

ATTESTATION ENGAGEMENT

District Court 29-1-02
Lycoming County, Pennsylvania
For the Period
January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016

February 2018



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General

Eugene A. DePasquale • Auditor General



Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Department of the Auditor General
Harrisburg, PA 17120-0018
Facebook: Pennsylvania Auditor General
Twitter: @PAAuditorGen
www.PaAuditor.gov

EUGENE A. DePASQUALE
AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue
Harrisburg, PA 17128

We have examined the accompanying statement of receipts and disbursements (Statement) of District Court 29-1-02, Lycoming County, Pennsylvania (District Court), for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016, pursuant to the requirements of Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*, 72 P.S. § 401(c). The District Court's management is responsible for presenting this Statement in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this Statement based on our examination.

Our examination was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and the standards applicable to attestation engagements contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the examination to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above, in all material respects. An examination involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the statement of receipts and disbursements. The nature, timing and extent of the procedures selected depend on our judgement, including an assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the Statement, whether due to fraud or error. We believe that the evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

We are mandated by Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code* to audit the accounts of each district court to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States include attestation engagements as a separate type of audit. An attestation engagement performed pursuant to *Government Auditing Standards* involves additional standards that exceed the standards provided by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Accordingly, this attestation engagement complies with both *Government Auditing Standards* and Section 401(c) of *The Fiscal Code*.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

In our opinion, the Statement referred to above, for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016, is presented in accordance with the criteria set forth in Note 1, in all material respects.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we are required to report all deficiencies that are considered to be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control; fraud and noncompliance with provisions of laws or regulations that have a material effect on the Statement; and any other instances that warrant the attention of those charged with governance; noncompliance with provisions of contracts or grant agreements, and abuse that has a material effect on the Statement. We are also required to obtain and report the views of responsible officials concerning the findings, conclusions, and recommendations, as well as any planned corrective actions. We performed our examination to express an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on internal control over reporting on the Statement or on compliance and other matters; accordingly, we express no such opinions.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over reporting on the Statement was for the limited purpose of expressing an opinion on whether the Statement is presented in accordance with the criteria described above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over reporting on the Statement that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our engagement we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the finding listed below, that we consider to be a significant deficiency:

- Evidence of Authorizing The Disposition Of Citations Was Not Available.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Statement is free from material misstatement, we performed tests of the District Court's compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of Statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our engagement, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Independent Auditor's Report (Continued)

The purpose of this report is to determine whether all moneys collected on behalf of the Commonwealth have been correctly assessed, reported and promptly remitted. This report is not suitable for any other purposes.

We appreciate the courtesy extended by the District Court 29-1-02, Lycoming County, to us during the course of our examination. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Michael B. Kashishian, CPA, CGAP, CFE, Director, Bureau of County Audits, at 717-787-1363.



Eugene A. DePasquale
Auditor General

January 19, 2018

CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Financial Section:	
Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	1
Notes To The Statement Of Receipts And Disbursements.....	2
Finding and Recommendation:	
Finding - Evidence Of Authorizing The Disposition Of Citations Was Not Available	3
Report Distribution	5

DISTRICT COURT 29-1-02
 LYCOMING COUNTY
 STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
 FOR THE PERIOD
 JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

Receipts:

Department of Transportation		
Title 75 Fines	\$	102,209
Littering Law Fines		15
Child Restraint Fines		614
Department of Revenue Court Costs		167,922
Crime Victims' Compensation Bureau Costs		25,547
Crime Commission Costs/Victim Witness Services Costs		18,248
Domestic Violence Costs		6,916
Department of Agriculture Fines		2,010
Emergency Medical Service Fines		19,985
CAT/MCARE Fund Surcharges		54,855
Judicial Computer System Fees		61,282
Access to Justice Fees		21,329
Criminal Justice Enhancement Account Fees		8,716
Judicial Computer Project Surcharges		64,170
Constable Service Surcharges		12,585
Miscellaneous State Fines and Costs		49,578
		<hr/>
Total receipts (Note 2)		615,981
Disbursements to Commonwealth (Note 3)		<hr/> (615,981)
Balance due Commonwealth (District Court) per settled reports (Note 4)		-
Examination adjustments		<hr/> -
Adjusted balance due Commonwealth (District Court) for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016	\$	<hr/> <hr/> -

Notes to the Statement of Receipts and Disbursements are an integral part of this report.

DISTRICT COURT 29-1-02
LYCOMING COUNTY
NOTES TO THE STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

1. Criteria

The Statement of Receipts and Disbursements provides a summary of receipts and disbursements by category. The categories and the amounts of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges assessed are based on Pennsylvania laws and regulations.

The Statement was prepared in accordance with reporting requirements prescribed by the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. Under this method, only the Commonwealth portion of cash receipts and disbursements are presented, revenues are recognized when received, and expenditures are recognized when paid.

2. Receipts

Receipts are comprised of fines, costs, fees, and surcharges collected on behalf of the Commonwealth. These fines, costs, fees, and surcharges represent collections made on traffic, non-traffic, civil, and criminal cases filed with the District Court.

3. Disbursements

Total disbursements are comprised as follows:

District Court checks issued to:

Department of Revenue	\$ 615,981
-----------------------	------------

4. Balance Due Commonwealth (District Court) For The Period January 1, 2013 To December 31, 2016

This balance reflects the summary of monthly transmittal reports as settled by the Department of Revenue.

5. Magisterial District Judge Serving During Examination Period

Allen P. Page served at District Court 29-1-02 for the period January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016.

DISTRICT COURT 29-1-02
LYCOMING COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

Finding - Evidence Of Authorizing The Disposition Of Citations Was Not Available

During our examination of the district court's case files, we tested 54 cases with dispositions of not guilty, dismissed, discharged, or withdrawn, and cases that had a guilty plea disposition without an accompanying full payment. There was no evidence in 33 cases that the disposition was authorized by the Magisterial District Judge.

Good internal accounting controls ensure that there is evidence that the disposition on these cases was authorized by the Magisterial District Judge. The failure to follow this procedure increases the risk for funds to be lost or misappropriated.

Adherence to good internal controls would have ensured that there were adequate internal controls over citations.

This condition existed because the office failed to establish and implement an adequate system of internal controls over documenting that disposition was authorized by the Magisterial District Judge.

Recommendation

We recommend that there is evidence that the Magisterial District Judge authorized the disposition of these cases and it is available for examination.

Management's Response

The Magisterial District Judge responded as follows:

I would respectfully request reconsideration of making the finding a "written finding". I have in my service as the Magisterial District Judge for District Court 29-1-02 always attempted to be in full compliance with both the spirit and the letter of the Rules and Procedures. Please note that after our review, I have implemented procedures to correct and comply with suggestions you made and I would acknowledge my short comings on my part to be in full compliance with authorizing the disposition of a citation.

I have casually reviewed several of the files we discussed and although you might not be familiar with specifics, I wanted to note for your reconsideration.

As I related above, it is not my intent to question the observation - Evidence of Authorizing The Disposition Of Citations Was Not Available. I am merely requesting reconsideration to the decision to make a "written finding".

DISTRICT COURT 29-1-02
LYCOMING COUNTY
FINDING AND RECOMMENDATION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

Finding - Evidence Of Authorizing The Disposition Of Citations Was Not Available
(Continued)

Auditor's Conclusion

Although we recognize the district court's concerns as stated above, we are required by Government Auditing Standards to include significant deficiencies in internal control as written findings in our report. It is imperative that the certification of disposition section of the citation be signed and sealed as evidence that the disposition was reviewed and authorized by the Magisterial District Judge. The *Magisterial District Judge Automated Office Clerical Procedures Manual* outlines the certification of disposition requirements on non-traffic and traffic citations. The requirement of having to sign the certification of disposition section has been in effect since at least January 1999. During our next examination, we will determine if the office complied with our recommendation.

DISTRICT COURT 29-1-02
LYCOMING COUNTY
REPORT DISTRIBUTION
FOR THE PERIOD
JANUARY 1, 2013 TO DECEMBER 31, 2016

This report was initially distributed to:

The Honorable C. Daniel Hassell
Secretary
Pennsylvania Department of Revenue

The Honorable Thomas B. Darr
Court Administrator of Pennsylvania
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
Administrative Office of Pennsylvania Courts

The Honorable Allen P. Page
Magisterial District Judge

The Honorable R. Jack McKernan
Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

The Honorable Krista B. Rogers
Controller

Adrienne J. Stahl, Esquire
Court Administrator

This report is a matter of public record and is available online at www.PaAuditor.gov. Media questions about the report can be directed to the Pennsylvania Department of the Auditor General, Office of Communications, 229 Finance Building, Harrisburg, PA 17120; via email to: news@PaAuditor.gov.