19	616,824	1,524,026	907,202	40.5%
01-01-21	756,226	1,672,113	915,887	45.2%

Note: The market values of the plan's assets at 01-01-17, 01-01-19, and 01-01-21 have been adjusted to reflect the smoothing of gains and/or losses over a five-year averaging period subject to a maximum of 120 percent and a minimum of 80 percent of the market value of assets. This method will lower contributions in years of less than expected returns and increase contributions in years of greater than expected returns. The net effect over long periods of time is to have less variance in contribution levels from year to year.

WEST HAZLETON BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the actuarial accrued liability as a factor.

Analysis of the dollar amount of the actuarial value of assets, actuarial accrued liability, and unfunded (assets in excess of) actuarial accrued liability in isolation can be misleading. Expressing the actuarial value of assets as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability (Column 4) provides one indication of the plan's funding status on a going-concern basis. Analysis of this percentage, over time, indicates whether the system is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the plan.

WEST HAZLETON BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Year Ended December 31	De	ctuarially termined ntribution	Actual tributions	Det	tribution ficiency fxcess)	E	overed- mployee Payroll*	Contributions as a Percentage of Covered- Employee Payroll*
2013	\$	54,828	\$ 54,828	\$	-			
2014		97,612	97,612		-	\$	145,575	67.05%
2015		127,457	127,457		-		149,231	85.41%
2016		116,628	116,628		-		208,586	55.91%
2017		138,463	138,463		-		250,845	55.20%
2018		128,133	128,133		-		313,684	40.85%
2019		143,428	143,428		-		328,049	43.72%
2020		150,349	152,299		(1,950)		355,438	42.85%
2021		168,153	169,323		(1,170)		376,548	44.97%
2022		177,756	177,756		-			

SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS

* Due to GASB Statement No. 67, *Financial Reporting for Pension Plans*, being implemented only recently, the amount of Covered-Employee Payroll was not provided for years prior to 2014. In addition, due to the timing of this audit, covered-employee payroll for 2022 was not provided in this schedule.

WEST HAZLETON BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION NOTES TO SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULES (UNAUDITED)

The information presented in the supplementary schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuation at the date indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation date follows:

Actuarial valuation date	January 1, 2021			
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal			
Amortization method	Level dollar			
Remaining amortization period	11 years			
Asset valuation method	5-year smoothing - the actuarial value of assets will be limited to a maximum of 120% and a minimum of 80% of the fair market value of assets.			
Actuarial assumptions:				
Investment rate of return	7.0%			
Projected salary increases	5.0%			
Cost-of-living adjustments	In accordance with Act 600, shall be granted to members who retire after January 1, 2018.			

WEST HAZLETON BOROUGH POLICE PENSION PLAN REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST

This report was initially distributed to the following:

The Honorable Joshua D. Shapiro

Governor Commonwealth of Pennsylvania

The Honorable John Chura Mayor

Mr. James Schweitzer Council President

> **Ms. Anny Morel** Borough Manager

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <u>www.PaAuditor.gov</u>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.