



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL

DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL





DISTRICT COURT 02-3-06

LANCASTER COUNTY

EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

CONTENTS

	Page
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	4
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	5
Finding And Recommendation:	
Finding - Improper Use Of The Community Service Adjustment	6
Report Distribution	7



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 02-3-06, Lancaster County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2010, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S § 401(c). This Statement is the responsibility of the District Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2010, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Statement and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District Court's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District Court's Statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over the reporting on the Statement:

• Improper Use Of The Community Service Adjustment.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Statement would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eugent: O-Purger

April 26, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

DISTRICT COURT 02-3-06 LANCASTER COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

Receipts:

Department of Transportation	
Title 75 Fines	\$ 628,668
Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines	1,400
Overweight Fines	14,213
Commercial Driver Fines	7,850
Littering Law Fines	1,163
Child Restraint Fines	2,465
Department of Revenue Court Costs	265,096
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs	16,704
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs	12,011
Domestic Violence Costs	4,216
Department of Agriculture Fines	3,765
Emergency Medical Service Fines	151,851
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges	480,374
Judicial Computer System Fees	140,659
Access to Justice Fees	35,577
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees	974
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges	4,438
Constable Service Surcharges	6,148
Miscellaneous State Fines	 16,546
Total receipts (Note 2)	1,794,118
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)	 (1,794,077)
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court)	
per settled reports (Note 4)	41
Examination adjustments	
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court)	
for the period January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2010	\$ 41

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

DISTRICT COURT 02-3-06 LANCASTER COUNTY NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

1. <u>Criteria</u>

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. <u>Receipts</u>

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

3. <u>Disbursements</u>

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue

\$ 1,794,077

4. <u>Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2007 To</u> December 31, 2010

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

5. <u>Magisterial District Judge Serving During Examination Period</u>

Rodney H. Hartman served at District Court 02-3-06 for the period January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2010.

DISTRICT COURT 02-3-06 LANCASTER COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

Finding 1- Improper Use Of The Community Service Adjustment

Our testing of cases involving community service disclosed that the Magisterial District Judge would use the community service adjustment to dispose of cases with juevenile defendants. However, documentation that community service was performed was not always in the case file. Of 32 cases tested where there was a community service adjustment, 11 had no documentation that community service was performed by the defendants.

The *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual* (Manual) establishes the uniform written internal control policies and procedures for all district courts. The Manual states that community service compensation may be imposed by the Magisterial District Judge. However, documentation that reveals that the community service was performed must be available for examination.

The failure to maintain documentation resulted in us not being able to determine if the community service was performed. Furthermore, the possibility that fines go unpaid and defendants unpunished increases.

Recommendation

We recommend that the district court use the community service adjustment when the defendants perform community service and that documentation be maintained in the case file.

Management's Response

No formal response was offered at this time.

DISTRICT COURT 02-3-06 LANCASTER COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2010

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmont Pines Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable Rodney H. Hartman	Magisterial District Judge
The Honorable Scott Martin	Chairman of the Board of Commissioners
The Honorable Walter Rogers	Controller
Mr. Mark M. Dalton	District Court Administrator

This is of public record and is available online report a matter at http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@auditorgen.state.pa.us.