



DISTRICT COURT 23-2-01

BERKS COUNTY

EXAMINATION REPORT

FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2009

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Independent Auditor's Report.....	1
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	5
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	6
Comment.....	7
Report Distribution	9



**Department of the Auditor General
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120-0018**

**JACK WAGNER
AUDITOR GENERAL**

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue
Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 23-2-01, Berks County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2009, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c). This Statement is the responsibility of the District Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Statement and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District Court's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District Court's Statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control.

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Statement was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and would not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any significant deficiencies or material weaknesses, as defined above, in internal control over reporting on the Statement.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

June 13, 2011

JACK WAGNER
Auditor General



DISTRICT COURT 23-2-01
 BERKS COUNTY
 STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
 FOR THE PERIOD
 JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2009

Receipts:

Department of Transportation		
Title 75 Fines	\$	171,218
Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines		150
Overweight Fines		300
Commercial Driver Fines		2,000
Littering Law Fines		559
Child Restraint Fines		1,922
Department of Revenue Court Costs		151,254
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs		17,997
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs		13,165
Domestic Violence Costs		4,717
Emergency Medical Service Fines		47,106
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges		146,358
Judicial Computer System Fees		71,090
Access to Justice Fees		17,622
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees		32
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges		144
Constable Service Surcharges		21,425
Miscellaneous State Fines		36,619
		<hr/>
Total receipts (Note 2)	\$	703,678
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)		<hr/> <u>(703,678)</u>
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)		-
Examination adjustments		<hr/> <u>-</u>
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2009	\$	<hr/> <u><u>-</u></u>

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

DISTRICT COURT 23-2-01
BERKS COUNTY
NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2009

1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ <u>703,678</u>
-----------------------	-------------------

4. Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2007 To December 31, 2009

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

5. Magisterial District Judge Serving During Examination Period

Stuart D. Kennedy served at District Court 23-2-01 for the period January 1, 2007 to December 31, 2009.


DISTRICT COURT 23-2-01
BERKS COUNTY
COMMENT
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2009

Comment - Compliance With Prior Examination Recommendation

During our prior examination, we recommended:

- That the office review the tickler reports for warrants and DL-38s daily and take appropriate action as required by the *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual*.

Our current examination found that the office substantially complied with our prior examination recommendation. Insignificant instances of noncompliance were verbally communicated to the office.



DISTRICT COURT 23-2-01
BERKS COUNTY
REPORT DISTRIBUTION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2007 TO DECEMBER 31, 2009

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmunt Pines
Court Administrator of Pennsylvania
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable Stuart D. Kennedy	Magisterial District Judge
Mr. Stephen A. Weber	District Court Administrator
The Honorable Sandy Graffius	Controller
The Honorable Mark C. Scott	Chairman of the Board of Commissioners

This report is a matter of public record. Copies of this report may be obtained from the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 318 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120. To view this report online or to contact the Department of the Auditor General, please access our web site at www.auditorgen.state.pa.us.