

# DISTRICT COURT 25-3-01 CLINTON COUNTY EXAMINATION REPORT FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

### **COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA**

## **EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE - AUDITOR GENERAL**

**DEPARTMENT OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL** 





### Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018 Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General Twitter: @PAAuditorGen

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE AUDITOR GENERAL

**Independent Auditor's Report** 

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 25-3-01, Clinton County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2012, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S § 401(c). This Statement is the responsibility of the District Court's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Except as discussed in the fourth paragraph, our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. An examination includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the Statement and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our examination provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

As discussed in the Finding and Recommendation Section of the examination report, the traffic/non-traffic citations issued between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2008 were destroyed prior to our examination and were not available for the examination. Without these records, we could not perform our standard examination procedures. As a result, the scope of our examination of the District Court's Statement was limited, and we were unable to satisfy ourselves by other examination procedures.

In our opinion, except for the effects, if any, of the matters noted in the preceding paragraph, the Statement referred to above presents, in all material respects, the operations of the District Court as it pertains to receipts made on behalf of the Commonwealth for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2012, in conformity with the criteria set forth in Note 1.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report findings of significant deficiencies in internal control, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that are material to the Statement and any fraud and illegal acts that are more than inconsequential that come to our attention during our examination. We are also required to obtain the views of management on those matters. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A control deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, that adversely affects the District Court's ability to initiate, authorize, record, process, or report data reliably in accordance with the applicable criteria such that there is more than a remote likelihood that a misstatement of the District Court's Statement that is more than inconsequential will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. We consider the deficiency described in the finding below to be a significant deficiency in internal control over the reporting on the Statement:

• Failure To Follow The Supreme Court Of Pennsylvania Administrative Office Of Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule With Guidelines Procedures.

### <u>Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)</u>

A material weakness is a significant deficiency or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented or detected by the District Court's internal control. Our consideration of the internal control over reporting on the Statement would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be significant deficiencies and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all significant deficiencies that are also considered to be material weaknesses. We consider the significant deficiency described above to be a material weakness.

The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards* 

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue, the Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts, and the District Court and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

September 17, 2013

EUGENE A. DEPASQUALE Auditor General

Eugraf: O-Pagur

### **CONTENTS**

	<u>Page</u>
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements	2
Finding And Recommendations:	
Finding - Failure To Follow The Supreme Court Of Pennsylvania Administrative Office Of Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule With Guidelines Procedures	3
Report Distribution	5

### DISTRICT COURT 25-3-01 CLINTON COUNTY STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

### Receipts:

Department of Transportation	
Title 75 Fines	\$ 374,013
Motor Carrier Road Tax Fines	1,063
Overweight Fines	5,475
Commercial Driver Fines	3,000
Littering Law Fines	520
Child Restraint Fines	380
Department of Revenue Court Costs	285,863
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs	53,821
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs	38,443
Domestic Violence Costs	14,707
Department of Agriculture Fines	791
Emergency Medical Service Fines	90,105
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges	288,534
Judicial Computer System Fees	130,443
Access to Justice Fees	34,885
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees	5,112
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges	23,287
Constable Service Surcharges	2,971
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs	24,173
Total receipts (Note 2)	1,377,586
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)	(1,377,586)
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)	-
Examination adjustments	 
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2012	\$ 

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

### DISTRICT COURT 25-3-01 CLINTON COUNTY

# NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS FOR THE PERIOD

### JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

### 1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

### 2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

### 3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue

\$ 1,377,586

# 4. <u>Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2008 To December 31, 2012</u>

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue. The balance also reflects a summary of any receipts disbursed directly to other state agencies.

### 5. <u>Magisterial District Judges Serving During Examination Period</u>

Joseph L. Sanders served at District Court 25-3-01 for the period January 1, 2008 to December 31, 2012.

### DISTRICT COURT 25-3-01 CLINTON COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Finding - Failure To Follow The Supreme Court Of Pennsylvania Administrative Office Of

Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule With Guidelines

Procedures

Our examination disclosed that closed traffic/non-traffic citations issued between January 1, 2008 and December 31, 2008 were not available for examination and have been destroyed by the district court without being in compliance with the procedures described in the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule with Guidelines (Schedule).

The Schedule outlines the proper procedures for the destruction of non-permanent court records. Disposal request procedures include:

A request to destroy non-permanent scheduled records must be submitted by the record custodian requesting permission to dispose of the record(s) to the Record Retention Officer utilizing a Unified Judicial System Disposal Log for Non-Permanent Records form adopted by the AOPC as provided in Pa.R.J.A. No. 507. The Record Retention Officer shall review the Records Disposal Log Form for completeness and shall grant written permission to dispose of such non-permanent records upon ascertaining that the applicable retention period as set forth in the schedule has been met. Written approval from the AOPC is not necessary before destroying non-permanent records as identified in the schedule. A log of individual disposition actions involving non-permanent records must be maintained. Copies of the Records Disposal Log Form shall be submitted on an annual basis to the AOPC. (See §4.5 Form Retention)

Although the Schedule identifies traffic and non-traffic citations as records that may be destroyed after three years, the Schedule also states in part:

Records subject to audit must be retained for the periods listed in the schedule <u>and must be audited</u> and all findings resolved before such records may be destroyed.

The failure to maintain these records resulted in an unclear examination trail. Additionally, collections associated with missing cases files and documents could be lost or misappropriated.

### DISTRICT COURT 25-3-01 CLINTON COUNTY FINDING AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

Finding - Failure To Follow The Supreme Court Of Pennsylvania Administrative Office Of

Pennsylvania Courts Record Retention & Disposition Schedule With Guidelines

Procedures (Continued)

### Recommendations

We recommend that the district court comply with the procedures listed in the Schedule.

We further recommend that the district court not destroy citations until after they have been subject to examination by the Department of the Auditor General.

### Management's Response

No formal response was offered at this time.

# DISTRICT COURT 25-3-01 CLINTON COUNTY REPORT DISTRIBUTION FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 1, 2008 TO DECEMBER 31, 2012

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable Daniel P. Meuser Secretary Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Zygmont Pines Court Administrator of Pennsylvania Supreme Court of Pennsylvania Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable Joseph L. Sanders Magisterial District Judge

The Honorable Jeffrey Snyder Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

Mr. Miles D. Kessinger, III District Court Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at <a href="http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us">http://www.auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 231 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: <a href="mailto:news@auditorgen.state.pa.us">news@auditorgen.state.pa.us</a>.